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• Innovations to prevent and treat disease have led to impressive reductions in morbidity and mortality

• Irrespective of remarkable clinical advances, cutting health care spending is the main focus of reform discussions

• Underutilization of high-value care persists across the entire spectrum of clinical care leading to poor health outcomes

• Our ability to deliver high-quality health care lags behind the rapid pace of scientific innovation
• Everyone (almost) agrees there is enough money in the US health care system; we just spend it on the wrong services and in the wrong places

• Moving from a volume-driven to value-based system requires a change in both how we pay for care and how we engage consumers to seek care

• The most common patient-facing strategy - consumer cost-sharing – is a ‘blunt’ instrument, in that patients pay more out of pocket for ALL care regardless of clinical value
Health Plan Deductibles have grown more than ten times faster than inflation over the last decade.
What’s Wrong With Health Insurance? Deductibles Are Ridiculous, for Starters.

July 7, 2022
Americans Do Not Care About Health Care Costs; They Care About What It Costs Them

Patient Worry About Out-of-Pocket Healthcare Costs at All-Time High

A report from the Commonwealth Fund noted that patients are not confident they can afford high out-of-pocket healthcare costs.
I can’t believe you had to spend a million dollars to show that if you make people pay more for something, they will buy less of it.

- Barbara Fendrick (my mother)
Effects of Increased Patient Cost Sharing on Socioeconomic Disparities in Health Care
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\begin{itemize}
  \item Cost-sharing worsens disparities and adversely affect health, particularly among economically vulnerable individuals and those with chronic conditions
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{8} Chernew M. \textit{J Gen Intern Med} 23(8):1131–6.
Alternative to “Blunt” Consumer Cost Sharing: Value-Based Insurance Design (V-BID)

- Sets consumer cost-sharing on clinical benefit – not price
- Little or no out-of-pocket cost for high value care; higher cost sharing for no/low value care
- Successfully implemented by hundreds of public and private payers
  - Focus typically on chronic disease medications:
    - Diabetes
    - Cardiovascular disease
    - Asthma
    - Mental health disorders
Alternative to “Blunt” Consumer Cost Sharing: Value-Based Insurance Design (V-BID)

- Sets consumer cost-sharing on clinical benefit – not price
- Little or no out-of-pocket cost for high value care; higher cost share for low value care
- Successfully implemented by hundreds of public and private payers
- Bipartisan political support
- Enhances equity
ACA Sec 2713: Selected Preventive Services be Provided without Cost-Sharing

- Receiving an A or B rating from the United States Preventive Services Taskforce (USPSTF)
- Immunizations recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP)
- Preventive care and screenings supported by the Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA)
Several outstanding questions remain, but it is possible that this ruling will mean that employers will no longer have to provide first-dollar coverage for the 52 services that have received an “A” or “B” rating from the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force.

This requirement benefitted almost 152 million people in 2020 and led to increases in cancer screening and vaccinations, improved access to contraceptives, and earlier detection and treatment of chronic health conditions, including hypertension, depression, high cholesterol and diabetes.
Percentage of Employers That Would Impose Cost Sharing for Preventive Services if Allowed by Law

Source: Employee Benefit Research Institute (EBRI) Pulse Survey of Health Benefits Decision Makers, n=25, representing over 600,000 employees.
Reduced cost-sharing permissible for:
- high-value services
- high-value providers
- enrollees participating in disease management or related programs
- additional supplemental benefits (non-health related)

### Wellness and Health Care Planning
- Advanced care planning
- Incentivize better health behaviors

### Targeting Socioeconomic Status
- Low-income subsidy
- Improve quality, decrease costs

### Rewards and Incentives
- $600 annual limit
- Increase participation
- Available for Part D

### Telehealth
- Service delivery innovations
- Augment existing provider networks

---

In 2021, 415 plans covering approximately 4.2 million beneficiaries were available in 47 states and Puerto Rico.
Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 Includes Several V-BID Elements

- Caps Medicare patients’ out-of-pocket costs at $2,000 per year, with the option to break that amount into affordable monthly payments
- Covers adult vaccines recommended by the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices under Medicare Part D without cost-sharing
- Amends the Internal Revenue Code to create a safe-harbor allowing Health Savings Account-eligible plans to cover insulin prior to meeting the plan deductible
- Caps Medicare patients’ out-of-pocket costs for insulin at $35 per month
HSA-HDHP Reform

PREVENTIVE CARE COVERED
Dollar one

CHRONIC DISEASE CARE
NOT covered until deductible is met
Treasury Expands Services and Drugs for Certain Chronic Conditions Classified as Preventive Care Under IRS Notice 2019-45

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preventive Care for Specified Conditions</th>
<th>For Individuals Diagnosed with</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angiotensin Converting Enzyme (ACE) inhibitors</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure, diabetes, and/or coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-resorptive therapy</td>
<td>Osteoporosis and/or osteopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blockers</td>
<td>Congestive heart failure and/or coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure monitor</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroids</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin and other glucose lowering agents</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinopathy screening</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak flow meter</td>
<td>Asthma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucometer</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1c testing</td>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Normalized Ratio (INR) testing</td>
<td>Liver disease and/or bleeding disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-density Lipoprotein (LDL) testing</td>
<td>Heart disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors (SSRIs)</td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>Heart disease and/or diabetes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Percentage of Employers Who Expanded Pre-Deductible Coverage in HSA-Eligible Health Plan for Preventive Services Allowed Under IRS Rule 2019-45

- Expanded Coverage, 76%
- Did Not Expand Coverage, 18%
- Don't know if Coverage was Expanded, 6%

81% of Employers Would Add Pre-Deductible Coverage for Additional Health Care Services If Allowed by Law

To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to permit high deductible health plans to provide chronic disease prevention services to plan enrollees prior to satisfying their plan deductible.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES
APRIL 28, 2021

Mr. THUNE (for himself and Mr. CARPER) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on Finance

A BILL
To amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to permit high deductible health plans to provide chronic disease prevention services to plan enrollees prior to satisfying their plan deductible.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled.
Paying for More Generous Coverage of High Value Care: Reduce Spending on Low Value Care

- Increase premiums – politically not feasible
- Raise deductibles and copayments – ‘tax on the sick’
- Reduce spending on low value care

Examples include:

- Vitamin D screening tests
- Diagnostic tests before low-risk surgery
- PSA screening for men 70 and older
- Branded drugs when identical generics are available
- Low-back pain imaging within 6 weeks of onset

$345 BILLION
Low-value care mitigation represents an opportunity for States to improve health care quality and further health equity while reducing spending

- The provision of low-value care is associated with emotional, physical, and financial harm, which can disproportionately affect people of color.

- “Double jeopardy:” minority patients may receive less effective care and more low value care.

- Annual spending on health care waste is estimated in the hundreds of billions of dollars;
  - Increasing pressure that health spending imparts on state budgets may make states uniquely positioned to act on low-value care.
Identifying and Measuring Unnecessary Care: Milliman Health Waste Calculator

- Uses claims to measure potentially unnecessary services
- Analyze cost savings potential
- Discover ways to enhance equity, improve quality and patient safety
- Generate actionable reports and summaries
Utilization and Spending on Low-Value Medical Care

State APCD Reports

VOL 1: CO, ME, VA, WA

VOL 2: CO, CT, UT, WI
Tools are available to measure utilization of, and spending on, low value care.

Billions $ are spent annually on high volume services that are frequently used in low value clinical settings.

Patients paid a substantial amount out of pocket on low value services.

Reducing unnecessary expenditures can fund promising state-wide interventions to expand coverage, enhance equity, and improve health.
Policy Options to Reduce Low Value Care:
Implement ACA Sec 4105

SEC. 4105. EVIDENCE-BASED COVERAGE OF PREVENTIVE SERVICES IN MEDICARE.

(a) AUTHORITY TO MODIFY OR ELIMINATE COVERAGE OF CERTAIN PREVENTIVE SERVICES.—Section 1834 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395m) is amended by adding at the end the following new subsection:

“(n) AUTHORITY TO MODIFY OR ELIMINATE COVERAGE OF CERTAIN PREVENTIVE SERVICES.—Notwithstanding any other provision of this title, effective beginning on January 1, 2010, if the Secretary determines appropriate, the Secretary may—

“(1) modify—

“(A) the coverage of any preventive service described in subparagraph (A) of section 1861(ddd)(3) to the extent that such modification is consistent with the recommendations of the United States Preventive Services Task Force; and

“(B) the services included in the initial preventive physical examination described in subparagraph (B) of such section; and

“(2) provide that no payment shall be made under this title for a preventive service described in subparagraph (A) of such section that has not received a grade of A, B, C, or I by such Task Force.”.

(b) CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in the amendment made by paragraph (1) shall be construed to affect the coverage of diagnostic or treatment services under title XVIII of the Social Security Act.
Policy Options to Reduce Low Value Care: Implement ACA Section 4105 which grants HHS authority to **not pay** for USPSTF ‘D’ Rated Services

**Examples**

- **Prostate cancer screening ≥ 70 years**
- **Cervical cancer screening > 65 years**
- **Colon cancer screening > 85 years**
- **Cardiovascular screening in low risk patients**
- **Asymptomatic bacteriuria screening**
- **COPD screening**
- **Vitamin D to prevent falls among older women**
Annual Use and Cost of Seven Grade D Services Among Medicare Enrollees

Total Annual Count: 31 million

Total Annual Costs: $478 million

Clinically driven plan designs, like V-BID X, reduce spending on low-value care

...creating headroom to reallocate spending to high-value services without increasing premiums or deductibles
CMS promotes value-based insurance design in final payment notice for 2021

Much of CMS’s framework—including a list of high-value services that insurers could cover with little to no impact on premiums but better care incentives—comes from the University of Michigan’s Center for Value-Based Insurance Design. The list includes a number of the same preventive care benefits that can be newly provided by a high-deductible health plan paired with a health savings account on a pre-deductible basis under Treasury guidance from July 2019. CMS also notes that PrEP, an HIV prevention medication, must soon be covered without cost-sharing by all non-grandfathered private health plans (including individual, small group, large group, and self-insured plans).
### TABLE 5 – HIGH AND LOW VALUE SERVICES AND DRUG CLASSES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-Value Services with Zero Cost-Sharing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucometers and testing strips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1C testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INR testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak flow meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood pressure monitors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Specific Low-Value Services Considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal fusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin D testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proton beam for prostate cancer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Commonly Overused Service Categories with Increased Cost-Sharing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient specialist services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient labs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exchanges Using V-BID X Principles to Enhance Equity

- California
- Colorado
- Maryland
- Massachusetts
- District of Columbia

V-BID Elements Adopted to Achieve Equity in Health Insurance Coverage

Diabetes - 01/01/23
Pediatric mental and behavioral health - 01/01/24
Using V-BID to Enhance Access to Essential Clinical Services, Reduce Low Value Care and Enhance Equity

- Expand pre-deductible coverage/reduce consumer cost-sharing on essential services
- Identify, measure and reduce low-value care to pay for more generous coverage of high-value care
  - Start with USPSTF D Rated Services
- Implement clinically-driven plan payment reform, technologies and benefit designs (i.e., V-BID X) that increase use of high-value services and deter low value care
Thank you

Discussion

www.vbidcenter.org

@UM_VBID