7/1/21 AOWG Call Follow Up

* **SAO review issues:**
	+ Incorrect attestation on CAS website
	+ Consider setting a deadline for providing QDs to the Board
	+ Additional guidance on providing QD to the Board
		- Consider amending AS instructions next year to require additional language in the SAO that asserts the actuary’s compliance with respect to the qualification documentation being provided to the Board.
* **Issues with Schedule P Reconciliation**
	+ Consider additional guidance in the RG and/or AS Instructions
	+ AS Instructions wrt Actuarial Report: “Must include… An exhibit that reconciles and maps the data used by the Appointed Actuary, consistent with the segmentation of exposure or liability groupings used in the Appointed Actuary’s analysis, to the Annual Statement Schedule P line of business reporting. An explanation should be provided for any material differences.”
	+ RG:

### Schedule P reconciliation

The Working Group acknowledges that myriad circumstances (such as mergers, acquisitions, changes in claim systems, and the use of underwriting year data in the analysis) may make it difficult for the Appointed Actuary to reconcile the analysis data to Schedule P. The Working Group encourages Appointed Actuaries to disclose reconciliation issues in the Actuarial Report. If the data cannot be reconciled, the Appointed Actuary should document the reasons.

The Working Group believes that:

* A summary reconciliation that combines all years and all lines is an insufficient demonstration of data integrity. A reconciliation should include enough detail to reflect the segmentation of exposures used in the reserve analysis, the accident years of loss activity and the methods used by the Appointed Actuary.
* The Appointed Actuary should map the data groupings used in the analysis to Schedule P lines of business and should provide detailed reconciliations of the data at the finest level of segmentation that is possible and practical. The Working Group recognizes that the Appointed Actuary chooses the data segmentation for the analysis and that there is often not a direct correspondence between analysis segments and Schedule P lines of business.
* The Appointed Actuary should reconcile all data material to the analysis, including claim counts and earned premium if appropriate.

The Working Group draws a distinction between two types of data checks:

* The Schedule P reconciliation performed by the Appointed Actuary. The purpose of this exercise is to show the user of the Actuarial Report that the data significant to the Appointed Actuary’s analysis ties to the data in Schedule P.
* Annual testing performed by independent CPAs to verify the completeness and accuracy of the data in Schedule P or the analysis data provided by the company to the Appointed Actuary.

One key difference is that independent CPAs generally apply auditing procedures to loss and loss adjustment expense activity that occurred in the current calendar year (for example, tests of payments on claims for all accident years that were paid during the current calendar year). Projection methodologies used by Appointed Actuaries, on the other hand, often use cumulative loss and loss adjustment expense data, which may render insufficient a testing of activity during the current calendar year alone.

Along similar lines, regulators encourage Appointed Actuaries to consider whether a reconciliation of incremental payments during the most recent calendar year for all accident/report years combined provides sufficient assurance of the integrity of the data used in the analysis, given that development factors are generally applied to cumulative paid losses by accident/report year.

* **CAS Statements of Principles**
	+ Remove the reference in the AS Instructions in paragraph 1 of the Actuarial Opinion Section
	+ Consider removing or keeping the reference to “principles” in the following paragraph:

The OPINION paragraph should include a sentence that at least covers the points listed in the following illustration:

“In my opinion, the amounts carried in Exhibit A on account of the items identified:

A. Meet the requirements of the insurance laws of (state of domicile).

B. Are computed in accordance with accepted actuarial standards and principles.

C. Make a reasonable provision for all unpaid loss and loss adjustment expense obligations of the Company under the terms of its contracts and agreements.”

* **Documentation of Board review of QD**
	+ On a company basis or group basis? (unfinished discussion)