

**Mike Monahan**

Senior Director, Accounting Policy
202-624-2324 t
mikemonahan@acli.com

Paul Graham

Senior Vice President, Chief Actuary
202-624-2164 t
paulgraham@acli.com

October 31, 2022

Mr. Dale Bruggeman, Chairman
Statutory Accounting Principles Working Group
National Association of Insurance Commissioners
1100 Walnut Street, Suite 1500
Kansas City, MO 64106-2197

Dear Mr. Bruggeman:

Re: Proposal for the NAIC to Fulfill the Original Intent of the Interest Maintenance Reserve

The American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI) would like to request urgent action on an issue that was never fully resolved by the NAIC and has become a pressing matter for the industry due to the rapid rise in interest rates – the allowance of a net negative Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR) balance.

The ACLI proposes the allowance of a negative IMR balance in statutory accounting. Negative IMR balances are expected to become more prevalent in a higher interest rate environment and their continued disallowance will only serve to project misleading optics on insurers' financial strength (e.g. inappropriate perception of decreased financial strength through lower surplus and risk-based capital even though higher rates are favorable to an insurer's financial health) while creating uneconomic incentives for asset-liability management (e.g. discourage prudent investment transactions that are necessary to avoid mismatches between assets and liabilities just to avoid negative IMR).

ACLI believes the necessary changes can be implemented quickly and with minimal changes to the annual statement reporting instructions.

American Council of Life Insurers | 101 Constitution Ave, NW, Suite 700 | Washington, DC 20001-2133

The American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI) is the leading trade association driving public policy and advocacy on behalf of the life insurance industry. 90 million American families rely on the life insurance industry for financial protection and retirement security. ACLI's member companies are dedicated to protecting consumers' financial wellbeing through life insurance, annuities, retirement plans, long-term care insurance, disability income insurance, reinsurance, and dental, vision and other supplemental benefits. ACLI's 280 member companies represent 94 percent of industry assets in the United States.

The remainder of this letter expands upon these points.

Historical Context and Background

The IMR, first effective in statutory accounting in 1992, requires that a realized fixed income gain or loss, attributable to changes in interest rates (but not gains or losses that are credit related), be amortized into income over the remaining term to maturity of the fixed income investments (and related hedging programs) sold rather than being reflected in income immediately.

Since statutory accounting practices for life insurance companies are the primary determinant of obtaining an accurate picture for assessing solvency, it was imperative that the accounting practices be consistent for assets, liabilities, and income and that they be reported on a financially consistent basis. If assets and liabilities were not reported on a financially consistent basis, then the financial statements would not be useful in determining an accurate assessment of solvency or whether there were sufficient assets to pay contractual obligations when they become due.

Amortized cost valuation of fixed income investments reflects the outlook at the time of purchase and amortization reflects the yields available at time of purchase. Policy reserve liabilities are established at the same time, and the interest rate assumptions are consistent with the yields at that time. But if fixed income investments are sold, with the proceeds reinvested in new fixed income investments, a new amortization schedule is established which may be based on an entirely different yield environment, which may be inconsistent with the reserve liabilities when they were established.

IMR was created to prevent the timing of the realization of gains or losses on fixed income investments, related to interest rates changes, to affect the immediate financial performance of the insurance company. This recognized that the gains and losses were transitory without any true economic substance since the proceeds would be reinvested at offsetting lower or higher interest rates.

For example, without the IMR, if a company sold all bonds in a declining interest environment (e.g., from 4% to 2%), and reinvested in new bonds, surplus would increase through significant realized gains. The increased surplus would inappropriately reflect increased financial strength that is illusory, due to a now lower yielding portfolio, as there would be no change to the income needed to support the liabilities.

Likewise, if a company sold all bonds in an increasing interest rate environment (e.g., from 2% to 4%), and reinvested in new bonds, surplus would decrease through significant realized losses. The decreased surplus would inappropriately reflect decreased financial strength that is similarly illusory due to the reinvestment at higher yields relative to when the bonds were originally purchased.

A net negative IMR is currently disallowed in statutory accounting. This handling is contrary to its original intent which recognized that interest related gains and losses are both transitory without any true economic substance since the proceeds would be reinvested at offsetting lower or higher interest rates, respectively. See attachment I to this letter that illustrates the financially consistent

treatment of assets, liabilities, and income and how IMR is needed to achieve that objective for both realized gains and losses.

That IMR should conceptually apply to both realized gains and losses was recognized by the NAIC during and after IMR development. The below is a quote from a 2002 report by the NAIC AVR/IMR Working Group to the E-Committee:

*“The basic rationale for the IMR would conclude that neither a maximum nor a minimum is appropriate. If the liability values are based on the assumption that the assets were purchased at about the same time as the liabilities were established, then there should be no bounds to the reserve which corrects for departures from that assumption; **if a company has to set up a large reserve because of trading gains, it is in no worse position than if it had held the original assets. As for negative values of the IMR, the same rationale applies. However, the concept of a negative reserve in the aggregate has not been adopted.**”*

While realized losses can offset realized gains in IMR, the IMR instructions require the disallowance of a net negative IMR balance (e.g., as noted in the last sentence of the aforementioned quote). See attachment II to this letter, which includes the pertinent IMR instructions where negative IMR balances are currently disallowed and in need of amendment.

When IMR was originally developed, it was intended to achieve its purpose in both a declining and rising interest rate environment. The originally adopted disallowed status of a negative IMR was expected to be addressed in subsequent years. However, over time with the persistent declining interest rates, the issue lost urgency since a negative IMR would not have been a significant issue for any company. The NAIC AVR/IMR Working Group ultimately disbanded without ever addressing this longstanding item on their agenda.

With a rising interest rate environment, it is important that the allowance of a negative IMR be addressed to fulfill its original purpose. In general, rising interest rates are favorable to the financial health of the insurance industry as well as for policyowners.

Without a change, the rising interest rate environment will give the inappropriate perception of decreased financial strength through lower surplus and risk-based capital and worse, create incentives for insurance companies to take action, or not take actions, to prevent uneconomic surplus impacts where the actions (or lack thereof) themselves may be economically detrimental.

Symmetrical treatment of a negative IMR (i.e., the allowance of a negative IMR balance) would appropriately not change surplus as a sale and reinvestment would not affect the underlying insurance company liquidity, solvency, or claims paying ability, just like with a positive IMR. See attachment III to this letter that illustrates that the sale of a fixed income investment, and reinvestment in a new fixed income investment, has no bearing on a life insurance company's liquidity, solvency, or claims paying ability.

As it was initially recognized by the NAIC that IMR should apply to both gains and losses, adequate safeguards were already built into the IMR instructions for asset adequacy, risk-based capital, and troubled companies.

Negative IMR – Reserve Adequacy and Risk-Based Capital

When IMR was developed, it was anticipated that a negative IMR balance would be reflected in asset adequacy analysis. This inclusion ensures that the assets, with the appropriate allocation from the IMR (whether negative or positive), would be adequate to fund future benefit obligations and related expenses of the company.

From the standpoint of reserve adequacy, the inclusion of a negative IMR balance appropriately reduces the investment income in asset adequacy testing. Without the inclusion of negative IMR, reserve inadequacies would potentially not be recognized.

Further, with the inclusion of a negative IMR balance in asset adequacy testing, the disallowance of a negative IMR can result in double counting of losses (i.e., through the disallowance on the balance sheet and the potential AAT-related reserve deficiency). The Actuarial Opinion that covers asset adequacy analysis requires the appropriate assessment of negative IMR in its analysis.

If a negative IMR balance is used in the asset adequacy analysis, its allowance is appropriate. Likewise, if only a portion of a company's negative IMR balance is reflected in the asset adequacy analysis, only the allowance for that portion of the negative IMR balance reflected is appropriate. If a negative IMR balance is disallowed, it would be inappropriate to include in asset adequacy analysis. It is imperative there is symmetry between both reserving and accounting considerations, and there is already precedent in the asset adequacy analyses for inclusion of IMR.

Below are the current references to IMR in the valuation manual and risk-based capital calculations.

Regulation	Use	IMR references
Actuarial Opinion and Memorandum Regulation (VM-30)	Asset adequacy analysis for annual reserve opinion	An appropriate allocation of assets in the amount of the IMR, whether positive or negative, shall be used in any asset adequacy analysis.
Life principle-based reserves (VM-20)	Calculation of deterministic reserve	Calculate the deterministic reserve equal to the actuarial present value of benefits, expenses, and related amounts less the actuarial present value of premiums and related amounts, less the positive or negative pre-tax IMR balance at the valuation date allocated to the group of one or more policies being modeled
Life principle-based reserves (VM-20)	Calculation of stochastic reserve	Add the CTE amount (D) plus any additional amount (E) less the positive or negative pre-tax IMR balance allocated to the group of one or more policies being modeled
Variable annuities principle-based reserves (VM-21)	Reserving for variable annuities	The IMR shall be handled consistently with the treatment in the company's cash-flow testing, and the amounts should be adjusted to a pre-tax basis.
C3 Phase 1 (Interest rate risk capital)	RBC for fixed annuities and single premium life	IMR assets should be used for C3 modeling.

Additional IMR Safeguards

The IMR instructions do provide additional safeguards in situations where it would be appropriate to recognize interest-rate related gains and losses immediately rather than be included in the IMR.

They were established to prevent situations where the liability the IMR supports, no longer exists. Examples noted in the annual statement instructions include:

- Major book-value withdrawals or increases in policy loans occurring at a time of elevated interest rates.
- Major book value withdrawals resulting from a “run on the bank” due to adverse publicity.

As a result, the IMR instructions include an IMR Exclusion whereby all gains or losses which arise from the sale of investments related to “Excess Withdrawal Activity” are to be excluded from IMR and reflected in net income. In short, Excess Withdrawal Activity is defined as 150% of the product of the lower of the withdrawal rate in the preceding or in the next preceding year calendar year times the withdrawal reserves at the beginning of the year.

Summary

With a rising interest rate environment, it is important that the allowance of a negative IMR be addressed to fulfill its original purpose. In general, rising interest rates are favorable to the financial health of the insurance industry as well as for policyowners. Without a change, the rising interest rate environment will give the inappropriate perception of decreased financial strength through lower surplus and risk-based capital.

The inability to recognize negative IMR could also impact the rating agency view of the industry, or worse, incentivize companies to avoid prudent investment transactions that are necessary to avoid mismatches between assets and liabilities. Furthermore, there are adequate safeguards in place to ensure that allowing a negative IMR does not cause any unrecognized reserve or capital inadequacies or any overstatement of claims paying ability.

Current statutory accounting guidance creates two equally objectionable alternatives for insurers and their policyowners. Following the current statutory guidance will improperly reflect financial strength through understating surplus, so additional surplus may need to be retained. Alternatively, one could take steps to manage the current situation by limiting trading of fixed income investments and related hedging programs, which would diminish significant economic value for policyowners, as well as create a mismatch between assets and liabilities.

Both scenarios encourage short-term non-economic activity not in the best long-term interest of the insurance company’s financial health or its policyowners. For insurers with diminishing IMR balances due to the rapid increase in interest rates, this dilemma is either here or fast approaching and can only be resolved now with certainty of the appropriate treatment of IMR by the NAIC.

The ACLI looks forward to urgently working with the NAIC toward fulfilling the original intent of IMR. It is imperative that insurers receive relief for year-end 2022.

If you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Monahan". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a prominent initial "M".

Mike Monahan
Senior Director, Accounting Policy

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Paul A. Dunham III". The signature is cursive and includes a stylized "III" at the end.

Paul Graham
Senior Vice President, Chief Actuary

Simplified Example – Need for Reporting Assets, Liabilities, and Income on a Consistent Basis:

- This example shows the appropriate interrelationship of IMR on assets, reserve liabilities, and income.
- Assume a bond is held with the following characteristics:
 - Par Value: \$1,000
 - Coupon: 3%
 - Term-to-maturity: 10 years
- Assume the bond is then sold at “time zero” and the proceeds are immediately reinvested in a bond with the same characteristics (e.g., term-to maturity, credit quality, coupon equivalent to market rate, etc.).
- Assume a simplified example with no existing IMR balance, where the bond supports a fixed insurance liability with the same duration as the original bond, as well as a present value of \$1,000.

Table 1: Market Interest Rate Scenario			
	Same	Lower	Higher
Market interest rate	3%	2%	4%
Bond’s market value	\$1,000	\$1,090	\$919
Realized gain/(loss) if sold	\$0	\$90	(\$81)*

Realized gain/(loss) deferred to balance sheet IMR and amortized into income over remaining life of bond sold (i.e., 10 years).

Table 2: Statutory Investment Income			
IMR amortization	\$0	\$9	(\$8)
Interest income on new bond	\$30	\$21	\$38
Total annual stat income	\$30	\$30	\$30

On average, future income is approximately the same in each interest rate scenario as the IMR gets reduced through amortization to income.

Table 3: Statutory Balance Sheet			
Balance Sheet Bonds	\$1,000	\$1,090	\$919
IMR	\$0	(\$90)	\$0*
Stat assets net of IMR	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$919*
Reserves	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000
Surplus	\$0	\$0	(\$81)*

Even though the sale of the bond (and subsequent reinvestment) is non-economic, and the same income is being produced to support the liability, a negative surplus position makes it appear there is now a deficiency. Allowing the negative IMR appropriately would show no surplus impact, as is shown when a gain occurs, as there is no change in reported reserve liabilities. Appropriately consistent financial results require the allowance of negative IMR

***The negative IMR balance is currently disallowed and directly reduces surplus. This treatment is not supported by theoretical rationale and gives a distorted view of solvency.**

Pertinent Annual Statement Instructions

Line 6 – Reserve as of December 31, Current Year

Record any positive or allowable negative balance in the liability line captioned “Interest Maintenance Reserve” on Page 3, Line 9.4 of the General Account Statement and Line 3 of the Separate Accounts Statement. A negative IMR balance may be recorded as a negative liability in either the General Account or the Separate Accounts Statement of a company only to the extent that it is covered or offset by a positive IMR liability in the other statement.

If there is any disallowed negative IMR balance in the General Account Statement, include the change in the disallowed portion in Page 4, Line 41 so that the change will be appropriately charged or credited to the Capital and Surplus Account on Page 4. If there is any disallowed negative IMR balance in the Separate Accounts Statement, determine the change in the disallowed portion (prior year less current year disallowed portions), and make a direct charge or credit to the surplus account for the “Change in Disallowed Interest Maintenance Reserve” in the write-in line, in the Surplus Account on Page 4 of the Separate Accounts Statement.

The following information is presented to assist in determining the proper accounting:

General Account IMR Balance	Separate Account IMR Balance	Net IMR Balance
Positive	Positive	Positive (see rule a)
Negative	Negative	Negative (see rule b)
Positive	Negative	Positive (see rule c)
Positive	Negative	Negative (see rule d)
Negative	Positive	Positive (see rule e)
Negative	Positive	Negative (see rule f)

Rules:

- a. If both balances are positive, then report each as a liability in its respective statement.
- b. If both balances are negative, then no portion of the negative balances is allowable as a negative liability in either statement. Report a zero for the IMR liability in each statement and follow the above instructions for handling disallowed negative IMR balances in each statement.
- c. If the general account balance is positive, the separate accounts balance is negative and the combined net balance is positive, then all of the negative IMR balance is allowable as a negative liability in the Separate Accounts Statement.
- d. If the general account balance is positive, the separate account balance is negative, and the combined net balance is negative, then the negative amount not covered by the positive amount is not allowable. Report only the allowable portion as a negative liability in the Separate Accounts Statement and follow the above instructions for handling the disallowed portion of negative IMR balances in the Separate Accounts Statement.
- e. If the general account balance is negative, the separate account balance is positive, and the combined net balance is positive, then all of the negative IMR balance is allowable as a negative liability in the General Account Statement.
- f. If the general account balance is negative, the separate account balance is positive, and the combined net balance is negative, then the negative amount not covered by the positive amount is not allowable. Report only the allowable portion as a negative liability in the General Account Statement and follow the above instructions for handling the disallowed portion of negative IMR balances in the General Account Statement.

IMR Illustration – Liquidity, Solvency and Claims Paying Ability

Essentially, a negative IMR balance from an individual trade represents the present value of the future positive interest rate differential, from the new investment compared to the old investment, that puts one in the same economic position, when compared to before the trade, including total liquid assets available to pay claims.

This phenomenon can be illustrated in the following table where a 10-year bond is sold, one year after purchase, and immediately reinvested in another 10-year bond with equivalent credit quality in an interest rate environment where market interest rates increased from 2% to 4% in the intervening year.

	Coupon Rate of Bond	Market Interest Rate @ Purchase	Par Value of Bond	Fair Value @ Purchase	Fair Value @ Time of Sale	Loss on Sale	Claims Paying Liquidity
Old Bond	2%	2%	100	100	85.13	14.87	85.13
New Bond	4%	4%	85.13	85.13	85.13	N/A	85.13

The short-term acceleration of negative IMR to surplus (e.g., its disallowance) is strictly a timing issue and not a true loss of financial strength or claims paying liquidity, but it does present a temporary and inappropriate optics issue in surplus/financial strength until the IMR is fully amortized.

This phenomenon can further be illustrated by comparing two separate hypothetical companies. Assume Company A and B both have the exact same balance sheets. Then assume Company A keeps the old bond and Company B affects the trade mentioned above.

With the disallowance of a negative IMR balance, Company B now has a balance sheet that shows a relative decline of financial strength of \$14.87. This weakened balance sheet contrasts with both the principle behind the development of IMR, the relative actual economic financial strength, and claims paying ability of the two entities.

There is no difference in balance sheet economics of the two entities. The negative IMR balance for Company B essentially represents the difference between cost and fair value of the investment sold, that is already embedded on Company A's balance sheet based on the existing interest rate environment. The negative IMR balance should be recognized as there is no change in economics pre and post trade (or in this instance between Company A and Company B) which is consistent with the overall principle behind IMR.