

# PBMs and Impact on Access and Affordability

Amy Killelea, JD

Senior Director, Health Systems & Policy

NASTAD

# 1) Formulary Inclusion, UM, and Pharmacy Network Design

---

- PBMs play an outsized role in prescription drug access, including through:
  - P&T committee administration; evaluating clinical efficacy and clinical justification for formulary inclusion and any UM
  - Value committee; evaluating cost-effectiveness of formulary inclusion, tiering, and UM based on net price, including rebates
  - Pharmacy network design, including specialty pharmacy and mail order requirements

*Note: Models 22 and 74 address some but not all of these activities*

# Access Challenges

---

Formulary inclusion	Utilization management	Pharmacy network
Formularies exclude drugs with a lower list price; preference cost over clinical efficacy	The use of prior authorization for certain specialty medications is increasing, and research shows is arbitrarily used*	Limited pharmacy networks and specialty and mail order requirements burden patients

*\* Paper forthcoming examining prior authorization applied to certain HIV medications, University of Virginia and NASTAD*

## 2) Transparency

---

- Consumers need to know how much they will be paying for their prescription drugs, particularly when co-insurance is used
- Health plans, regulators, and consumers need to know how rebates are being spent and where they are being reinvested (each group may require different levels of information)

### 3) Affordability

---

- PBMs play a significant role in the ultimate prescription drug cost passed to the consumer
- Rebates generated are generally used to defray premiums, not to reduce prescription drug cost sharing for consumers (see [GAO report re Medicare Part D rebates](#) )
  - This disproportionately impacts people who rely on high-cost medications, who are subject to an inflated list price when calculating pre-deductible costs and co-insurance
- Practices like co-pay accumulator policies put the consumer in the middle of the insurer and the manufacturer and are not a substitute for sound drug pricing reforms

# Patient Cost Sharing for Preferred Specialty Drugs Is Unaffordable

---

- 69% of individual market silver plans use co-insurance, with a median co-insurance level of 40%
  - Most HIV regimens are ~\$2,000/month WAC; a 40% co-insurance represents \$800 per fill
- Less than 10% of silver plans in the individual market use co-payments that are not subject to the deductible; for those that do, the median co-pay is \$550
- High deductible plans are becoming more prevalent
  - Consumers pay list price for prescription drugs pre-deductible

Source: Hempstead, K. Robert Wood Johnson Foundation, February 28, 2019

# Manufacturer Co-pay Cards Are a Symptom of A Broken System

---

- Consumers depend on manufacturer co-pay cards to afford their deductibles and cost sharing for specialty medications
- Co-pay accumulators allow plans to double dip; charging the co-pay card up until its annual maximum and then charging the consumer up until the plan annual OOP maximum
  - [CCIIO guidance](#) announced non-enforcement of NBPP 2020 protections limiting co-pay accumulators to brand-name drugs w/generic equivalent
- Solution is not to remove a safety net; fix underlying problem of drug pricing and high consumer drug costs
  - Carl Schmid, [Briefing on State Legislative and Administrative Actions to Address Prescription Drug Cost-Sharing](#), NAIC National Meeting (November 2018)

# Co-pay Accumulator Impact

## CASE STUDY FOR PREP

### SILVER LEVEL HIGH DEDUCTIBLE PLAN (CO-PAY)

- Plan annual OOP maximum: \$6,000; Deductible (combined medical and Rx): \$3,000
- Drug cost sharing for preferred brand: \$50 after deductible
- Industry co-pay assistance program (CAP) annual max: \$7,200
- WAC monthly drug price: \$1,676

#### COSTS WITHOUT CO-PAY ACCUMULATOR POLICY

Month	Consumer Pays	Co-pay Card pays
January	\$0	\$1,676
February	\$0	\$1,374
March	\$0	\$50
April	\$0	\$50
May	\$0	\$50
June-December	\$0	\$50
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$3,550</b>
<b>Total plan payments (consumer and co-pay card) .....</b>		<b>\$3,550</b>

#### COSTS WITH CO-PAY ACCUMULATOR POLICY

Month	Consumer Pays	Co-pay Card pays
January	\$0	\$1,676
February	\$0	\$1,676
March	\$0	\$1,676
April	\$0	\$1,676
May	\$1,180	\$496 (max co-pay assistance hit)
June	\$1,676	\$0
July	\$194	\$0
June-December	\$50	\$0
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$3,300</b>	<b>\$7,200</b>
<b>Total plan payments (consumer and co-pay card) .....</b>		<b>\$10,500</b>



# Considerations for the Subgroup

---

- Strengthen and reinforce the applicability of relevant formulary and access protections included in Model 22
- Strengthen conflict of interest standards to ensure that formulary and access decisions are based on clinical justifications and not PBM self dealing
- Review network adequacy standards in Model 74 and ensure that pharmacy network nuances (e.g., mail order and specialty pharmacy requirements and potential abuses arising from vertical mergers) are addressed
- Develop transparency standards for PBM practices
- Ensure rebates are used to defray consumer prescription drug cost sharing, not just premiums

# Thank you!

---

- Amy Killelea ([akillelea@nastad.org](mailto:akillelea@nastad.org))