

WebEx Conference Call

RECEIVERSHIP LARGE DEDUCTIBLE WORKERS' COMPENSATION (E) WORKING GROUP Thursday, November 5, 2020 3:00 p.m. ET, 2:00 p.m. CT

ROLL CALL

Laura Lyon Slaymaker, Co-Chair Donna Wilson, Co-Chair David Phifer Steve Uhrynowycz Toma Wilkerson Sarah Crittenden Kevin Baldwin Pennsylvania Oklahoma Alaska Arkansas Florida Georgia Illinois

Robert WakeMainJohn Rehagen/Shelley ForrestMissTom GreenNebrDiana ShermanNewUrsula Almada\Leatrice GecklerNewJames KennedyTexa

Maine Missouri Nebraska New Jersey New Mexico Texas

NAIC Support Staff: Sherry Flippo

AGENDA

1.	Consider Adoption of Draft Guideline for Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership— <i>Donna Wilson (OK)</i>	Attachment A
2.	Consider Adoption of Revisions to the Receivers Handbook for Insurance Company Insolvencies—Laura Lyon Slaymaker (PA)	Attachment B
3.	Discuss Any Other Matters Brought Before the Working Group—Laura Lyon Slaymaker (PA)	

4. Adjournment

GUIDELINE FOR ADMINISTRATION OF LARGE DEDUCITLBE POLICIES IN RECEIVERSHIP

Drafting Note: Having the necessary statutory authority specific to large deductible workers' compensation products in receiverships is key to the successful resolution of these insurers. There are currently two statutory authority options available, and there are differences across states as to which authority has been adopted: 1) Section 712 of the NAIC *Insurer Receivership Model Act* (#555—IRMA), Administration of Loss Reimbursement Policies; and 2) the National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Funds (NCIGF) Model Large Deductible Legislation, Administration of Large Deductible Policies and Insured Large Deductible Collateral. Both provide statutory guidance that articulates the respective rights and responsibilities of the various parties, which greatly enhance a state's ability to manage complex large deductible programs in liquidation. Generally, both approaches provide for the collection of reimbursements, resolve disputes over who gets the reimbursements and ensure that the claimants are paid. The provisions in each of the two options generally complement each other, except for conflicting provisions regarding the issue of the ultimate ownership of, and entitlement to, the deductible recoveries and large deductible collateral as between the estate and the guaranty association. The issue is whether the guaranty associations, on behalf of the claimants, are entitled to any deductible reimbursements or whether they are a general estate asset that is shared pro rata by the guaranty associations and the uncovered claimants.

As of the drafting of this Guideline, the NCIGF model approach has been adopted by several states using varying language. However, the NCIGF model has evolved over time based on additional experiences from insolvencies and the NCIGF continues to modify its model as warranted. The NAIC has developed the following Guideline based largely on the principles and structure of the NCIGF model with certain modifications made by the NAIC Large Deductible Workers' Compensation (E) Working Group of the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force. The following statutory language is not an amendment to the NAIC receivership models but is intended as a Guideline for use by states as an alternative to IRMA Section 712, Administration of Loss Reimbursement Policies.

Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership

This Guideline shall apply to workers' compensation large deductible policies issued by an insurer subject to delinquency proceedings under [insert cite to state's receivership statute]. Large deductible policies shall be administered in accordance with their terms, except to the extent such terms conflict with this Guideline. This Guideline does not apply to policies where the insurer has no liability for the portion of a claim that is within the deductible or self-insured retention.

A. Definitions.

For purposes of this Guideline:

- (1) "Large deductible policy" means any combination of one or more workers' compensation policies and endorsements and contracts or security agreements entered into between an insured and the insurer in which the insured has agreed with the insurer to:
 - (a) Pay directly the initial portion of any claim covered under the policy up to a specified dollar amount which the insurer would otherwise be obligated to pay, or the expenses related to any claim; or
 - (b) Reimburse the insurer for its payment of any claim or related expenses under the policy up to the specified dollar amount of the deductible.

The term "large deductible policy" includes policies which contain an aggregate limit on the insured's liability for all deductible claims, a per-claim deductible limit or both. The primary purpose and distinguishing characteristic of a large deductible policy is the shifting of a portion of the ultimate financial responsibility under the large deductible policy to pay claims from the insurer to the insured, even though the obligation to initially pay claims may remain with the insurer, and the insurer remains liable to claimants in the event the insured fails to fulfill its payment or reimbursement obligations.

Drafting Note: States may wish to establish a minimum dollar deductible threshold for application of this statute based on local conditions. Because the payment of the entire amount of the claim remains the unconditional obligation of the insurer, the insured's loss reimbursement obligation should not be treated as a "deductible" for the purpose of any applicable exclusion from guaranty association coverage, even though these policies are commonly referred to as "large deductible policies."

Large deductible policies do not include policies, endorsements or agreements which provide that the initial portion of any covered claim shall be self-insured and further that the insurer shall have no payment obligation within the self-insured retention. Large deductible policies also do not include policies that provide for retrospectively rated premium payments by the insured or reinsurance arrangements or agreements, except to the extent such reinsurance arrangements or agreements are put in place as security for the policyholder's large deductible obligations.

(2) "Deductible claim" means any allowed claim, including a claim for loss and defense and cost containment expense (unless such expenses are excluded), under a large deductible policy to the extent it is within the deductible.

- (3) "Large deductible collateral" means any cash, letters of credit, surety bond, or any other form of security posted by the insured, or by a captive insurer or reinsurer, to secure the insured's obligation under the large deductible policy to pay deductible claims or to reimburse the insurer for deductible claim payments. Large deductible collateral may also secure an insured's obligation to reimburse or pay to the insurer as may be required for other secured obligations.
- (4) "Commercially reasonable" means to act in good faith using prevailing industry practices and making all reasonable efforts considering the facts and circumstances of the matter.
- (5) "Other secured obligations" means obligations of an insured to an insurer other than those under a large deductible policy, such as those under a reinsurance agreement or other agreement involving retrospective premium obligations the performance of which is secured by large deductible collateral that also secures an insured's obligations under a large deductible policy.
- B. Handling of Large Deductible Claims.

Unless otherwise agreed by the responsible guaranty association, all large deductible claims that are also "covered claims" as defined by the applicable guaranty association law, including those that may have been funded by an insured before liquidation, shall be turned over to the guaranty association for handling.

- (1) If a deductible claim is not covered by any guaranty association, the receiver shall draw on available large deductible collateral to pay the claim; or make other arrangements with the insured to ensure the timely payment of the claim. The receiver shall pay the claim promptly from the large deductible collateral unless the insured pays the claim directly or there is no available large deductible collateral.
- (2) Deductible claims paid by the insured or by the receiver in accordance with this Guideline shall not be treated as distributions of estate assets under [insert cite to state's liquidation priority distribution statute]. To the extent the insured, or a third-party administrator on behalf of the insured, pays the deductible claim, pursuant to an agreement by the guaranty association or otherwise, the insured's payment of a deductible claim in whole or in part will extinguish the obligations, if any, of the receiver and/or any guaranty association to pay that claim or that portion of the claim. No credit or charge for an imputed or constructive distribution of any kind shall be made against the receiver or a guaranty association on the basis of an insured's payment of a deductible claim.

Drafting Note: This provision addresses so called "orphan claims," which are situations where, because of variations in state law or for other reasons, claims generally covered by the guaranty fund system are not provided such protection. States should take steps, through statutory revision or otherwise, to avoid orphan claims, especially for workers' compensation insurance. However, if such claims do exist, this provision permits the receiver to utilize available large deductible collateral, or other funds provided by the employer, to ensure that they continue to be paid. Alternative language that states may consider is as follows: "In cases where a deductible claim is not a guaranty association covered claim and the claimant has no other remedy either from the employer or other resources available in a state, the receiver may pay the claim to the extent of the deductible with available Large deductible collateral as described in subsection E(2) below."

C. Deductible Claims Paid by a Guaranty Association.

To the extent a guaranty association pays any deductible claim for which the insurer would have been entitled to reimbursement from the insured, a guaranty association shall be entitled to the amount of the reimbursement, and available large deductible collateral as provided for under subsection E to the extent necessary to reimburse the guaranty association. Such amounts shall be paid to the guaranty association net of any of the receiver's collection costs as described in subsection F. Reimbursements paid to the guaranty association pursuant to this subsection shall not be treated as distributions under [insert cite to state's liquidation priority distribution statute] or as early access payments under [insert cite to state's early access statute].

To the extent that a guaranty association pays a deductible claim that is not reimbursed either from large deductible collateral or by an insured's payments, or incurs expenses in connection with large deductible policies that are not reimbursed under this subsection, the guaranty association shall be entitled to assert a claim for those amounts in the delinquency proceeding, except as provided in subsection D(5).

Nothing in this subsection limits any rights of the receiver or a guaranty association that may otherwise exist under applicable law to obtain reimbursement from insureds for claims payments made by the guaranty association under policies of the insurer or for the guaranty association's related expenses, such as those provided for pursuant to [insert cite to state's guaranty association net worth provision], or existing under similar laws of other states.

D. Collections

- (1) The receiver shall take all commercially reasonable action to ensure that the large deductible collateral remains adequate to secure the insured's obligations, and to collect reimbursements owed for deductible claims as provided for herein:
 - (a) Paid by the insurer prior to the commencement of delinquency proceedings;
 - (b) Paid by a guaranty association upon receipt by the receiver of notice from a guaranty association of reimbursable payments;
 - (c) Paid or allowed by the receiver; or
 - (d) Approved by the receiver for payment.
- (2) If the insured does not make payment within the time specified in the large deductible policy, or within sixty (60) days after the date of billing if no time is specified, the receiver shall take all commercially reasonable actions to collect any reimbursements owed.
- (3) Neither the insolvency of the insurer, nor the receiver's or insurer's inability to perform any of its obligations under the large deductible policy, shall be a defense to the insured's reimbursement obligation under the large deductible policy.
- (4) An allegation of improper handling or payment of a deductible claim by the insurer, the receiver and/or any guaranty association shall not be a defense to the insured's reimbursement obligations under the large deductible policy.
- (5) If the receiver declines to seek or is unsuccessful in obtaining reimbursement from the insured for a large deductible obligation and there is no available large deductible collateral, a guaranty association may, after notice to the receiver, seek to collect the reimbursement due from the insured on the same basis as the receiver, and with the same rights and remedies including without limitation the right to recover reasonable costs of collection from the insured. The guaranty association shall report any amounts so collected from each insured to the receiver. The receiver shall provide the guaranty association with available information needed to collect a reimbursement due from the insured. The receiver shall notify all other guaranty associations that have paid large deductible claims on behalf of the same insured. Amounts collected by a guaranty association pursuant to this paragraph shall be treated in accordance with subsection C. The expenses incurred by a guaranty association in pursuing reimbursement shall not be permitted as a claim in the delinquency proceeding at any priority, except as a agreed by the receiver at or before the time the expenses are incurred; however, a guaranty association may net the expenses incurred in collecting any reimbursement.

E. Large Deductible Collateral

- (1) Subject to the provisions of this subsection, the receiver shall utilize large deductible collateral, when available, to secure the insured's obligation to fund or reimburse deductible claims or other secured obligations or other payment obligations. A guaranty association shall be entitled to large deductible collateral as provided for in this subsection to the extent needed to reimburse a guaranty association for the payment of a deductible claim. Any payments made to a guaranty association pursuant to this subsection shall not be treated as distributions of estate assets under [Insert cite to state's liquidation priority distribution statute] or as early access payments under [Insert cite to state's early access statute]. Such payments shall extinguish the receiver's obligations to the guaranty association with respect to any claim or portion of a claim that has been reimbursed from large deductible collateral.
- (2) All claims against the large deductible collateral shall be paid first to reimburse claim payments made by the insurer, the receiver, or the guaranty associations to reimburse their deductible claim payments on large deductible policies. After these obligations are satisfied, remaining claims shall be paid in the order received and no claim of the receiver, except in accordance with this subsection, shall supersede any other claim against the large deductible collateral.

- (3) Notwithstanding any agreement between the insured and the insurer, the receiver shall draw down large deductible collateral to the extent necessary in the event that the insured fails to:
 - (a) Perform its funding or payment obligations under any large deductible policy;
 - (b) Pay deductible claim reimbursements within the time specified in the large deductible policy or within sixty (60) days after the date of the billing if no time is specified;
 - (c) Pay amounts due the estate for pre-liquidation obligations;
 - (d) Timely fund any other secured obligation; or
 - (e) Timely pay expenses.
- (4) Excess large deductible collateral may be returned to the insured when deemed appropriate by the receiver after a periodic review of claims paid, outstanding case reserves, and allowance for adverse development and claims incurred but not reported as determined by the receiver."
- F. Administrative Fees
 - (1) The receiver is entitled to recover through billings to the insured or from large deductible collateral all reasonable expenses that the receiver incurred in fulfilling its collection obligations under this Guideline. All such deductions or charges shall be in addition to the insured's obligation to reimburse claims and related expenses and shall not diminish the rights of claimants or guaranty associations.
 - (2) To the extent the receiver cannot collect such expenses pursuant to paragraph (1), the receiver is entitled to deduct from the large deductible collateral or from the deductible reimbursements reasonable and actual expenses incurred in connection with the collection of the large deductible collateral and deductible reimbursements.
 - (3) To the extent such amounts are not available from reimbursements or large deductible collateral, the receiver, or guaranty associations if provided under an agreement with the receiver under subsection D(5), shall have a claim against the estate as provided pursuant to [insert cite to state's liquidation priority distribution statute].

Drafting Note: State policymakers should decide whether this provision, when enacted, should apply to existing liquidations.

Revisions specific to the new NAIC Model Guideline discussed below presume the NAIC's adoption of the Guideline

Chapter 5 – Claims

V. PAYMENT OF APPROVED CLAIMS [HANDBOOK PAGE 291]

A. Priority of Distribution in Receiverships

5. Class 3 and 4 - Claims for Policy Benefits

a. Deductible and Limits

The policyholder's claim is for the amount that the insurer should have paid. The insurer's liability attaches after the deductible has been paid by the insured ("Non-Advancement Policies"). However, for some policies (e.g., some workers' compensation policies), the insurer is required to pay the claim and seek the deductible from the insured (thereafter, known as "Large Deductible Policies"). It is common for insureds to post collateral with the insurer for deductible payments that may be made by the insurer, for which the insurer then seeks reimbursement from the insureds. There are three available Model alternatives that provide for the disposition of large deductible policy recoveries between receivers and guaranty associations: IRMA Section 712, the *Guideline for Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership* (Guideline XXXX) and, National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Funds (NCIGF) Model Large Deductible Act (NCIGF Model). Individual state statutes based on the NCIGF Model or Guideline XXXX may differ from IRMA Section 712 in certain respects.

IX. BEST PRACTICES FOR SUCCESSFUL BILLING AND COLLECTION OF LARGE DEDUCTIBLE PROGRAMS IN LIQUIDATION [HANDBOOK PAGES 307-314]

A. Overview of Large Deductible Workers' Compensation

A large deductible workers' compensation policy or program is a method of insuring workers' compensation risk with the employer assuming some of that risk in a deductible of \$100,000, \$250,000, or even higher per claim and an insurer taking on the remaining risk. Large deductible programs for workers' compensation can be complex arrangements and depend on the employer's fulfillment of its obligation to reimburse all claims within the deductible. If the employer is unable to fulfill that obligation, the financial consequences to the employer could be catastrophic, and the employer's inability to pay could have a cascading impact on the financial health of the insurer. In order to manage this risk successfully, insurers and state insurance regulators must have a clear understanding of the nature and size of the insurer's exposure. Additionally, they must ensure that there are adequate measures in place to limit and mitigate the risk of the employer's failure to pay and ensure injured workers will receive benefits in compliance with state law.

Professional employer organizations (PEOs) often operate workers' compensation programs that are backed by large deductible policies. A PEO is an outsourcing firm which provides services to small and medium sized businesses under a contractual co-employment agreement with its clientele. Where permitted by state law, these services generally include workers' compensation coverage obtained by the PEO in its own name. If the PEO assumes most of the risk of that program by purchasing a large deductible policy, it recovers the estimated cost through the fees it charges its clients. If those fees are inadequate to cover the actual costs of the claims, or the PEO fails for any other reason to reimburse its share of the claims, the insurer incurs an unexpected liability. The failure of the claim reimbursement mechanism has been a significant factor in a number of insurer insolvencies. For further information and guidance on high-deductible workers' compensation insurance and PEOs, refer to the NAIC's 2016 Workers' Compensation Large Deductible Study.

6

B. Administration of Large Deductible Plans

The administration of large deductible plans is impacted by entry of an order of liquidation. In such cases, there are three versions of applicable model legislation for states to consider. The most recent is Guideline XXXX. The three Model alternatives are as follows:

- (a) Insurer Receivership Model Act (Model #555—IRMA) Section 712 Administration of Loss Reimbursement Policies;
- (b) *Guideline for Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership* (Guideline XXXX); or,
- (c) National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Funds (NCIGF) Model Large Deductible Act (NCIGF Model).

Each of these three alternatives provide statutory guidance that articulates the respective rights and responsibilities of the various parties, greatly enhancing the ability to manage complex large deductible programs post-liquidation. Generally, all approaches provide for the collection of large deductible reimbursements from policyholders, clarify entitlement to reimbursement, and ensure that the claimants are paid. The most significant difference is the approach taken to address the ultimate ownership of and entitlement to the deductible recoveries paid by the employer or drawn from collateral as between the estate and the guaranty fund, and collateral as between the estate and the guaranty fund, as general assets of the estate, while Guideline XXXX and the NCIGF Model apply them directly to the payment of claims. It should be noted that the NCIGF Model has evolved over time based on additional experiences from insolvencies and the NCIGF Model have done so with varying language.

C. Communication and Reporting Between the Liquidator, Policyholders and Guaranty Associations, Including Administration of Self-Funded Policyholder Programs

1. Claim payment, reserve, and reimbursement reporting

The administration of large deductible programs requires strong communication and reporting programs between the Liquidator, guaranty associations, and policyholders. Under all three Model alternatives, the Liquidator is required to administer large deductible programs, and related collateral securing large deductible obligations, consistent with the policyholder's policy provisions and large deductible agreement ("LDA") except where those provisions conflict with the statute. All three Model alternatives make provision for two types of LDAs, those that permit direct payment by the policyholder, and those that require initial payment by the insurer or guaranty association with reimbursement by the policyholder. Both arrangements necessitate the reporting of claim payments and outstanding claim reserves to the Liquidator for billing, guaranty association reimbursement, and establishing collateral need requirements. The Liquidator's uniform data standard or UDS should be deployed as the reporting protocol for guaranty association claim payments and outstanding claim reserves. Policyholders that continue self-payment under their LDA will need to continue or establish a claim information reporting protocol with the Liquidator through the policyholder's third-party claim administrator or through a proprietary claim information aggregator. All three Model alternatives require the Liquidator to form an independent opinion on outstanding claim reserves reported by policyholders and guaranty associations, including an allowance for adverse development and incurred but not reported liability to ensure that collateral remains adequate throughout the administration of the program.

2. Agreements between Liquidator and guaranty associations

An agreement between the Liquidator and the guaranty funds may be advisable, though it is less important in states that have enacted one of the three Model alternatives or other comprehensive statutory framework for the Liquidator's administration of large deductible programs. The Model alternatives can serve as an outline for the issues that should be addressed in such an agreement in states that have not enacted pertinent

legislation. Among other things, an agreement should address: whether large deductible recoveries are estate assets subject to the Liquidator's distribution regime or directly pass through to the guaranty association on account of its prior claim payments; claim reporting protocols; frequency of collateral review and reimbursement activity; and, administration of collateral for under collateralized non-performing policyholder accounts.

3. <u>Converting policyholder accounts from an incurred to paid basis</u>

Generally, LDAs are on a paid basis with collateral for the reserves. However, liquidators may encounter contractual arrangements where an LDA is constructed such that policyholders pay periodic large up-front payments that were accounted as premium based on losses incurred, as opposed to paid basis. After a certain number of years, the LDA provides policyholders with an opportunity to elect paid basis rather than incurred basis; which converts the incurred payments to collateral. The liquidator may wish to negotiate a conversion at the outset of liquidation. Conversion of a policyholder's LDA at liquidation from an "incurred" to a "paid" basis is beneficial to policyholders in several ways. Most importantly, conversion at liquidation treats preliquidation incurred loss payments made by the policyholder to the insurer as collateral, and thus property of the policyholder pledged to the insurer and restricted to the satisfaction of that policyholder's claims, rather than as a general asset of the liquidation estate. Conversion also offers flexibility to a policyholder as to the type of security provided to an insurer in satisfaction of the collateral requirement. Conversion affords policyholders the ability to utilize a letter of credit to secure an insurer for the outstanding portion of their loss, rather than payment of cash, since the outstanding bill after conversion is reflected in the Liquidator's collateral need analysis, rather than an incurred loss billing.

The Liquidator should consider notifying large deductible policyholders of these important policyholder rights at the inception of a liquidation proceeding and offer policyholders the opportunity to elect to convert their large deductible programs from an incurred to paid basis, memorializing any elections with an endorsement that otherwise follows and requires the policyholder to adhere to the provisions of the applicable law.

4. Large deductible billing by Liquidator

The Liquidator should establish a large deductible billing and collection program that bills policyholders on a periodic basis, *e.g.*, quarterly. The Liquidator's invoice to policyholders should communicate a claim payment summary that includes detail such as the insurer or guaranty association's check number, date of payment, payee, account year, and remaining large deductible limits. Large deductible programs that are paid directly by policyholders should also report their claim payments to the Liquidator on a similar periodic basis, so that the Liquidator can establish appropriate claim reserves, track the exhaustion of the policyholder's deductible limits, report to reinsurers and collect reinsurance. Consideration should be given to using one of many proprietary billing and collection software programs to automate the large deductible billing and collection process. Large deductible recoveries that are subject to guaranty association reimbursements should be aggregated and distributed on a quarterly or other periodic basis that balances the Liquidator's accounting requirements and the guaranty associations' reimbursement needs.

5. <u>Annual collateral review by Liquidator</u>

Guideline XXXX and the NCIGF Model, require the Liquidator to perform a periodic collateral review for each policyholder account. Consistent with the typical LDA, this review should be performed annually, to ensure that the Liquidator holds adequate collateral to support a policyholder's large deductible obligations and to release any excess collateral held back to the policyholder. This review should include a report to the policyholder on total incurred claims, claims paid, outstanding reserves including an appropriate allowance for adverse development and claims incurred but not reported, any additional safety factor and total collateral need. The Liquidator's collateral review should result in a report to the policyholder and an invoice for additional collateral need or a release and distribution of excess collateral. The Liquidator should consider whether any additional safety factor should be included for non-performing policyholder accounts. The

8

Guideline XXXX provides flexibility on the timing of the annual review, enabling the Liquidator to perform the annual review process throughout the calendar year so that all policyholder account reviews are not due at the same time.

D. Administration Fees

Section 712 (G) of IRMA provides:

The receiver is entitled to recover through billings to the insured or from large deductible policy collateral all reasonable expenses that the receiver or guaranty associations incur in fulfilling their responsibilities under this Section. All such deductions or charges shall be in addition to the insured's obligation to reimburse claims and related expenses and shall not diminish the rights of claimants.

Further, Section 712(F) provides, in part:

The expenses incurred by a guaranty association in pursuing reimbursement shall not be permitted as a claim in the delinquency proceeding at any priority; however, a guaranty association may net the expenses incurred in collecting any reimbursement against that reimbursement.

Several states have adopted statutory provisions similar to the IRMA provisions regarding handling of large deductibles in an insolvency and provide for the Receiver to retain reasonable actual expenses incurred from the reimbursement to the guaranty association(s). Similarly, statutes may provide for the guaranty association to net expenses incurred in collecting a reimbursement.

Guideline XXXX subsection (F) provides:

- (a) The receiver is entitled to recover through billings to the insured or from collateral all reasonable expenses that the receiver incurred in fulfilling its collection obligations under this section. All such deductions or charges shall be in addition to the insured's obligation to reimburse claims and related expenses and shall not diminish the rights of claimants or guaranty associations.
- (b) To the extent the receiver cannot collect such expenses pursuant to paragraph (1), the receiver is entitled to deduct from the collateral or from the deductible reimbursements reasonable and actual expenses incurred in connection with the collection of the collateral and deductible reimbursements.
- (c) To the extent such amounts are not available from reimbursements or collateral, the receiver, or guaranty associations if provided under an agreement with the receiver under subsection D(5), shall have a claim against the estate as provided pursuant to [insert state priority of claim statute].

When there is no statutory guidance, receivers should include a provision for reimbursement of reasonable actual expenses in an agreement with the guaranty associations regarding the collection and allocation of large deductibles.

E. Policy and Collateral Definitions

It is important that state laws define large deductible workers' compensation policies and large deductible collateral. Defining the treatment of such policies and associated collateral is imperative for developing polices and processes for administering the collection of assets. The following definition is taken from Guideline XXXX. The definitions in the other Model Acts are similar; however, the term used in IRMA is "loss reimbursement policy".

Draft: 9/27/20

"Large deductible policy" means any combination of one or more workers' compensation policies and endorsements, and contracts or security agreements entered into between an insured and the insurer in which the insured has agreed with the insurer to:

- (a) Pay directly the initial portion of any claim covered under the policy up to a specified dollar amount, which the insurer would otherwise be obligated to pay, or the expenses related to any claim; or
- (b) Reimburse the insurer for its payment of any claim or related expenses under the policy up to the specified dollar amount of the deductible.

The term "large deductible policy" also includes policies which contain an aggregate limit on the insured's liability for all deductible claims, a per claim deductible limit or both. The primary purpose and distinguishing characteristic of a large deductible policy is the shifting of a portion of the ultimate financial responsibility under the large deductible policy to pay claims from the insurer to the insured, even though the obligation to initially pay claims may remain with the insurer, and the insurer remains liable to claimants in the event the insured fails to fulfill its payment or reimbursement obligations.

The dollar amount of "large" will vary by state law. While many states might associate a minimum financial threshold, it is more important to consider the administration of the policy compared to a traditional policy. Deductible amounts can include claim-related payments by the insurer for medical and indemnity benefits, allocated loss adjustment expenses, such as medical case management expenses, legal defense fees, and independent medical exam expenses. It is critical that the policy specify the claim-related payments that are the responsibility of the policyholder and not be inside agreements or other agreements outside of the policy.

Collateral held by the insurer should be defined as amounts held as security for the insured's obligations under the large deductible policy. The policy should specify acceptable financial instruments that can be held for the large deductible policy. Typical collateral requirements include: cash, letters of credit, surety bonds, or other liquid financial means held for the benefit of the insurer.

Guideline XXXX defines "large deductible collateral" to mean "any cash, letters of credit, surety bond, or any other form of security posted by the insured, or by a captive insurer or reinsurer, to secure the insured's obligation under the large deductible policy to pay deductible claims or to reimburse the insurer for deductible claim payments. Collateral may also secure an insured's obligation to reimburse or pay to the insurer as may be required for other secured obligations."

F. Responsible Party for Collection of Large Deductible Reimbursements

It is critical to immediately establish the party responsible for billing and collecting large deductible payments or reimbursements. While some states might have specific statutory language that specifies the entity responsible, some statutes might be silent. In the case where the statutes do not specify responsibility, it is recommended that the receivers and guaranty associations enter into an agreement that allows for the most efficient administration of the large deductible collections.

Specific consideration should be given to large deductible policies that provide coverage in multiple states and have claimants subject to the jurisdiction of multiple guaranty funds. If feasible, the most efficient approach for such policies would likely be for the receiver to administer the deductible billing and collection process. Throughout the life of the estate, claimants continue to incur benefit payments and expenses and deductible collection efforts may last beyond the life of the estate. The party responsible for collections needs the ability to compromise and settle the future obligations.

The receiver should make provisions in its discharge motion and Court order, to the extent possible, regarding the transition of ongoing deductible collections to the guaranty association as well as the disposition of any collateral being held by the receiver.

G. Treatment of Collateral in Receivership

When collateral has been posted by or on behalf of a large deductible policyholder, what does the receivership estate actually own? The answer is generally found in the documents pledging the collateral to the insurer.

IRMA defines "property of the estate" to include "all right, title and interest in property ... includ[ing] choses in action, contract rights, and any other interest recognized under the laws of this state."¹ In states without an explicit statutory definition, the common-law definition is substantially similar.

This means that the insurer's right to draw on the collateral automatically becomes an asset of the receivership estate, but the collateral itself is not an estate asset unless and until it is drawn. In the first instance, the conditions and procedures for drawing the collateral should be spelled out in the relevant contract documents (which could include third-party instruments such as letters of credit or surety bonds), but state law could provide additional rights,² and will specify what the receiver may do when the documents are silent, incomplete, or missing.

Possession and control over the collateral are distinct from ownership. The insurer could already be in possession of the collateral before the receivership, or the receiver might act to take possession by enforcing applicable contract rights or by negotiating an agreement. Nevertheless, this does not immediately give the receiver the right to use the collateral to pay claims. The defining characteristic of collateral is that it is intended to serve as a backstop in case the policyholder does not meet its obligations to pay all reimbursements promptly and in full. Commonly, the right to draw on collateral only attaches after the policyholder has defaulted or has consented to a draw, or, if the collateral is a letter of credit (LOC), after the issuer has given notice of nonrenewal (in which case the receiver must act promptly to call the LOC or obtain replacement collateral). There could also be the opportunity to negotiate an agreement under which the policyholder turns over the collateral and makes a lump-sum payment to commute any further reimbursement obligations, or the collateral might have been structured from the outset as a "working" loss fund from which the insurer was expected to pay claims in the ordinary course of business.

In any case, while it is essential for the receiver to preserve and exercise the right to access the collateral as needed, it is also essential to ensure that collateral is not dissipated to pay claims that the policyholder should be funding. Special consideration needs to be given in situations where the policyholder is at risk of being or becoming judgment-proof, or where rights to the collateral are shared with other creditors of the policyholder and prompt action is necessary to preserve the receiver's priority.

When the guaranty association is paying the claims, it is generally entitled to receive the proceeds of any policyholder reimbursements, including draws on the collateral. Under laws substantially similar to IRMA, these payments are considered early access distributions (but without the necessity for court approval) which may be subject to subsequent clawback, while Guideline XXXX and the NCIGF Model treat them as the ultimate source of funding for the underlying claims, so that they belong unconditionally to the guaranty association.³ Either way, however, it is the receiver rather than the guaranty association that has the right and obligation to draw on the collateral,⁴ unless there is a formal written agreement assigning that right to the guaranty association.

Finally, there is always the hope that the policyholder's reimbursement obligations will be oversecured or will become oversecured as claims are run off. In that case, any excess collateral will revert to the policyholder or the policyholder's guarantor. State law might expressly provide a process for determining when excess collateral is being held by or on behalf of the receiver,⁵ or the ability to return collateral before the estate is closed might be

¹ IRMA § 104(V)(1).

² For example, IRMA § 712(D) specifically provides that the relevant provisions of the policy are not controlling "where the loss reimbursement policy conflicts with this section."

³ Compare IRMA § 712(C)(3) with Guideline XXXX § (C) and NCIGF Model § 712(C).

⁴ See Guideline XXXX § (E)(3) and NCIGF Model § 712(E)(3).

⁵ See, e.g., Guideline XXXX § (E)(4) and NCIGF Model § 712(E)(5).

part of the general powers of the receiver. However, because workers' compensation is a long-tail exposure with significant risk of adverse reserve development, receivers must take great care not to make premature or excessive return distributions.

H. Issues Raised by Net Worth Exclusions and Deductible Exclusions

Unlike other lines of insurance, workers' compensation insurance is generally exempt from the statutory caps on guaranty association coverage, so that the guaranty fund is usually obligated to pay workers' compensation claims in full. However individual states may have adopted caps on guaranty association coverage.⁶ States have created this exception to honor their state's promise that injured workers will be paid the full benefits to which they are entitled. The general purpose of these exclusions is to avoid any obligation for the guaranty association to pay losses that can and should be borne by the policyholder. Net worth exclusions make guaranty association protection unavailable to policyholders with net worth above a specified threshold, while deductible exclusions expressly prohibit guaranty association coverage for amounts within a policy deductible.

Unless these exclusions are drafted and implemented carefully, there is a risk that they could result in delays in claims payments or even a complete loss of coverage. In some states, claimants might be protected by an uninsured employer fund, but that is not the purpose of those funds, so even if such a fund exists in your state, it should be a priority to ensure that however it is done, the estate, employer, or guaranty association will provide for payment in full of all benefits due under the state's workers' compensation laws. If this is not possible under current law, regulators should advocate for a change in the law. A variety of successful approaches are available; there is not a single one-size-fits-all solution that is best for every state.

1. Net Worth Exclusions

The *Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association Model Act* (#540) contains an optional section, with a variety of alternative provisions states can select, excluding coverage for high-net-worth insureds, whether they are individuals or business entities.⁷ The base version sets the threshold at \$50 million, while one of the alternatives sets the threshold at \$25 million. Many states have enacted some version of this clause or some comparable net worth exclusion.

The impact on workers' compensation coverage depends on how the exclusion is structured. In states with provisions substantially similar to any of the three alternatives under the Model 540, coverage is excluded completely for first-party claims by high-net-worth insureds, but workers' compensation claims against high-net-worth policyholders are administered by the guaranty association on a "pay-and-recover" basis: that is, the guaranty association has the obligation to pay the claim in the first instance, and the right to be reimbursed by the policyholder.⁸ Thus, claimants are fully protected, and for large deductible policies, this mirrors the structure of the policy for claims within the deductible. In states with guaranty association laws similar to Guideline XXXX or the NCIGF Model, this is the same reimbursement right the guaranty association would have as the insurer's successor in the absence of the exclusion.

If the policyholder is cooperative, the guaranty association has the option of negotiating an agreement where the policyholder advances funding for claims within the deductible. However, if the policyholder is not

⁶ See Property and Casualty Insurance Guaranty Association Model Act, (#540), § 8(A)(1)(a)(i). Almost all states have some provision requiring payment in full of workers' compensation claims, but some states might have caps or other limitations on coverage.

⁷ Model 540, § 13.

⁸ Alternative 1 applies the pay-and-recover obligation to all third-party claims. Alternative 2 excludes most third-party claims as well as all first-party claims, but requires the guaranty association to pay workers' compensation claims, statutory automobile insurance claims, and other claims for ongoing medical payments. Alternative 3 excludes only first-party claims

cooperative, guaranty associations have expressed concern that the pay-and-recover framework is burdensome and gives the policyholder too much leverage to avoid or delay paying its obligations in full. If Model 540's Alternative 2 is modified to treat workers' compensation claims the same as other third-party claims, then the guaranty association has no obligation unless the formerly high-net-worth policyholder has become insolvent.⁹ Otherwise, the claimant's only recourse is against the policyholder or the insured's estate. As stated above, the injured worker should be protected by some means in these cases.

When a guaranty association net worth exclusion and a large deductible both come in to play on the same claim, it is imperative that the receiver and guaranty association stay in close communication in order to avoid any confusion regarding which entity is responsible for the collection. In IRMA §712, Guideline XXXX and the NCIGF Model, the guaranty fund is entitled to collect net worth reimbursements. Coordination of these collections with receiver efforts to collect on high deductible will do much to avoid duplication of billings and potential resulting collection delays.

2. Deductible Exclusions

Model 540 does not contain any explicit deductible exclusion. Instead, it simply provides that "In no event shall the association be obligated to pay a claimant an amount in excess of the obligation of the insolvent insurer under the policy or coverage from which the claim arises."¹⁰ However, some states have enacted explicit language further clarifying that there is no guaranty association coverage for amounts within a policy's deductible or self-insured retention.¹¹ For example, Minnesota law excludes "any claims under a policy written by an insolvent insurer with a deductible or self-insured retention of \$300,000 or more, nor that portion of a claim that is within an insured's deductible or self-insured retention" from coverage by the property and casualty guaranty association.¹² A Minnesota employer entered into an employee leasing arrangement with a PEO, which obtained a workers' compensation policy with a \$1 million deductible. Both the PEO and the insurer became insolvent, and the Minnesota Court of Appeals held that there was no guaranty association coverage for workers' compensation claims against the client employer because of the statutory deductible exclusion.¹³ The court observed that the Legislature deliberately chose to protect the guaranty association from unlimited exposure, without mentioning that the Legislature also deliberately created an exception making the cap on coverage inapplicable to workers' compensation claims (which strongly suggests that the statute in question, which is tied to the statutory \$300,000 cap on coverage, was not written with workers' compensation in mind).¹⁴ Likewise, the court took for granted that the statute's undefined term "deductible" included the contract provision at issue in the case, even though the insurer had assumed the unconditional liability to pay all claims in full. The opinion did not consider the possibility that the Legislature's intent was simply to clarify that the guaranty association has no obligation to drop down and pay claims from the first dollar if the insurer would have had no obligation to pay those claims.

Therefore, if states determine that there is a need to include express provisions addressing deductibles and self-insured retentions in their guaranty association laws, it is essential to avoid unintended consequences. In particular, the key terms should not be left undefined. For this reason, IRMA coined the term "loss

and claims by out-of-state claimants that are subject to a net worth exclusion in the claimant's home state; this alternative does not create any statutory right of recovery when the guaranty association is obligated to pay a third-party claim. 9 Model 540, § 13(B)(2) Alternative 2.

¹⁰ Model 540, § 8(A)(1)(b). Compare *Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Association Model Act* (#520), § 3(B)(2)(a), expressly excluding from life and health guaranty association coverage "A portion of a policy or contract not guaranteed by the member insurer, or under which the risk is borne by the policy or contract owner."

¹¹ Currently, the only states with language specifically excluding claims within policy "deductibles" are Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, and Nevada, Louisiana's exclusion applies only to policies issued to group self-insurance funds, and Missouri's does not apply to workers' compensation claims.

¹² Minn. Stat. § 60C.09(2)(4).

¹³ Terminal Transport v. Minnesota Ins. Guar. Ass'n, 862 N.W.2d 487 (Minn. App. 2015), review denied June 30, 2015.

¹⁴ Minn. Stat. § 60C.09(3).

reimbursement policy" in its section addressing these types of policies, to distinguish them from true deductibles, where the insurer has no obligation to pay anything except the portion of the loss that exceeds the deductible.¹⁵

This is the crucial difference between a "large deductible" workers' compensation policy and an excess policy. Although "large deductible" policies transfer a significant amount of risk back to the policyholder, they do not extinguish the insurer's liability. That is why "large deductible" policies, in states that allow them, are accepted as a mechanism for satisfying the policyholder's compulsory coverage obligations, while excess policies generally are not. Usually, excess workers' compensation policies may only be issued to self-insurers that have been approved by the state. It is the approved self-insurance program, not the excess policy, that satisfies the employer's compulsory coverage obligation, and the insurer has no liability for any portion of a claim that falls within the employer's self-insured retention.¹⁶ Thus, despite the terminology that is commonly used, it is the excess policy, not the large deductible policy, that functions as a "deductible" in the traditional sense of the term.

It is worth noting, however, that commercial self-insured retention and large deductible policies can vary widely in policy terms and sometimes "side agreements" supplement the policies. Arrangements can contain aggregate limits, can vary on the obligation for defense cost and expenses and, in some cases permit the insured to "self-fund" its claims with an account in the possession of the TPA which is handling the claims. Because of these complexities, policy terms and any related endorsements and side agreements should be carefully reviewed. Whether such side agreements are legally enforceable requires a thorough case-by-case analysis in light of applicable state laws.

Chapter 6 – Guaranty Funds/Associations

II. PROPERTY AND CASUALTY GUARANTY FUNDS [HANDBOOK PAGES 332-333]

J. Large Deductible Policies

In 2016, the NAIC adopted a white paper titled *Workers' Compensation Large Deductible* Study. The paper revisits and reconsiders issues raised in an earlier 2006 *Workers' Compensation Large Deductible Study*. The 2016 study provides valuable information about how large deductible policies work and special issues that can arise with their use.

As used in workers' compensation coverages, large deductible policies allow employers to retain a certain amount of claims risk, thereby reducing the cost of their workers' compensation coverage. Typically, these policies are administered by the insurer or a third-party administrator paying claims within the deductible and obtaining reimbursement from the insured employer. In the receivership context, where guaranty funds pay claims within the deductible, there is an issue as to the handling of the insured employer's reimbursement of payments within the deductible. That is, should the reimbursement be paid to the guaranty fund outside the receivership distribution scheme, or should the reimbursement be treated as an asset of the receivership estate subject to the claims of all creditors? Several states have provisions in place in their respective receivership liquidation statutes which provided that large deductible reimbursements should be paid directly to the guaranty fund outside the receivership distribution scheme.

¹⁵ For example, if a consumer has an auto policy with a collision deductible of \$1,000, and the repair costs \$5,000, the insurer's liability is limited to \$4,000. "Self-insured retentions" (SIRs) in commercial excess policies are designed to function the same way on a larger scale. If a business is found liable (or a third-party claim is settled) for \$500,000, and its liability policy has an SIR of \$300,000, the insurer is never responsible for more than the remaining \$200,000, even if the policyholder is bankrupt.

¹⁶ In many states, a separate self-insurance guaranty fund protects claimants if a self-insured employer becomes insolvent. Those funds typically operate entirely under the state's workers' compensation laws, not the state's insurance receivership or insurance guaranty fund laws.

Where the insolvent insurer wrote large deductible policies, the receiver should be mindful of this issue and should consult with the affected guaranty associations as soon as possible. The receiver should also review those states' guaranty fund statutes where the claims will be processed to determine whether claims within large deductibles are "covered claims" as defined in the appropriate guaranty fund act. Typically, claims under workers compensation policies will be covered. However, claims under policies for other lines of business may not be covered. The availability of guaranty fund coverage is to some extent dependent upon the specific language of the policy involved.

IRMA provides for a different treatment of large deductible collections. Under IRMA§ 712, payments of such monies to the guaranty funds are treated as early access.

Under the *Guideline for Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership* (Guideline XXXX) subsection B, "Unless otherwise agreed by the responsible guaranty association, all large deductible claims that are also "covered claims" as defined by the applicable guaranty association law, including those that may have been funded by an insured before liquidation, shall be turned over to the guaranty association for handling." Refer to the Guideline subsection B for further discussion of deductible claims paid.

Chapter 9 – Legal Considerations

III. CLAIMS [HANDBOOK PAGES 545-546]

I. Large Deductibles

The purpose of these large deductible amounts is to reduce premiums for the insured while permitting the insured to meet statutory or regulatory insurance requirements. Large deductible policies are most common in the workers compensation area but may be found in other types of liability insurance.

Typically, a large deductible policy provides that the insurer will pay claims in full and then collect the deductible amount from the insured. Conversely, first party claims against an auto policy with a deductible are paid minus the amount of the deductible. To ensure that the deductible will be paid, most insurers that write this type of policy will require the insured to post some form of security. This can be a letter of credit, securities placed in a trust or escrow account for the benefit of the insurer, or some other form of a third-party commitment to reimburse for claims within the large deductible, such as a bond or large deductible reimbursement insurance policy. When the insurer pays a claim, depending on the agreement with the insured, the insurer may either submit a bill to the insured for the amount of the claim paid within the deductible or collect directly from the collateral.

As long as the insurer and the insured remain solvent, there are seldom any difficulties with large deductible arrangements. If the insured becomes insolvent and stops paying the deductible billings and if the collateral held is insufficient to pay current and future billings, the insurer's ability to collect the amounts due will be adversely affected.

If the insurer becomes insolvent and is placed into liquidation, the property and casualty and workers compensation guaranty associations will be triggered to begin paying claims. Just like the insurer, the guaranty association will be responsible for first dollar coverage of the claims. After the guaranty association pays the claim, the liquidator can then collect the amount of the claim within the deductible from the insured or the collateral. Historically, receivers and the guaranty associations disagreed on the disposition of these proceeds. Some receivers believe that the proceeds are claims based assets, similar to reinsurance recoverables, which should go into the general assets of the estates and be distributed *pro rata* to all claimants. The guaranty associations believe that, to the extent that the claim payment is within the deductible, they are not paying a claim on behalf of the insolvent insurer but rather on behalf of the insured and therefore, they should receive the reimbursement directly.

The first significant incidence of large deductible policies in a receivership occurred in the administration of the Reliance Insurance Co. Estate. During the early years of this receivership, the guaranty associations paid several hundred million dollars of claims within large deductible limits. After extensive unsuccessful negotiations between the Pennsylvania liquidator and the guaranty associations, a suit was filed in the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania asking the Court to determine entitlement to the large deductible recoveries. The suit was rendered moot by passage of Act 46 of 2004 by the Pennsylvania General Assembly. Act 46 provided that the liquidator would collect the deductible reimbursements and deliver them to the guaranty associations that had paid the claims. The Act allows the liquidator to retain part of the reimbursements to offset the expense of collection.

Subsequently, several other states have enacted legislation addressing this issue modeled after the National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Funds (NCIGF) Model Large Deductible Act (NCIGF Model). On [date], the NAIC adopted *Guideline for Administration of Large Deductible Policies in Receivership* (Guideline XXXX) that also addresses this issue. Statutes vary by state, therefore, the receiver for a large deductible insolvency should review the applicable statutes of the domiciliary state and states where the claims will be processed.

- § 712 of IRMA requires the receiver to collect the deductible reimbursements as a general asset of the estate, but the amount collected is to be distributed to the guaranty associations that have paid claims within the deductible amount as early access subject to claw-back if the amount distributed ultimately exceeds the amount to which the receiving guaranty association would be entitled from the final estate distribution.
- Under Guideline XXXX subsection B, "Unless otherwise agreed by the responsible guaranty association, all large deductible claims that are also "covered claims" as defined by the applicable guaranty association law, including those that may have been funded by an insured before liquidation, shall be turned over to the guaranty association for handling." Refer to the Guideline subsection B for further discussion of deductible claims paid.