# AKUR8

#### An Introduction to Derivative Lasso and Lasso Credibility

2023

#### Agenda NAIC Book Club: October 24, 2023

Introduction to Derivative Lasso Smoothness and Credibility Selecting the Smoothness Items for Review: Derivative Lasso Lasso Credibility Items for Review: Lasso Credibility

### **Derivative Lasso**



#### Akur8's Modeling Methodology: Derivative Lasso

Demystification



One tuning parameter

No user-input variable transformations

Automatically removes noncredible coefficients



#### Derivative Lasso: Variable Inputs are Ordinal or Categorical

Continuous variables are treated as ordinal

**Categorical variables** have no intuitive link between levels. Each category is distinct.

**Ordinal variables** have an intuitive link between adjacent levels. Each level is linked to its upper and lower neighbors.

Could also be A, B, C, D, E....





#### **One Tuning Parameter: The Smoothness Penalty**

Not mgcv smoothness

Categories are penalized towards a 1.0 factor.





Not mgcv smoothness





Not mgcv smoothness

The difference (derivative) between adjacent levels is penalized for ordinal variables.



Levels without sufficiently different signal are grouped together.



Not mgcv smoothness

With a large smoothness penalty, only the most credible differences will be identified.



This model is too smooth.



Not mgcv smoothness

With a far too low smoothness penalty, a model fit will be poor. F G D E С A В

This model is **not smooth enough**.



Not mgcv smoothness

With an appropriate derivative lasso penalty, the differences will be penalized to create an intuitive fit.



This model has an appropriate amount of smoothness.



#### Why Derivative Lasso a GAM?

A different kind of GAM

The basis function of derivative lasso divides ordinal variables into individual levels. A penalty is applied between each of these many levels.



Applications of derivative lasso may group levels to reduce computation time.

Rather than splines or hinges, a derivative lasso basis function is purely stepwise and highly intuitive.



Not mgcv smoothness



The smoothness parameter determines all factor levels and curves simultaneously.

Tuning is not allowed on a variable-by-variable basis, as the smoothness is a credibility standard applied simultaneously to all categorical levels and differences between ordinal levels in the model.

#### 시 AKUR8

### Smoothness is a Credibility Procedure



#### Smoothness is a Lasso Penalty – Linked to Credibility

Literature exists to link (and sometimes equate) penalized regression and credibility

#### **Current and Future Publications:**

- Derivative Lasso: Credibility-Based Signal Fitting for GLMs
- Penalized Regression and Lasso Credibility (Future CAS Monograph in Review)
- Credibility and Penalized Regression
- A Discussion on Credibility and Penalised Regression, with Implications for Actuarial Work

#### 2022-2023 Presentations at CAS, iCAS, NAIC, and SOA Events:

- Penalized Regression Between Credibility and GBMs
- Applying Credibility in Penalized Regression
- Penalized Regression and Lasso Credibility
- Derivative Lasso (November Annual Meeting 2023)

Papers contain mathematical proofs for those interested, presentations require various levels of technical knowledge.

#### 시 AKUR8

#### Penalizing a One-Variable Model

Penalization is credibility

**Fully Credible** GLM: Y = Intercept + Bx



#### Penalizing a One-Variable Model

Penalization is credibility

**Fully Credible** GLM: Y = Intercept + Bx

**Fully Penalized** GLM: Y = Intercept + 0x





#### Penalizing a One-Variable Model

Penalization is credibility

**Fully Credible** GLM: Y = Intercept + Bx

**Fully Penalized** GLM: Y = Intercept + 0x

Partially Penalized GLM: Y = Intercept + B\*x B > B\* > 0

$$\beta_i = \beta_{GLM} \times (Z) + 0 \times (1-Z)$$





#### Statistical Link: Credibility and Penalized Regression

Cross validation and penalization combine to create a robust multivariate credibility procedure

Ridge penalized regression's penalty is equivalent to the Buhlmann K in a univariate special case.\*

$$\lambda = K = rac{ au^2}{\sigma^2}$$

The lambda penalty parameter's credibility behavior generalizes to multivariate modeling.



Robust methodology to estimate an appropriate lambda penalty parameter already exists in data science literature and is well accepted: **cross validation**.



#### Variable Removal: Lasso has a Credibility Threshold

Cross validation and penalization combine to create a robust process for removal

Lasso penalization favors **sparsity**, or model simplicity.

Sparsity is usually preferred for actuarial analysis.



**Noncredible coefficients are automatically removed** when using a lasso penalty parameter. The selection of an appropriate lasso penalty parameter replaces p-value significance testing.



#### An Entirely Credibility-Based Fitting Procedure

Credibility replaces feature engineering

In a GLM, **extrapolation is "supported**" by feature engineering choices of the modeler.

In Derivative Lasso, the fit is **entirely** decided by the data.

B

In Derivative Lasso, only **credible deviations** are supported.

А

All three of these lines would have a p-value of <0.05.

G

A p-value supports that the slope is "not zero" – it does not provide insight on the quality of the slope of a continuous variable.



#### Extreme Example of GLM's "Support" for Extrapolation

All GLM coefficients in this example have p-values below 0.05





#### **Derivative Lasso Automatically Captures the Signal from the Data**

All simple GLM coefficients have p-values below 0.05. Penalized GLM is derivative lasso





### **Tuning the Smoothness**



#### A Reasonable Range of Penalty Terms

Incorporating judgment through the choice of smoothness



**Cross validation** provides a range of statistically reasonable penalty terms. Many of these penalty terms will produce models with very similar statistical accuracy.

Using cross validation to select a penalty term is thoroughly supported in the literature.

As an **actuarial best practice**, we recommend only the less reactive side of this reasonable range. Exceptions may exist.



Two equivalent graphs of appropriate smoothness



#### **AKUR8**

Two equivalent graphs of appropriate smoothness



🔨 AKUR8

Two equivalent graphs of high smoothness



#### **AKUR8**

Two equivalent graphs of low smoothness



AKUR8

#### **Visualizing Different Smoothness Selections**

Top and bottom graphs are identical – binning is automated through the smoothness parameter



**AKUR8** 

#### Standard Steps for Building a Derivative Lasso Model

Best case scenario



#### **Common Modeler Questions**

Adopting a purely credibility-driven approach

**Q:** I want this variable in my model, but it is excluded by the penalty. How do I force it into my model?

**A:** You can't. If the variable's signal doesn't overcome the penalty, the variable is not credible enough and is excluded.

**Q:** I want to force a variable to strictly monotonically increase.

**A:** You can, but in most cases, you shouldn't have to. Undesirable reversals are usually caused by data outliers. Correctly adjusting the data for outliers usually removes these unintuitive reversals.

**Q:** I want a custom binning for my variable.

A: Custom binning should be applied only for implementation restrictions or other restrictions, not for a desired model fit.



### Items for Review in Derivative Lasso



#### **Items to Assist Review**

Typical derivative lasso review items

- Validate Lift Charts.
- Validate Gini Charts.
- Univariate Charts.
- Current, Indicated, Selected by Variable.
- Output coefficients are identical to a GLM.
- List of post-modeling adjustments



No universally applicable P-value substitutes are available. Instead, we rely on the selected threshold of credibility for lasso penalized regression.

#### Watch items are adjustments that aim to "get around" the smoothness parameter.

Because the smoothness replaces judgmental feature engineering and noncredible variables are automatically removed, it is difficult to build a model with hidden technical deficiencies.

#### Unnecessary pre-grouping of variables to force desired behavior instead of real behavior.

- OK: Grouping to match current rating tables or implementation restrictions.
- Watch: Grouping excessively to allow for a less-smooth model.

#### Excessive use of monotonic constraints to force desired behavior instead of real behavior.

- OK: Monotonic constraints applied on a few logical variables when data is thin or volatile.
- Watch: Monotonic constraints applied on many variables in a credible model.

In many cases, adjustments to the data to remove outliers can avoid monotonic constraints

#### 시 AKUR8

#### **Review of the Smoothness Parameter**

Increased smoothness collapses coefficients to the prior assumption



**Q**: Is the selected model at the optimal smoothness or smoother?

**Q**: If the selected model is less smooth (more reactive to the data) than the optimal model, why was this smoothness selected?

Models that are smoother than the optimal model contain factors closer to a 1.0 factor or the complement of credibility.

This is best explained through Lasso Credibility.



### Upcoming Monograph: Lasso Credibility



#### Implementing a Better Complement of Credibility

The offset can be used to set prior assumptions on all coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Prediction} &= \exp\left(\beta_0 + \text{offset} + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\beta_0 + \beta_1_{\text{offset}} X_1 + \beta_2_{\text{offset}} X_2 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\beta_0 + \left(\beta_1_{\text{offset}} + \beta_1\right) X_1 + \left(\beta_2_{\text{offset}} + \beta_2\right) X_2\right) \end{aligned}$$

Unpenalized coefficient =  $\beta_{n,\text{offset}} + \beta_n = \beta_{n,\text{glm}}$ 

Fully penalized coefficient =  $\beta_{n,\text{offset}} + \beta_n = \beta_{n,\text{offset}} + 0 = \beta_{n,\text{offset}}$ 

$$\operatorname{Coefficient}_n = \left(\beta_{n, \text{offset}} + \beta_n\right) = Z \times \left(\beta_{n, \text{glm}}\right) + (1 - Z) \times \left(\beta_{n, \text{offset}}\right)$$

$$\beta_{n,\text{offset}} \leq \beta_{n,\text{offset}} + \beta_{n,\text{lasso}} \leq \beta_{n,\text{glm}}$$



#### **Calculating Indicated Relativities from a GLM**

Creating rating tables from a GLM

$eta_1$ is 1 without fire extinguisher, 0 with	Fire Extinguishers Factor	
$eta_2$ is an integer representing age of home	No $exp(0.182 \times 1) = 1.200$ Yes $exp(0.182 \times 0) = 1.000$	
	Age of Home Factor	
$\beta_0 = \log_e(100) \approx 4.605$	$\begin{array}{ll} 0 & \exp(0.01 \times 0) = 1.000 \\ 1 & \exp(0.01 \times 1) = 1.010 \end{array}$	
$\beta_1 = \log_e(1.2) \approx 0.182$	2 $\exp(0.01 \times 2) = 1.020$ 3 $\exp(0.01 \times 3) = 1.030$	
$\beta_2 = \log_e(1.01) \approx 0.01$	4 $\exp(0.01 \times 4) = 1.041$	

$$\begin{split} \text{Pure Premium} &= \exp(\beta_0) \times \beta_1 X_1 \times \beta_2 X_2 \\ &= \exp(4.6057) \times \exp(0.182 \times X_1) \times \exp(0.01 \times X_2) \end{split}$$

#### 시 AKUR8

#### **Calculating Indicated Relativities in Lasso Credibility**

Creating rating tables from lasso credibility

$eta_{1 m offset}=0.182$	Fire Extingui	Fire Extinguishers Factor	
$eta_{2  ext{ offset}} = 0.01$	No Yes	$\exp((0.182 - 0.087) \times 1) = 1.100$ $\exp((0.182 - 0.087) \times 0) = 1.000$	
	Age of Hom	e Factor	
$eta_0 = 4.6057$	0	$\exp((0.01 + 0.01) \times 0) = 1.000$	
$\beta_1 = -0.087$	1	$\exp((0.01 + 0.01) \times 1) = 1.020$	
$p_1 = 0.001$	2	$\exp((0.01 + 0.01) \times 2) = 1.040$	
$eta_2=0.01$	3	$\exp((0.01+0.01)\times 3)=1.061$	
, -	4	$\exp((0.01 + 0.01) \times 4) = 1.082$	
$Prediction = \exp\left(\beta_0 + \text{offset} + \beta\right)$	$_1X_1 + \beta_2X_2)$		
$= \exp \left(\beta_0 + \beta_1\right)$	$+\beta_{2,\text{off}}X_{2}+\beta_{1}$	$X_1 + \beta_2 X_2$	
	$(2)$ $\mathbf{V}$ $(2)$	( ) $( )$	

#### **Driver Age Relativity Plot**

Complement = light green | Complement + modeled coefficient = dark green



Model is not significantly different – no Change



#### Driver Age Modeled with a Complement of Credibility

Complement = light green | Complement + modeled coefficient = dark green

As the penalty increases, the modeled coefficient moves to zero.

When the modeled coefficient is zero, the complement has full credibility.





#### Lasso Credibility – Anticipated Use Case for Model Refit

Simple to build, simple to review, a multivariate credibility procedure

#### **Assumptions:**

- Current rating factors are selected as the complement of credibility for the model.
- These rating characteristics are intuitive and not subject to additional scrutiny.
- Underlying data does not have material issues or outliers.



#### Lasso Credibility – Anticipated Use Case for Model Refit

Simple to build, simple to review, a multivariate credibility procedure

#### **Review Outcomes:**

- 1. Model is too reactive. Smoothness needs to be increased.
- 2. Model is technically appropriate. Review focuses on post-modeling decisions and selections.



#### Lasso Credibility – Anticipated Use Case for Model Refit

Simple to build, simple to review, a multivariate credibility procedure

**Goals of Lasso Credibility Adoption for Insurers:** 

- Increased speed and accuracy of modeling by incorporating a complement of credibility.
- Stability of rates by not fitting a GLM from scratch for each refit.
- Clear distinction between technical and actuarial selections made by modelers.
- Ease of technical review due to simplicity of model structure and foundation in credibility.

Similar to the review process for standard credibility procedures, typical model review for lasso credibility will focus **highly on industry expertise** and **lightly on technical expertise**.



### New Items for Review in Lasso Credibility



### **Relativity Plots**

Factors only



**AKUR8** 



Factors and observed





시 AKUR8

#### **Relativity Plots**

Factors, predicted, and observed



#### 시 AKUR8

#### **Review of the Complement of Credibility**

Upcoming monograph will include discussion of this review

An ideal complement of credibility comes from a single source:

- Current implemented rating plan.
- Bureau approved rating plan.

Watch Items:

- A complement of credibility using variables from different sources or rating plans.
- A complement of credibility is used for some variables and not others where it exists.
- Indicated coefficients significantly deviate from a complement where there is little data.
- Manually selected deviations from a selected complement of credibility.



### Conclusion



#### Conclusions

Upcoming papers will provide additional resources

**Derivative lasso** is an application of lasso penalization specifically designed to create fast, transparent, and actuarially appropriate pricing models.

**Lasso credibility** is an application of the offset and lasso penalization that, when combined with derivative lasso methodology, is a transparent multivariate credibility procedure.

**Derivative Lasso: Credibility-Based Signal Fitting for GLMs** will be published by Akur8 later this year. This will thoroughly discuss the derivative lasso modeling approach and its genesis.

**Penalized Regression and Lasso Credibility** monograph is in review with the CAS monograph committee. This will provide the statistical background for lasso credibility along with additional guidance for modeling and review.

For further discussion: <a href="mailto:thomas.holmes@akur8.com">thomas.holmes@akur8.com</a>







## Appendix



Not mgcv smoothness



The derivative lasso penalty generalizes for ndimensional interactions.

In two dimensions, derivative lasso creates a highly interpretable interaction through the application of a "net" of penalty terms.

A proper smoothness term will create an intuitive smooth surface of factors.



#### **MGCV GAM: Spline Basis Function**

Complex curve-fitting



GAM as defined by the MGCV package can use a series of cubic splines to fit complex curves.



#### MGCV GAM: Tuning the Number of Splines

Complex curve fitting



Tuning the number of splines is done judgmentally on a variable-by-variable basis.

This tuning is highly manual and iterative.

The appropriate penalty parameter will change based on the selected number of splines.



#### Tuned MGCV GAM vs. Tuned Derivative Lasso Model

Derivative lasso has actuarial benefits over curve-based basis functions



Derivative Lasso is able to fit this complex curve with a single parameter.

A well-tuned cubic-spline GAM is still subject to "whiplash" due to the curve-based nature of the basis function.

