# Life Actuarial (A) Task Force

VM-21 SPA Assumptions—Mortality Proposed Update to Mortality Adjustment Factors

Joel Sklar, MAAA, ASA Chairperson, SOA Individual Annuity Experience Committee March 14, 2024





#### Presentation Disclaimer

The material and information contained in this presentation is for general information only. It does not replace independent professional judgment and should not be used as the basis for making any business, legal or other decisions. The Society of Actuaries assumes no responsibility for the content, accuracy or completeness of the information presented.

The American Academy of Actuaries is a 20,000-member professional association whose mission is to serve the public and the U.S. actuarial profession. For more than 50 years, the Academy has assisted public policymakers on all levels by providing leadership, objective expertise, and actuarial advice on risk and financial security issues. The Academy also sets qualification, practice, and professionalism standards for actuaries in the United States.





# VM-21: SPA Assumptions—Mortality Adjustment Factor update

- The Mortality Adjustment Factors (MAFs) presented here are being proposed as an update to the current Standard Projection Amount (SPA) assumptions in VM-21.
- The <u>Base</u> Mortality Adjustment Factors have been developed based on an industry study covering variable annuities (VAs) during the 2011-2015 experience period.
- A basis for historical mortality improvement (HMI) has been developed, and was used to bring the factors up to 12/31/2022.
- Compared to the current MAFs in VM-21, the current proposal has the following structural changes:
  - o Distinct factors are being proposed for female and male lives, driven by the HMI differences.
  - o Factors were developed for a wider range of ages, i.e., starting at age 50 versus 65 in the current VM-21.
  - o The non-Guaranteed Living Benefit (non-GLB) factors are split between the Basic and Enhanced Death Benefits; the current VM-21 only has a GLB/non-GLB split.





# VM-21: SPA Assumptions—Mortality Adjustment Factor update, continued

- Enhanced Death Benefits are defined as those that include a Roll-up; everything else was categorized with Basic Death Benefits. Therefore, Enhanced DBs include Roll-ups and Roll-up/Ratchet combinations, while Basic DBs consist of contracts with no DBs, minimal DBs such as waiver of surrender charges, Return of Premium DBs, and Ratchets without Roll-ups.
- The updated factors proposed here are intended to be applied using the same formula as the current Standard Projection Amount (SPA) assumptions in VM-21, i.e., tied to an expected basis using the 2012 Individual Annuity Mortality (IAM) Basic Table and the G2 Mortality Improvement Scale.
- The <u>base</u> mortality adjustment factors are comparable to the current VM-21 MAFs, except for the bifurcation of the death benefit categories. This can be seen in slides 6 and 7. Note that in the industry experience study, the Basic Death Benefit has more exposure than the Enhanced Death Benefit, so on a blended basis the non-GLB results were quite similar to the current VM-21 SPA mortality assumptions.
- HMI has generally been below that predicted by the G2 improvement scale, and that is the primary reason the updated factors are higher than the current VM-21 factors.





## **Base** Adjustment Factors for VAs

#### **VA Base Mortality Adjustment Factors\***

	VA non-GLB Enhanced DB	VA non-GLB Basic DB	VA GLB
<u>Age</u>	Female and Male	Female and Male	Female and Male
52	150%	105%	95%
57	150%	105%	90%
62	150%	95%	80%
67	145%	95%	83%
72	145%	105%	95%
77	140%	108%	98%
82	125%	108%	105%
87	112%	108%	108%
92	112%	110%	110%
97	110%	110%	110%
102	104%	104%	104%
105	100%	100%	100%

<sup>\*</sup>These Base Mortality Adjustment Factors were developed based on an industry study covering the 2011-2015 experience period.





# Base Adjustment Factor Comparison—non-GLB

	VA non-GLB Enhanced DB	Current VM-21 non-GLB MAFs	Difference		VA non-GLB Basic DB	Current VM-21 non-GLB MAFs	Difference
<u>Age</u>	Female and Male*	Female and Male	Female and Male	<u>Age</u>	Female and Male*	Female and Male	Female and Male
52	150%	100%	50%	52	105%	100%	5%
57	150%	100%	50%	57	105%	100%	5%
62	150%	100%	50%	62	95%	100%	-5%
67	145%	104%	41%	67	95%	104%	-9%
72	145%	114%	31%	72	105%	114%	-9%
77	140%	118%	22%	77	108%	118%	-10%
82	125%	113%	12%	82	108%	113%	-5%
87	112%	110%	2%	87	108%	110%	-2%
92	112%	110%	2%	92	110%	110%	0%
97	110%	108%	2%	97	110%	108%	2%
102	104%	103%	1%	102	104%	103%	1%
105	100%	100%	0%	105	100%	100%	0%

<sup>\*</sup>These Base Mortality Adjustment Factors were developed based on an industry study covering the 2011-2015 experience period.





# **Base** Adjustment Factor Comparison—GLB

	VA GLB	Current VM-21 GLB MAFs	Difference
<u>Age</u>	Female and Male*	Female and Male	Female and Male
52	95.0%	80.0%	15.0%
57	90.0%	80.0%	10.0%
62	80.0%	80.0%	0.0%
67	83.0%	83.0%	0.0%
72	95.0%	90.5%	4.5%
77	98.0%	98.0%	0.0%
82	105.0%	105.5%	-0.5%
87	108.0%	110.0%	-2.0%
92	110.0%	110.0%	0.0%
97	110.0%	108.0%	2.0%
102	104.0%	103.0%	1.0%
105	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%

<sup>\*</sup>These Base Mortality Adjustment Factors were developed based on an industry study covering the 2011-2015 experience period.





# Development of Basis for Historical Mortality Improvement

- Our objective is to set a baseline mortality as of 12/31/2022, to serve as the new "jumping-off" point.
- Historical Mortality Improvement is needed to bring mortality up from the 2013 mid-point of the industry study.
- We split the historical era into **two periods**, first the pre-pandemic era through 2019, and then 2020–2022.
- Historical Mortality Improvement through 2019
  - We used data from the SOA's report on Mortality by Socioeconomic Category, authored by Magali Barbieri, to generate improvement rates by quinquennial age groups.
  - o U.S. counties were assigned to one of 10 deciles, based on various socioeconomic criteria.
  - o The 10<sup>th</sup> decile (highest socioeconomic category) aligned with the VA mortality experience.
  - o Mortality data for this study was sourced from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), which had a good alignment with Social Security data except at older ages (above age 80).





# Development of Basis for Historical Mortality Improvement, continued

- Historical Mortality Improvement for 2020 through 2022
  - o Actual experience was severely impacted by the pandemic.
  - o Even if specific COVID-19 related deaths could be identified with precision and factored out, other aspects of the pandemic environment affected overall mortality drivers.
  - Decision was made to assume 0% improvement for 2020 through 2022
    - Mortality rates at the end of 2022 had not yet improved to 2019 levels post-pandemic, but were getting close, especially at the older ages relevant for the VAs.





# Annual Rates of Historical Mortality Improvement applied for VAs covering 2013-2019

		Current				Current	
	G2	нмі	Difference		G2	НМІ	Difference
	Scale	2013-2019	(2) - (1)		Scale	2013-2019	(2) - (1)
Age	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	Age	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(3)</u>
52	1.10%	1.97%	0.87%	52	1.10%	0.96%	-0.14%
57	1.20%	0.95%	-0.25%	57	1.40%	0.92%	-0.48%
62	1.30%	0.62%	-0.68%	62	1.50%	0.95%	-0.55%
67	1.30%	1.16%	-0.14%	67	1.50%	0.47%	-1.03%
72	1.30%	1.38%	0.08%	72	1.50%	0.79%	-0.71%
77	1.30%	1.15%	-0.15%	77	1.50%	1.07%	-0.43%
82	1.20%	0.71%	-0.49%	82	1.30%	0.79%	-0.51%
87	0.80%	0.48%	-0.32%	87	0.90%	0.55%	-0.35%
92	0.50%	0.30%	-0.20%	92	0.60%	0.36%	-0.24%
97	0.30%	0.18%	-0.12%	97	0.30%	0.18%	-0.12%
102	0.10%	0.06%	-0.04%	102	0.10%	0.06%	-0.04%
105	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	105	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%





## Mortality Adjustment Factor Development

- The Base Adjustment Factors, representative of the central point of the industry study (mid-2013), are brought up to 12/31/2022 using the HMI basis just covered.
- The Mortality Adjustment Factors were developed for the quinquennial age groups by averaging the raw factors for the five consecutive ages, and then rounding and smoothing the results.
- We propose using linear interpolation for generating the factors for the individual ages.
- The G2 Improvement Scale would remain the mortality improvement basis for future mortality improvement (FMI).
- Slide 12 below shows a summary of the proposed revision of Mortality Adjustment Factors by the central age in the quinquennial groupings for the Enhanced Death Benefit, Basic Death Benefit, and GLB bases.
- Slides 13-15 show the development combining the base factors with the HMI impacts, along with a comparison to the current VM-21 factors.





#### **Variable Annuity Mortality Adjustment Factors**

	VA non-GLB Enhanced DB		VA non-GLE	Basic DB	VA GI	_B
Age	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	<u>Male</u>
52	150%	160%	105%	110%	95%	100%
57	160%	160%	110%	110%	95%	95%
62	160%	160%	105%	105%	88%	88%
67	155%	160%	100%	105%	88%	93%
72	150%	160%	108%	115%	98%	103%
77	145%	150%	113%	115%	105%	105%
82	135%	135%	115%	115%	113%	113%
87	120%	120%	113%	113%	113%	113%
92	115%	115%	113%	113%	113%	113%
97	110%	110%	110%	110%	110%	110%
102	105%	105%	105%	105%	105%	105%
105	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%





VA non-GLB
Enhanced DB—Female

#### VA non-GLB Enhanced DB—Male

	Base Adj	нмі	Factors	Current	Diff from		Base Adj	нмі	Factors	Current	Diff from
<u>Age</u>	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	thru 2022	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>thru 2022</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>
52	150.0%	97.7%	<b>150</b> %	100.0%	50.0%	52	150.0%	104.3%	160%	100.0%	60.0%
57	150.0%	105.3%	160%	100.0%	60.0%	57	150.0%	107.5%	160%	100.0%	60.0%
62	150.0%	108.6%	<b>160</b> %	100.0%	60.0%	62	150.0%	108.3%	160%	100.0%	60.0%
67	145.0%	104.9%	155%	104.0%	51.0%	67	145.0%	111.8%	160%	104.0%	56.0%
72	145.0%	103.4%	150%	114.0%	36.0%	72	145.0%	109.4%	160%	114.0%	46.0%
77	140.0%	105.0%	145%	118.0%	27.0%	77	140.0%	107.5%	150%	118.0%	32.0%
82	125.0%	106.9%	135%	113.0%	22.0%	82	125.0%	107.4%	135%	113.0%	22.0%
87	<b>112.0</b> %	104.6%	120%	110.0%	10.0%	87	112.0%	105.1%	120%	110.0%	10.0%
92	112.0%	102.8%	115%	110.0%	5.0%	92	112.0%	103.4%	115%	110.0%	5.0%
97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%	97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%
102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%	102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%
105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%	105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%





**VA non-GLB** 

**Basic DB—Female** 

**VA non-GLB** 

**Basic DB—Male** 

	Base Adj	HMI	Factors	Current	Diff from		Base Adj	HMI	Factors	Current	Diff from
Age	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>thru 2022</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	thru 2022	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>
52	105.0%	97.7%	105%	100.0%	5.0%	52	105.0%	104.3%	110%	100.0%	10.0%
57	105.0%	105.3%	110%	100.0%	10.0%	57	105.0%	107.5%	110%	100.0%	10.0%
62	95.0%	108.6%	105%	100.0%	5.0%	62	95.0%	108.3%	105%	100.0%	5.0%
67	95.0%	104.9%	100%	104.0%	-4.0%	67	95.0%	111.8%	105%	104.0%	1.0%
72	105.0%	103.4%	108%	114.0%	-6.0%	72	105.0%	109.4%	115%	114.0%	1.0%
77	108.0%	105.0%	113%	118.0%	-5.0%	77	108.0%	107.5%	115%	118.0%	-3.0%
82	108.0%	106.9%	115%	113.0%	2.0%	82	108.0%	107.4%	115%	113.0%	2.0%
87	108.0%	104.6%	113%	110.0%	3.0%	87	108.0%	105.1%	113%	110.0%	3.0%
92	110.0%	102.8%	113%	110.0%	3.0%	92	110.0%	103.4%	113%	110.0%	3.0%
97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%	97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%
102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%	102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%
105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%	105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%

**VA GLB—Female** 

**VA GLB—Male** 

	Base Adj	НМІ	Factors	Current	Diff from		Base Adj	нмі	Factors	Current	Diff from
<u>Age</u>	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>thru 2022</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>Age</u>	<u>Factors</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>thru 2022</u>	<u>VM-21</u>	<u>VM-21</u>
52	95.0%	97.7%	95%	80.0%	<b>15.0</b> %	52	95.0%	104.3%	100%	80.0%	20.0%
57	90.0%	105.3%	95%	80.0%	<b>15.0</b> %	57	90.0%	107.5%	95%	80.0%	15.0%
62	80.0%	108.6%	88%	80.0%	8.0%	62	80.0%	108.3%	88%	80.0%	8.0%
67	83.0%	104.9%	88%	83.0%	5.0%	67	83.0%	111.8%	93%	83.0%	10.0%
72	95.0%	103.4%	98%	90.5%	7.5%	72	95.0%	109.4%	103%	90.5%	12.5%
77	98.0%	105.0%	105%	98.0%	7.0%	77	98.0%	107.5%	105%	98.0%	7.0%
82	105.0%	106.9%	113%	105.5%	7.5%	82	105.0%	107.4%	113%	105.5%	7.5%
87	108.0%	104.6%	113%	110.0%	3.0%	87	108.0%	105.1%	113%	110.0%	3.0%
92	110.0%	102.8%	113%	110.0%	3.0%	92	110.0%	103.4%	113%	110.0%	3.0%
97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%	97	110.0%	101.7%	110%	108.0%	2.0%
102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%	102	104.0%	100.6%	105%	103.0%	2.0%
105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%	105	100.0%	100.0%	100%	100.0%	0.0%



