

language that would effectuate whatever outcomes the Working Group recommends. Ms. Amann said when Model #670 and Model #672 were last revised, several items were identified as outdated from a business or technology perspective, but the Working Group did not want to spend a lot of time wordsmithing the function or right. Lois E. Alexander (NAIC) said the expectation is that the deliverable will be the privacy policy statement with a comprehensive report about what was discussed, as well as the reasons why the Working Group supports the recommendations given. She said the report will not be just a paragraph with Working Group recommendations because that is something that could be done any time. She said the reason why the Working Group is going through this entire process is to get feedback from all stakeholders so a comprehensive report could be formulated for the parent company. Mr. Bissett said that explanation helps because stakeholders have struggled to understand the purpose of the privacy policy statement and how to respond to it, but it sounds like the privacy policy statement is ultimately the center of gravity for recommendations on the six issues or rights on which stakeholders can focus their efforts. Ms. Amann said Working Group discussions going forward will be used to tweak the privacy policy statement and recommendations report based on the better understanding and clarification obtained through consensus on the document. She said the document is long and it will probably get a little longer, but due to an ambitious schedule, she recognized that everybody would not be able to make conference calls as frequently as they are scheduled. However, she asked stakeholders who have questions or comments to submit, but who are unable to make the call, to please let Ms. Alexander or himself know, and they would be glad to raise the points on your behalf. She said if anyone misses a call and has questions, to please reach out and call either of them as they are always glad to bring anybody up to speed on what was discussed, as well as how or why a decision was made. Ms. Amann said the next Working Group meeting is scheduled for Sept. 13.

Having no further business, the Privacy Protections (D) Working Group adjourned.

W:\National Meetings\2021\Fall\Cmte\D\Privacy Protections\Aug 30 Call\Privacyprot_083021_Min.Docx