I think we should look for more consistency with the life actuarial opinion. Life has actuarial assets (deferred premiums are all I can think of right now) and I can’t recall how they are handled in the opinion. I believe the life opinion also uses the phrase “adequate” under moderately adverse conditions which seems more prudent than “reasonable”. We don’t want the tail (actuarial assets) wagging the dog (solvency).
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To the Health Actuarial (B) Task Force, Interested Regulators, and Interested Parties:

The updated proposal to modify the Health Blank Statement of Actuarial Opinion instructions, as discussed on the Task Force’s February 1 call, is being exposed for comment.

Please submit comments to Eric King by February 24.
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