**Generator of Economic Scenarios (GOES) Unaggregated Field Test Questions**

1. Should the focus of the field test be on a limited set of field test runs that are all mandatory for participants, or should additional scenario sets on top of the mandatory scenario sets be provided to allow some companies to do more analysis as desired?
2. What valuation date/starting condition(s) should be included?
	1. What types of economic environments need to be tested?
		1. High/low starting interest rate levels
		2. High/low spread environments
		3. Other?
	2. Initial Recommendation: 12/31/23 and 12/31/21 with inforce from 12/31/23 adjusted as necessary for other valuation dates. SERT scenarios will also be included with these starting conditions.
3. Should any variations other than valuation date be included in the field test runs?
	1. Note: Original field test included runs with multiple calibrations, UST Floor designs, and attribution analysis.
	2. Initial Recommendation: No
4. What scenario subsets should be utilized?
	1. Initial Recommendation: Companies should use a consistent scenario selection approach and number of scenarios between a field test scenario set and the scenario set used in the baseline.
	2. Note: Conning excel tool to create subsets will be made available for companies to create desired subsets from full 10k scenario set.
5. What other features of the unaggregated field test need to be defined?
	1. Initial Recommendation: As the first GOES field test was designed to be aggregated across companies, the characteristics were well defined in order to support comparisons. The emphasis this time will be on each company sharing the results of the field testing that they feel are most crucial to communicate to regulators. Therefore, less specifications are needed for the unaggregated field test.