RISK RETENTION GROUP (E) TASK FORCE

Risk Retention Group (E) Task Force Nov. 30, 2021, Minutes
Preliminary Memorandum Regarding Initial Licensing of the RRG (Attachment One)
The Risk Retention Group (E) Task Force met Nov. 30, 2021. The following Task Force members participated: Michael S. Pieciak, Chair, represented by Sandra Bigglestone (VT); Karima M. Woods, Vice Chair, represented by Sean O’Donnell (DC); Andrew N. Mais represented by Fenhua Liu (CT); Sharon P. Clark represented by Russell Coy (KY); and Russell Toal (NM). Also participating were: Steve Kinion (DE); and Christine Brown (VT).

1. Adopted its Summer National Meeting Minutes

Mr. O’Donnell made a motion, seconded by Mr. Coy, to adopt the Task Force’s July 26, minutes (see NAIC Proceedings – Summer 2021, Risk Retention Group (E) Task Force) minutes. The motion passed unanimously.

2. Discussed a Proposed Preliminary Memorandum

Ms. Bigglestone stated that during its July 26 meeting, the Task Force discussed results of the survey conducted earlier this year and formed an initial plan to address concerns. There were two areas of focus. The first is the preparation of a template that can be completed by a domiciliary state when a new risk retention group (RRG) is formed and there is no Insurer Profile Summary (IPS) available. This template can be provided upon request to states the RRG is registering in. The second is to review the registration form and consider if additional guidance or instructions for either the state or the RRG would help reduce the delays that occur when the form is incomplete. A group of volunteers took on these tasks. They completed a template for what is now called the Preliminary Memorandum, (Attachment One). They also discussed the NAIC Uniform Registration Form (registration form), but they thought that the Preliminary Memorandum may address many of the concerns of state insurance regulators reviewing the registration form. The volunteers also discussed that there may still be questions/concerns from RRGs completing the forms, but they thought they lacked the necessary perspective to address concerns from the insurer side.

Ms. Brown provided an overview of the memorandum, stating that the volunteers believe it will be a helpful tool for sharing with non-domiciliary regulators, and it will form the basis of the IPS once the company files its annual statement.

Ms. Bigglestone and Ms. Liu agreed the memorandum would be helpful and should be considered by the Task Force.

Ms. Bigglestone stated that the memorandum will likely be exposed in spring 2022 for further consideration by the Task Force.

3. Received Updates Regarding the Proposed Accreditation Standard for the GCC

In 2020, the NAIC adopted revisions to the Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act (#440) and the Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation with Reporting Forms and Instructions (#450). The revisions include a requirement for all groups to submit a group capital calculation (GCC). Per the models, all groups with at least one insurer and one affiliate are subject to the filing, at least once. The Financial Regulation Standards and Accreditation (F) Committee discussed a referral recommending that the changes become an accreditation standard for all states. As a result of this discussion, the Committee voted to expose the referral for a one-year public comment period beginning Jan. 1, 2022. The exposure is subject to final approval by Plenary at the Fall National Meeting. The exposure by the Committee also altered two key items from the initial referral. First, the Committee proposes an effective date of Jan. 1, 2026, for all states. Second, and most important to the discussion at this Task Force, the Committee proposed an accreditation standard that does not include the requirement for each group to file the GCC at least once before an exemption can be granted. This means that a commissioner has discretion to grant an exemption to the filing without receiving an initial filing from the insurance group. Ms. Bigglestone noted that this is not an automatic exemption; it must specifically be granted by the commissioner. However, it does allow states more flexibility.

Ms. Bigglestone stated that per the Task Force’s charges, it intends to provide a comment letter during the one-year exposure period next year regarding the application of the standard to RRGs.
Mr. O'Donnell said the additional flexibility would be helpful for RRGs in a holding company group. Mr. Kinion asked for clarification regarding which groups are subject to the GCC, including whether captives were subject to the GCC. Robert Myers (National Risk Retention Association—NRRA) also asked for clarification regarding a size threshold for groups filing the GCC. Dan Schelp (NAIC) confirmed that a group is defined as at least one insurer and at least one affiliate. There is no threshold for filing a GCC. There is a threshold in Model #450 that allows groups with less than $1 billion in premium to request an exemption from the filing after at least one initial filing. The proposed accreditation requirement does not include the requirement to file at least once prior to a commissioner granting an exemption. Captives are generally excluded from the accreditation program. Therefore, they are not required to be subject to the holding company models and, as a result, the GCC. However, RRGs licensed as captives are subject to accreditation, and each standard must be considered for applicability to RRGs, including the GCC.

Ms. Bigglestone noted that additional guidance for considerations when granting exemptions may need to be developed if the accreditation standard is adopted as proposed.

4. Received Updates on Related NAIC and/or Federal Actions

Ms. Bigglestone noted that the Task Force continues its ongoing commitment to promote educational and communication opportunities. One such opportunity was the NRRA conference in early November, which included a state insurance regulator panel discussing regulation of RRGs. Anyone aware of future educational opportunities or resources is encouraged to communicate with the Task Force.

Ms. Bigglestone noted that the Surplus Lines (C) Task Force is working to update the Nonadmitted Insurance Model Act (#870). On Nov. 23, the NRRA sent a letter to the drafting group working on updates to Model #870, which comments on the definition of “home state” as it relates to an insured being a member of an unaffiliated group. The revisions in the NAIC model act establish the allocation of premium for affiliated groups, but they do not address unaffiliated groups. Since risk purchasing groups (RPGs) are primarily made up of unaffiliated members or insurance buyers, the current draft revisions complicate how premium tax will be collected from RPGs. The NRRA’s letter also draws attention to previous discussions and conclusions reached by the Surplus Lines (E) Task Force with respect to RPGs that may contradict current discussions. The work of the drafting group is still ongoing.

Having no further business, the Risk Retention Group (E) Task Force adjourned.
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RRG Preliminary Memorandum

An RRG Preliminary Memorandum is developed by the domestic state for a risk retention group (RRG) upon approving the initial licensing of the RRG. The information in this document will serve as a summary of key considerations in assessing and approving the license. The information will also serve as the base for developing an Insurer Profile Summary (IPS) once the RRG begins writing business and files its annual statement. The role of the RRG Preliminary Memorandum is for both internal communication within the domestic state and for external communication with other states in which the RRG is authorized to register and has submitted a registration form. In accordance with the federal Liability Risk Retention Act (LRRA) a non-domestic state must rely on the domestic state to approve which states the RRG may write in. The non-domestic state does not perform their own review of the application for licensing in the domestic state but relies on the information in the RRGs registration form and communication from the domestic regulator. The RRG Preliminary Memorandum is not required, however, its use can help improve transparency and communication between regulators and reduce potential delays in registration. Additional recommendations regarding transparency and communication can be found in the RRG best practices and frequently asked questions documents on the Risk Retention Group (E) Task Force website.

A template that can be used to develop the RRG Preliminary Memorandum is provided below; however, the actual form and content should be determined by each respective state.
XX DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE
RRG PRELIMINARY MEMORANDUM
COMPANY NAME
Date of Review

BUSINESS SUMMARY
Provide a brief description of the risk retention group (RRG) and a summary of the business operations of the RRG. Consider inclusion of the following.

- Purpose of the RRG and the benefits gained by creating the RRG (i.e., is it meeting a need not met in the commercial market, formed by a promoter looking for a group, etc.).
- Describe the approved coverages and comment on their compliance with the LRRA. Include policy limits and net retention.
- Describe the ownership structure and/or key members, association, or sponsor.
- Describe the capital structure of the RRG. Include minimum capital and surplus requirements, use of letters of credit, surplus notes, ability to raise additional capital, etc.
- Comment on how the business is produced (i.e., direct writings, agent(s), managing general agent (MGA), managing general underwriter (MGU)).

GOVERNANCE
Discuss the makeup of the board of directors and other oversight considerations including compliance with governance requirements in the Model Risk Retention Act (#705).

Discuss if the RRG is MGA or producer controlled.

Discuss service providers used.

Confirm background checks were done.

PROFORMA FINANCIAL SNAPSHOT
Proforma financial data may be summarized in a narrative format, chart format, or a combination. Information may include key financial statement items and/or key ratios. Sample data is shown below but the format, number of years of data, line items and ratios included should be customized. Consideration should be given to what information provides the most insight for the newly formed RRG. Information related to the feasibility study, including who performed the study may also be included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets and Liabilities</th>
<th>20XX</th>
<th>20XX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Invested Assets</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Assets</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL ASSETS</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIABILITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance reserves, net</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL LIABILITIES</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Capital and Surplus 64 96  
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND C&S 330 384 

Operations 20XX 20XX 
Premiums, net 218 233 
Investment income (net of gains/losses) 1 8 
Other income 0 0 
Total revenues 219 241 

LOSSES, BENEFITS AND EXPENSES 
Incurred losses, net 177 157 
Expenses 77 80 
Total losses and expenses 254 237 
Other 0 2 
NET INCOME (35) 2

AREAS OF INTEREST
Provide a brief summary of the following items when applicable or noteworthy.

- Reinsurance
- Investment policy
- Related Parties
- Restrictions or special requirements such as permitted practices
- Additional details for coverages that require special underwriting, discounting and tail coverages
- Other

IMPACT OF HOLDING COMPANY ON INSURER
Summarize the evaluation of the impact of the holding company system on the domestic insurer. Or state that the RRG is not part of a holding company group. The summary should include whether a disclaimer of affiliation or any other exemption or waiver related to holding company requirements has been granted, and the rationale for the determination.

KEY RISKS AND SUPERVISORY PLAN
Summarize key risks identified and/or items that require further monitoring by the analyst or specific testing by the examiner. In addition, indicate if the Company is or should be subject to any enhanced monitoring, such as monthly reporting, a targeted examination or a more frequent exam cycle. Key risks may relate to the areas of interest above or may be separate considerations. Information should be brief and include prospective considerations.

Document the date of the first expected examination and the planned examination cycle.

Analysis Follow-Up
Examination Follow-Up
Best Practices – Risk Retention Groups

The domiciliary state maintains authority and has responsibility to regulate the formation and operation of a Risk Retention Group (RRG). Therefore, when concerns arise in a non-domiciliary state about a RRG, the best resource is the domiciliary state. This includes concerns about solvency and capital levels, financial condition, or other non-compliance of an RRG as well as operational questions and concerns that should be directed to the domiciliary state.

States are encouraged to examine their RRG laws to make certain that they are consistent with (1) the federal Liability Risk Retention Act (LRRA) and (2) the NAIC Model Risk Retention Act (#705).

Questions/Concerns from Non-domiciliary State

Upon initial registration of an RRG in a non-domiciliary state, it is not uncommon for questions to arise that are best directed to the domiciliary state. Attachment A outlines a sample Inquiry Template that can be used to request this information. The template may be customized as deemed appropriate by the non-domiciliary state. Domiciliary states should respond in a timely manner to such requests.

Questions about operations and financial solvency that arise following initial registration should also be addressed to the domiciliary state.

If significant concerns still exist after communication with the domiciliary state and the non-domiciliary state concludes that the RRG is not compliant with any of the specific procedures set forth in the LRRA, the following steps may be undertaken:
   a. Refer to your own state RRG statute to ensure compliance of your prospective action;
   b. Provide written notice of any non-compliance directly to the RRG;
   c. Submit a demand for examination of the RRG to the domiciliary regulator, as provided by the LRRA [15 U.S.C. S3902(a)(1)(E)];
   d. Institute suit in a court of competent jurisdiction.

A non-domiciliary state may request the following from the domiciliary state and similarly, the domiciliary state should be prepared to provide the following to the non-domiciliary state:
   e. Insurer Profile Summary (IPS)
   f. Inquire about the extent of biographical affidavit review and results of background checks
   g. Most recent examination report (may be obtained from I-Site)
   h. Amendments to the RRG’s business plan or feasibility study
   i. Verification of domiciliary state approval to expand into non-domiciliary state

Alternatively, the following documents may be used for this request with modifications as necessary:
Attachment A – Inquiry Template (when specific questions or concerns not typically addressed on the above documents arise) may be used for this request with modifications as necessary.
Attachment B – RRG Preliminary Memorandum (for a new RRG in which the IPS is not yet available)
Registration Timeline
The registration process for RRGs should be shorter than the licensing process for other types of insurers as the RRG is responsible only for a complete registration form* and the related attachments. The non-domiciliary state cannot reject a complete registration* that complies with those laws of the non-domiciliary state that are not preempted under the LRRA. In the event a non-domiciliary state has concerns with an RRG registration, such concerns should be raised with the domiciliary state, who has the authority to regulate the formation and operation of an RRG. The following guidelines take into consideration similar guidelines for ordinary insurance companies, and adherence is at the discretion of each state.

- A non-domiciliary state should review the registration form to ensure all required information is entered on the form within 10 business days of its receipt of the form and notify the Risk Retention Group of the need to submit any missing elements.
- Following receipt of a complete registration*, a non-domiciliary state should notify the RRG within 30 days that its registration is confirmed.
- The domiciliary state should respond to inquiries from a non-domiciliary state in a prompt manner, typically no later than 10 business days after receiving the inquiry.

*Refer to the document titled “Risk Retention Groups: Frequently Asked Questions”, 3(c) for the definition of a complete registration form.

Domiciliary State Responsibilities
When a domiciliary state identifies an RRG as troubled or potentially troubled, the State insurance regulator should make efforts to communicate proactively with other state insurance regulators in which the RRG is registered (consistent with the Troubled Insurance Company Handbook). Although the domiciliary regulator is responsible for taking actions involving their domiciliary RRGs, awareness by a non-domiciliary state may help them to proactively do what they can to protect their residents and respond to policyholder complaints or concerns directed to them.

General Licensing Guidance
Domiciliary states should ensure the RRG’s application for licensing, which includes the plan of operation and feasibility study, includes the following, at a minimum:
- information sufficient to verify that its members are engaged in businesses or activities similar or related with respect to the liability to which such members are exposed by virtue of any related, similar or common business, trade, product, services, premises or operations;
- information sufficient to verify that the liability insurance coverage to be provided by the Risk Retention Group will only cover the members of the Risk Retention Group;
- for each state in which it intends to operate, information regarding the liability insurance coverages, deductibles, coverage limits, rates and/or rating/underwriting methodology for each line of commercial liability insurance the group intends to offer;
- historical and expected loss experience of proposed members and national experience of similar exposures to the extent that this experience is reasonably available;
- appropriate opinions/feasibility work by a qualified independent casualty actuary, including a determination of minimum premium participation levels required to commence operation and to prevent a hazardous financial condition;
- pro forma financial statements and projections, including assumptions, on an expected and adverse basis;
- identification of Board of Directors, including independence determination;
- biographical affidavits for all BOD members;
- evidence of compliance with corporate governance standards, including draft policies;
- underwriting and claim procedures;
- marketing methods and materials if available;
- draft insurance policies;
- names of reinsurers and reinsurance agreements, if available;
- investment policies;
- identification of each state in which the RRG intends to write business/register;
- identification of service providers, including fee structure and relationships to members; and
- subsequent material revisions to the plan of operation or feasibility study.
Attachment A – Inquiry Template

The above-subject company has applied for Registration as a Risk Retention Group (“RRG”) in the State of ______ to write __________ liability coverage to its members who are in the business of____________________________. As you can appreciate, due to the provisions of the Liability Risk Retention Act of 1986 the (state) has limited authority to regulate RRGs and therefore to a large extent, the (state) relies on the RRGs’ domiciliary state to exercise general oversight and responsibility in the areas of licensing, solvency, rates and marketing. As part of our due diligence, we would appreciate any information your office can share with us regarding the company with respect to the following items, some of which may be satisfied by providing the Insurer Profile Summary:

1. Any significant concerns the State of [domicile] has regarding the company.

2. Any issues that may have a significant impact on the company going forward.

3. Any issues regarding the number of consumer complaints the company has in [state of domicile] or other states that may have been brought to your attention.

4. Comments and/or concerns about the financial condition of the company.

5. Comments and/or concerns about the management or performance of the company.

6. Results of any financial analysis and/or market conduct findings.

7. The company’s priority level within the Financial Analysis Division.

8. Any conditions imposed by your Department upon the company’s license.

9. Any significant non-compliance issues with the State of [domicile] regulatory authority including filing requirements and corrective action, if any.

10. Comments regarding the company’s application for registration in the State of [state registering].

11. Approval from State of [domicile] for the RRG to register in the State of [state registering].