Notice of Public Hearing and Request for Written Comments

**Basis for hearings.** The Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group (SAPWG) will hold a public hearing to obtain information from and views of interested individuals and organizations about the standards proposed in this Exposure Draft. The SAPWG will conduct the hearing in accordance with the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) Policy Statement on Open Meetings. An individual or organization desiring to speak must notify the NAIC in writing by **June 3, 2022**. Speakers will be notified as to the date, location and other details of the hearings.

**Oral presentation requirements.** The intended speaker must submit a position paper, a detailed outline of a proposed presentation or comment letter addressing the standards proposed in this Exposure Draft by **June 3, 2022**. Individuals or organizations whose submission is not received by this date will only be granted permission to present at the discretion of the Working Group chair. All submissions should be addressed to NAIC staff at the address listed below.

**Format of hearings.** Speakers will be allotted up to 10 minutes for their presentations to be followed by a period for answering questions from the SAPWG. Speakers should use their allotted time to provide information in addition to their already submitted written comments as those comments will have been read and analyzed by the SAPWG. Those submissions will be included in the public record and will be available at the hearings for inspection.

**Copies.** Exposure drafts can be obtained on the Working Group’s Web page at (http://www.naic.org). The documents can be downloaded using Microsoft Word.

**Written comments.** Participation at a public hearing is not a prerequisite to submitting written comments on this Exposure Draft. Written comments are given the same consideration as public hearing testimony.

The Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts was adopted by the Accounting Practices & Procedures (EX4) Task Force on September 20, 1994, in order to provide a foundation for the evaluation of alternative accounting treatments. All issues considered by the SAPWG will be evaluated in conjunction with the objectives of statutory reporting and the concepts set forth in the Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts. Whenever possible, establish a relationship between your comments and the principles defining statutory accounting.

The exposure period is not meant to only measure support for, or opposition to, a particular accounting treatment but rather to accumulate an analysis of the issues from other perspectives and persuasive comments supporting them. Therefore, form letters and objections without valid support for their conclusions are not helpful in the deliberations of the SAPWG. Comments should register agreement or disagreement with a detailed explanation, a description of the impact of the proposed guidelines, and possible alternative recommendations for accomplishing the regulatory objective.

Any individual or organization may send written comments addressed to the SAPWG to the attention of Julie Gann at jgann@naic.org, Robin Marcotte at rmarcotte@naic.org, Jim Pinegar at jpinegar@naic.org, Jason Farr at jfarr@naic.org and Jake Stultz at jstultz@naic.org no later than **June 3, 2022**. Electronic submission is preferred. Jim Pinegar is the NAIC staff that is the project lead for this topic.
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SUMMARY OF ISSUE

1. This issue paper documents the SAP clarification revisions to SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets. The intent of the revisions is to align current statutory accounting guidance, specifically the definition of an “asset,” with the term utilized by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. The statutory accounting principle clarifications to SSAP No. 4 (illustrated in Exhibit A), reflect that for the purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit. An asset has two essential characteristics: (1) it is a present right, and (2) the right is to an economic benefit. The combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. For clarity, an “economic benefit” represents services or other items of economic value and generally result in net cash inflows to the entity. Pursuant to current guidance, assets are then evaluated, as outlined in paragraph 3 below, to determine whether they are admitted for statutory accounting purposes.

3. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet, and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or


If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.
5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

DISCUSSION

6. In December 2021, FASB issued Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements, which introduced updated definitions of certain key elements used in financial reporting – most notably updating the fundamental definition of an asset. Through the FASB’s adoption of Concept Statement No. 8, the original Concept Statement No. 6 has been superseded. As statutory accounting currently reflects FASB’s historical definition, this issue paper is to review the prior concept definition (currently utilized by statutory accounting) and compare it to FASB’s updated concept definition and assess whether the revised concept definition shall be reflected in statutory accounting.

7. FASB concept statements do not reflect authoritative U.S. GAAP guidance. Rather concept statements are intended to set forth objectives and fundamental concepts that will be the basis for development of financial accounting and reporting guidance. The term “asset” is not captured or defined in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (which is the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP.) Furthermore, although the concept statement is intended to be used as a guide in establishing authoritative U.S. GAAP, the FASB is not restricted to the concepts when developing guidance, and the FASB may issue U.S. GAAP which may be inconsistent with the objectives and fundamental concepts set forth in Concept Statements. A change in a FASB Concept Statement does not 1) require a change in existing U.S. GAAP, 2) amend, modify or interpret the Accounting Standards Codification, or 3) justify either changing existing generally accepted accounting and reporting practices or interpreting the Accounting Standards Codification based on personal interpretations of the objectives and concepts in the concepts statement.

8. Under the prior FASB concept statement, which was reflected in SSAP No. 4, an asset was defined as a probable future economic benefit obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. In addition, the historical definition possessed three essential characteristics in that (1) it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, (2) a particular enterprise can obtain the benefit and control others' access to it, and (3) the transaction or other event giving rise to the enterprise's right to or control of the benefit has already occurred.

9. Pursuant to the prior concept statement, and as incorporated in SSAP No. 4, probable, as referenced both in the definition and essential characters, was used in a usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense and referred to which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

10. With the new FASB conceptual framework chapter, an asset is now defined as a present right of an entity to an economic benefit. In addition, the current definition only has two essential characteristics in that the asset is (1) a present right, and (2) the right is to an economic benefit. The combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. For clarity, an “economic benefit” represents services or other items of economic value to the asset holder and generally result in net cash inflows to the entity.

11. The updated asset definition from Concept Statement No. 8 no longer includes the term probable or the phrases future economic benefit and as a result of past transactions or events. The FASB concluded that the term probable has historically been misunderstood as implying that a future benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset was met. Thus, if the probability of a future benefit was low, an asset could not be recognized. The FASB also struck the phrase future economic benefit
as this phrase often was interpreted that the asset must represent a certain future economic benefit (such as eventual cash inflows), however with this action, the FASB clarified that the asset represents the rights to the benefit, not the actual benefit itself – nor the probability of realization. Finally, FASB struck the phrase *as the result of past transactions or events*. It was concluded that if the asset represents a *present right*, by default, the right must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.

12. To meet the definition of an asset, the right must be a “present right,” that is the right must exist at the financial statement date, not a right that is expected to occur in the future. The existence of a present right at the financial statement date means that the right and therefore the reasons why that asset was obtained, must have arisen from a past transaction or event. A right entitles its holder to have or obtain something, or act in a certain manner. Rights can be obtained in various ways and are often obtained through legal ownership. Legal ownership gives the owner access to the economic benefits, including the ability to possess, use, and enjoy the right. However, legally enforceable rights to economic benefits can also be obtained without legal ownership of the underlying property. This occurs in cases where the underlying benefit itself, as is the example in the right of use or rights to specified cashflows in contract provisions, are possessed by an entity other than the legal title holder. One important aspect of the change in definition is the removal of the term “control.” The FASB clarified that while the term control has been removed, the notion of control has been maintained in the updated definition. In the prior definition, control was a required element and thus without control, an asset was not recognized. However, control often refers to the ability to direct, manage, or have power over something. The FASB stated that in many instances, constituents misunderstood the notion of control by 1) believing it represented a probable future economic benefit, or 2) failing to properly identify what was specifically controlled. An example provided was a trade receivable – the definition of control might be misapplied to mean the successful collection; however, the correct application should refer to the rights of collection – not the successful collection itself. Citing this as an example, the FASB concluded that while the notion of control was an important aspect, the explicit term did not sufficiently add to the definition – thus the term “control” was removed.

13. When reviewing the substance of the revisions, the FASB concluded that the updated definition resulted in a clearer and more precise definition and it did not fundamentally change the historical concept of an asset, nor should the revisions result in any material changes in instrument reclassification (e.g., items now being classified as an asset when previously they were not considered assets). For statutory accounting purposes, the updated definition should be viewed similarly, that is it does not change fundamental concepts, change current practices, or introduce a new, original or a modified accounting principle. The revisions to the definition of an asset clarify the definitional language and do not modify the original intent of SSAP No. 4 and thus the changes are deemed to be a statutory accounting principle clarification.

14. One concept articulated in SSAP No. 4, and one that is not proposed for revision, is the concept of nonadmitted assets. As revisions are not proposed to this concept, further discussion is not included in this issue paper.

**Actions of the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group**

15. During the Spring 2022 National Meeting, the Working Group is exposed this issue paper for public comment.
RELEVANT STATUTORY ACCOUNTING AND GAAP GUIDANCE

Statutory Accounting

16. Relevant excerpts of SSAP No. 4, paragraphs 2-5 regarding the definition of an asset and a nonadmitted asset (nonadmitted asset as it is referenced in definition of an asset paragraph) utilized by statutory accounting is:

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: probable\(^1\) future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has three essential characteristics: (a) it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, (b) a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others’ access to it\(^2\), and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity’s right to or control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, "The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet," and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or


If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

\(^1\) FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states:

Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

\(^2\) If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third-party interests. Thus, pursuant to paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.
5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

**Generally Accepted Accounting Principles**

17. Relevant paragraphs from *Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements* have been included below:

**Assets**

E16. An asset is a present right of an entity to an economic benefit.

**Characteristics of Assets**

E17. An asset has the following two essential characteristics: a. It is a present right. b. The right is to an economic benefit.

The combination of those two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled.

E19. Essential to the definition of an asset is a right to an “economic benefit”—the capacity to provide services or benefits to the entities that use them. Generally, in a business entity, that economic benefit eventually results in potential net cash inflows to the entity. In a not-for-profit entity, that economic benefit is used to provide desired or needed goods or services to beneficiaries or other constituents, which may or may not directly result in net cash inflows to the entity. Some not-for-profit entities rely significantly on contributions or donations of cash to supplement selling prices or to replace cash or other assets used in providing goods and services. The relationship between the economic benefit of an entity’s assets and net cash inflows to that entity can be indirect in both business entities and not-for-profit entities.

E22. A right entitles its holder to have or obtain something or to act in a certain manner. Rights can be obtained in various ways. Often, rights are obtained by legal ownership, for example, owning a building. Legal ownership gives the owner access to economic benefits, including the ability to possess, use, and enjoy the right; to sell, donate, or exchange the right; or to exploit the right’s value by, for example, pledging it as a security for borrowing.

E23. Legally enforceable rights to economic benefits can be obtained without legal ownership of the underlying benefit itself as is the case, for example, when property is leased or intellectual property is licensed or when an entity has the rights to specified certain cash flows, as in the case of a contract providing rights only to interest flows from a specified debt instrument. Other legally enforceable rights that give rise to assets include the right to require other parties to make payments or render services and the right to use a patent or a trademark. Legally enforceable rights include, among other rights, contractual rights (for example, rights from options held).

E31. Another essential characteristic of an asset is that the right of an entity must be to an economic benefit. An asset of an entity might be represented by rights to a particular property (such as the right to possess, use, and enjoy a parcel of land) or by rights to some or all the economic benefits derived from the property.

18. One of the most notable changes to the definitional change was the explicit removal of the term *control*, however the notion of control was retained. *Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements* included commentary regarding the FASB’s rationale of the change.

BC4.17. The definition of an asset in Concepts Statement 6 associated assets with a particular entity by inclusion of the term control. Control often refers to the ability to direct, manage, or have
power over something to obtain or access benefits or to increase, maintain, or protect those benefits. Control goes beyond legal rights and includes the ability to obtain and control the benefit in other ways, including restricting, or otherwise prohibiting, the access of others to the economic benefit of the asset.

BC4.18. In applying the definition of an asset in Concepts Statement 6, however, many constituents misunderstood the notion of control. Some improperly viewed control of a probable future economic benefit in the same manner as described in business combinations or consolidation accounting. Additionally, in applying the term control, some failed to properly identify that which was controlled under the asset definition. For example, in the instance of trade receivables, the definition could be misunderstood to indicate that what is controlled is the successful collection of the receivable in the future. When applied appropriately, however, the definition in Concepts Statement 6 would conclude that the present right to collection is what is controlled. Similarly, if an entity has an option to acquire an asset, the present right of that entity is to the option itself, not the underlying asset that the option provides the right to acquire. Thus, control references the existing right that has the ability to generate economic benefits, or potential economic benefits, and to restrict others’ access to those benefits.

BC4.19. While the Board concluded that the notion of control was an important aspect of the asset definition, it was not clear to the Board whether the explicit term control added anything significant to the definition of an asset. Those considerations are addressed by including the term present right in the definition in this chapter. If an entity has a present right to an economic benefit, that would seem to be sufficient to establish the fact that the asset is an asset of that entity. Indeed, if an entity has exclusive rights, it presumably can deny or regulate access to that benefit by others, thereby implying control.

BC4.22. The Board redeliberated the issue and decided that the term control should not be used in the definition of an asset for the following reasons:

a. It eliminates redundancy. If an entity has a present right, that would seem to be sufficient to establish the fact that the asset is an asset of that entity. In fact, the Board used the phrase of the entity in the definition of an asset to clarify that point. Indeed, if an entity has exclusive rights, it presumably can deny or regulate access to that benefit by others.

b. It eliminates misunderstanding of the term. The term control has two issues in the existing definition of an asset. First, many have a different definition of the term control. Second, many associate the term control with whether one has control of the economic benefit. The Board notes that what is controlled is the existing right that gives rise to economic benefits, or potential economic benefits, rather than the economic benefits themselves. The Board’s reasoning for removing the term control is the same as removing other terms, such as future and probable, from the definition of an asset.

c. It avoids confusion with the IASB’s Conceptual Framework use and meaning of the term. The IASB defines an asset as “a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events.” In the basis for conclusions to the IASB’s Conceptual Framework’s discussion on control, footnote 19 references both IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements, and IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The Board is concerned about the references to IFRS 10 and IFRS 15 because those standards refer to control of an economic benefit, not control of the right. The Board notes that convergence with the IASB’s asset definition on this point is not critical because it could perpetuate the misunderstanding discussed above.

19. Other changes regarding the definition of an asset included removal of the term probable and the phrases future economic benefit and past transactions of events. Rationale for these changes were documented in Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements commentary as follows:
BC4.11. The definitions of both an asset and a liability in Concepts Statement 6 include the term probable and the phrases future economic benefit and past transactions or events. The term probable in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 has been misunderstood as implying that a future economic benefit or a future sacrifice of economic benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset or a liability is met. In other words, if the probability of future economic benefit is low, the asset definition is not met under that interpretation. A similar interpretation could be made for liabilities. The footnotes to the Concepts Statement 6 definition of assets and liabilities also were not helpful in clarifying the application of the term probable as used in the definitions of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, the Board decided to eliminate that term from the definitions of both assets and liabilities.

BC4.12. The term future in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 focused on identifying a future flow of economic benefits to demonstrate that an asset exists or identifying a future transfer of economic benefits to demonstrate that a liability exists. The definitions in Concepts Statement 6 were often misunderstood as meaning that the asset (liability) is the ultimate future inflow (outflow). For example, in the instance of trade receivables, the definition in Concepts Statement 6 could be misunderstood to indicate that the asset is the successful collection of the receivable in the future. When applied appropriately, however, the definition would conclude that the asset is the present right to collection. Similar misunderstandings occurred in applying the liability definition. As a result, the Board concluded that a focus on the term present would appropriately shift the focus from identifying a future occurrence. Therefore, the Board decided to include the term present right to demonstrate that an asset exists and emphasize the term present obligation to demonstrate that a liability exists.

BC4.13. The definitions of assets and liabilities in Concepts Statement 6 both include the phrase past transactions or events. The Board concluded that if an entity has a present right or a present obligation, one can reasonably assume that it was obtained from some past transaction or event. Therefore, that phrase is considered redundant and has been eliminated from the definitions.

RELEVANT LITERATURE

Statutory Accounting
- Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts and Statutory Hierarchy

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Effective Date

20. As issue papers are not authoritative and are not represented in the Statutory Hierarchy (see Section V of the Preamble), the consideration and adoption of this issue paper will not have any impact on the SAP clarifications adopted to SSAP No. 4 by the Working Group on TBD.
EXHIBIT A – SAP Clarification Revisions to SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 4

Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement establishes the definition of an “asset” for use in statutory accounting and establishes the criteria for consistent treatment of nonadmitted assets.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has three two essential characteristics: (a) it is a present right embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, and (b) the right is to an economic benefit, a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others’ access to it, and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity’s right to or control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, “The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet,” and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or


If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual.

---

1. FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states:

Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

2. FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8, Elements of Financial Statements, states that the combination of these two characteristics allows an entry to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefits and the ability to restrict other’s access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled.

5. If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third party interests. Thus, pursuant to paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.
The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

Assets Pledged as Collateral or Otherwise Restricted

6. Assets that are pledged to others as collateral or otherwise restricted (not under the exclusive control of the insurer, subject to a put option contract, etc.) shall be identified in the investment schedules pursuant to the codes in the annual statement instructions, disclosed in accordance with SSAP No. 1—Accounting Policies, Risks & Uncertainties, and Other Disclosures, reported in the general interrogatories, and included in any other statutory schedules or disclosure requirements requesting information for assets pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted. Restricted assets should be reviewed to determine admitted or nonadmitted assets status in the statutory financial statements per the terms of their respective SSAPs. Asset restrictions may be a factor in determining the admissibility of an asset under a respective SSAP. However, determining that a restricted asset is an admitted asset does not eliminate the statutory requirements to document and identify the asset as one that is pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted.

7. Assets pledged as collateral are one example of assets that are not under the exclusive control of the insurer, and are therefore restricted, even if the assets are admitted under statutory accounting guidelines (e.g., the asset is substitutable and/or other related SSAP conditions are met). As such, the asset shall be coded as pledged in the investment schedules pursuant to the annual statement instructions, disclosed in accordance with SSAP No. 1, reported in the general interrogatories, and included in any other statutory schedules or disclosure requirements requesting information for assets pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted.

Disclosure

8. The financial statements shall disclose if the written capitalization policy and the resultant predefined thresholds changed from the prior period and the reason(s) for such change.

Relevant Literature


Effective Date and Transition

10. This statement is effective for years beginning January 1, 2001. A change resulting from the adoption of this statement shall be accounted for as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors. Guidance reflected in paragraphs 3, 5 and 8, incorporated from SSAP No. 87, was originally effective for years beginning on and after January 1, 2004.

---

6 An example of such a situation is detailed in footnote 2 pertaining to assets restricted by the action of a related party. This is only a single example and each restricted asset would need to be reviewed to ensure it qualifies as an admitted asset.
The guidance in footnote 2 to paragraph 2 was originally contained within *INT 01-03: Assets Pledged as Collateral or Restricted for the Benefit of a Related Party* and was effective June 11, 2001.
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