MEMORANDUM

TO: Dale Bruggeman, Chair, Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group

FROM: Kevin Fry, Chair, Valuation of Securities (E) Task Force

Cc: Charles Therriault, Director, NAIC Securities Valuation Office
    Julie Gann, Assistant Director, NAIC Financial Regulatory Services
    James Pinegar, Manager II, NAIC Financial Regulatory Services

DATE: January 3, 2020

RE: Referral to the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group Requesting Affirmation that Ground Lease Financing Transactions are included in SSAP No. 43R – Loan-Backed and Structured Securities

1. Summary – The Task Force adopted a P&P Manual amendment at the Fall National Meeting that included new definitions and guidance for ground lease financing (GLF) transactions. GLF transactions are similar to credit tenant loan (CTL) transactions but possess sufficient differences that the SVO recommended new instructions.

Credit Tenant Loans – CTLs are loans made to the owner of real property but made primarily in reliance on the credit standing of the tenant on a long-term lease of such property (generally highly rated by an NAIC CRP), structured with an assignment of the rental payments under such lease to the lender with such real property pledged as collateral in the form of a first lien. CTLs are generally structured around the terms of the lease which, in its strongest form, requires the tenant to perform all obligations related to the leased premises thereby obligating the tenant to continue to pay rent regardless of what occurs to the leased premises (e.g. casualty or condemnation). The leases are typically considered, with certain recognized variants in the P&P, to be “hell or high water” or “triple net” in nature. Therefore, the SVOs analytic focus is on the credit worthiness of the tenant (or its guarantor), and not the real property characteristics of the premises. Given these structural requirements, CTLs are not eligible the Filing Exempt (FE) process but are permitted to be reported on Schedule D only if the SVO determines they meet the eligibility requirements defined in the P&P Manual.

Ground Lease Financings – A GLF transaction typically has two components: (i) a ground lease for a long period (e.g. 99 years) between a ground lessor who owns the land and a ground lessee who attains a leasehold for the purpose of developing the land, and (ii) the subleasing of space or operation of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. in an existing or to-be-constructed building to one or more tenants (space tenants) under shorter (e.g. 5-15 year) leases (space leases) or to the operator of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. under a franchise agreement or other arrangement.

Both the ground lessor and ground lessee will typically finance their respective estates (i.e., the fee estate of the ground lessor and the leasehold estate of the ground lessee); (i) the ground lessor, typically, with the issuance of debt-like certificates or notes, and (ii) the ground lessee, typically, by borrowing from a financial institution or traditional mortgage lender. To secure the financing, the ground lessor will grant to the lender a mortgage on the fee property such ground lessor owns, and the ground lessee will pledge to the leasehold lender its leasehold estate and its rights under the ground lease and in the improvements which it owns and the space leases if any.

Typically, in a GLF transaction neither the ground lessor nor the ground lessee is an entity either (i) rated by an NAIC CRP or
(ii) whose credit worthiness can be evaluated by the SVO. Rather, they are special purpose vehicles (SPVs) intended to be bankruptcy remote.

Comparison of CTLs and GLFs – Due to the “hell or high water” or “triple net” nature of the lease in a CTL transaction or, in the instance where there are variances, their mitigation in accordance with the P&P CTL criteria, the SVO can focus its analysis on the credit worthiness of the credit tenant and not on an analysis of the underlying property. This type of lease eliminates the investor’s exposure to property risk as all payments owed to the investor ultimately come from the tenant. In a GLF transaction it is often the case that the terms of the ground lease itself (the first leg of the transaction) is structured with the same attributes as a lease in a CTL transaction (e.g. it is “hell or high water” or “triple net”). However, because the ground lessee is an SPV rather than an operating entity, there is no NAIC CRP credit rating or SVO credit analysis to rely on.

The SVO proposed a decision-tree approach to analyzing these transactions that looks at the nature of the space tenant leases and the rent payments of the space tenants or the operation of the business being conducted on such property. The analysis focuses on the credit worthiness of the space tenant (or its guarantor) or their cash flow generation, and not the real property characteristics of the premises. Given these structural requirements, GLFs are also not eligible the Filing Exempt (FE) process but would also be permitted to be reported on Schedule D only if the SVO determines they meet the eligibility requirements defined in the P&P Manual.

2. The Referral - The Task Force at the Fall National Meeting on December 8th adopted this jointly (SVO and industry) proposed amendment to add GLFs to the P&P Manual and requested a referral to the Working Group for affirmation that these investments are eligible for Schedule D, Part 1 reporting under the criteria proposed. GLF transactions have historically been reported under the Accounting Practices & Procedures Manual’s SSAP No. 43R – Loan-Backed and Structured Securities under Paragraph 26, b as a type of CTL. The SVO staff recommends either affirming that treatment or specifically inserting “ground lease financing (GLF)” into the list of example securities.

Attached is the memorandum and the adopted amendment prepared by the staff for this request. Please direct any questions to Charles Therriault of the SVO.
MEMORANDUM

TO: Kevin Fry, Chair, Valuation of Securities (E) Task Force
    Members of the Valuation of Securities (E) Task Force

FROM: Charles A. Therriault, Director, NAIC Securities Valuation Office (SVO)
       Marc Perlman, Investment Counsel, NAIC Securities Valuation Office (SVO)
       Catholic Order of Foresters
       CGA Capital
       Mesirow Financial, Inc.
       CTL Capital
       Waterway Capital

CC: Eric Kolchinsky, Director, NAIC Structured Securities Group (SSG) and Capital Markets Bureau

RE: Joint Proposed Amendment to the Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office (P&P Manual) to Add Ground Lease Financing Transactions as New Asset Class

DATE: October 17, 2019

1. **Introduction** – Earlier in 2019 the SVO became aware that certain insurance company filers were submitting credit tenant loan (CTL) transactions and transactions which are herein defined as ground lease financing (GLF) transactions through the Filing Exempt (FE) process. The SVO subsequently explained to the market that (i) all CTL structures must be submitted to the SVO for review pursuant to Part One, Paragraph 106 and Part Three, Paragraph 4 of the Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office (P&P), (ii) the SVO considered GLF transactions distinct from CTL transactions and (iii) the SVO identified that it would need to study the GLF transactions before they could make a recommendation to the Valuation of Securities (E) Task Force on whether NAIC Designations could be assigned to these structures and if they should be eligible for Schedule D reporting given their credit and legal risks. The SVO has now completed that study and a discussion of the SVO’s evaluation of the GLF asset class, its proposed approach to analyzing GLF transactions, and its recommended related amendments to the P&P follow.

2. **Analytic Overview** –

Credit Tenant Loans – CTLs are loans made to the owner of real property but made primarily in reliance on the credit standing of the tenant on a long-term lease of such property (generally highly rated by an NAIC CRP), structured with an assignment of the rental payments under such lease to the lender with such real property pledged as collateral in the form of a first lien. CTLs are generally structured around the terms of the lease which, in its strongest form, requires the tenant to perform all obligations related to the leased premises thereby obligating the tenant to continue to pay rent regardless of what occurs to the leased premises (e.g., casualty or condemnation). The leases are typically considered, with certain recognized variants in the P&P, to be “hell or high water” or “triple net” in nature. Therefore, the SVOs analytic focus is on the credit worthiness of the tenant (or its guarantor), and not the...
real property characteristics of the premises. As explained in the CTL section of Part Three of the P&P, the SVO recognizes four categories of CTLs as eligible for reporting on Schedule D (Bond Lease Based CTLs, Credit Lease Based CTLs, Acceptable CTL Variants (ACVs), and Multiple Property Transactions (MPTs)), each with varying degrees of landlord obligation and real estate risk exposure and varying legal and structural complexity. Pursuant to the P&P’s CTL criteria, each enumerated permitted landlord obligation or risk must be explicitly addressed with an enumerated mitigant. (CTLs are explained extensively in the P&P, Part Three, Paragraphs 71 – 91.)

Ground Lease Financings – A GLF transaction typically has two components: (i) a ground lease for a long period (e.g. 99 years) between a ground lessor who owns the land and a ground lessee who attains a leasehold for the purpose of developing the land, and (ii) the subleasing of space or operation of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. in an existing or to-be-constructed building to one or more tenants (space tenants) under shorter (e.g. 5-15 year) leases (space leases) or to the operator of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. under a franchise agreement or other arrangement.

Both the ground lessor and ground lessee will typically finance their respective estates (i.e., the fee estate of the ground lessor and the leasehold estate of the ground lessee); (i) the ground lessor, typically, with the issuance of debt-like certificates or notes, and (ii) the ground lessee, typically, by borrowing from a financial institution or traditional mortgage lender. To secure the financing, the ground lessor will grant to the lender a mortgage on the fee property such ground lessor owns, and the ground lessee will pledge to the leasehold lender its leasehold estate and its rights under the ground lease and in the improvements which it owns and the space leases if any.

Typically, in a GLF transaction neither the ground lessor nor the ground lessee is an entity either (i) rated by an NAIC CRP or (ii) whose credit worthiness can be evaluated by the SVO. Rather, they are special purpose vehicles (SPVs) intended to be bankruptcy remote.

Comparison of CTLs and GLFs – Due to the “hell or high water” or “triple net” nature of the lease in a CTL transaction or, in the instance where there are variances, their mitigation in accordance with the P&P CTL criteria, the SVO can focus its analysis on the credit worthiness of the credit tenant and not on an analysis of the underlying property. This type of lease eliminates the investor’s exposure to property risk as all payments owed to the investor ultimately come from the tenant.

In a GLF transaction it is often the case that the terms of the ground lease itself (the first leg of the transaction) is structured with the same attributes as a lease in a CTL transaction (e.g. it is “hell or high water” or “triple net”). However, because the ground lessee is an SPV rather than an operating entity, there is no NAIC CRP credit rating or SVO credit analysis to rely on. To determine whether the ground lessee will have sufficient funds to pay its ground lease obligations the SVO must look to the rent payments of the space tenants or the operation of the business being conducted on such property. The SVO, in conjunction with the NAIC Structured Securities Group (SSG) takes the position that the analysis of space leases and space tenants can be more akin to a commercial mortgage backed security (CMBS) analysis than the corporate analysis in a CTL transaction because the space leases may not meet the CTL criteria and can consist of several space tenants of differing credit profiles and each with differing space lease terms. We note that some of the NAIC CRPs which assign ratings to GLF transactions have utilized their CMBS models in their GLF ratings process, but their methodologies and criteria vary widely. The SVO understands that in most GLF transactions the certificate holder, the insurer as the investor, is in a “last loss”, or most senior, position. However, regardless of where they stand in the waterfall, unlike a traditional CTL transaction, ultimate payment on the GLF certificates is dependent not on a single credit tenant, but rather on payments by all the space tenants (which are not necessarily NAIC CRP rated or SVO analyzed entities) pursuant to space leases (which do not necessarily meet the CTL criteria).
Proposal – Recognizing that there are variances in how GLF transactions are structured the SVO proposes amending the P&P to institute a multi-pronged approach to analyzing these transactions. The proposed P&P amendments would include adding GLF transactions as a new asset class and would outline our GLF analytic process as follows:

a. The SVO would analyze the ground lease to determine if it meets the criteria for Bond Lease Based CTLs or Credit Lease Based CTLs in the P&P. We expect most ground leases to meet this test. Ground lease inconsistency with the Bond or Credit Lease Based CTL criteria would result in ineligibility for Schedule D reporting.

b. If the ground lease meets the Bond or Credit Lease Based CTL criteria and if three or fewer space tenants, each of which either (i) are rated by an NAIC CRP or (ii) whose credit worthiness can be evaluated by the SVO, when combined comprise 90% or more of the total space lease payment obligations, the SVO would analyze the space leases to determine if they meet the CTL criteria for one of the four CTL categories in the P&P. If so, the SVO could, in its sole discretion and based on its analytic judgement, analyze the transaction as akin to a CTL, based on the CTL-like nature of both the ground and space leases, the limited number of space leases and the credit profiles of the space tenants. We expect a small fraction of all GLF transactions to fall in this category.

c. If the ground lease meets the Bond or Credit Lease Based CTL criteria and there are four or more space tenants, or the SVO has determined that the transaction does not meet the criteria set forth in clause (b.) above or if there are no space tenants but one operator of a business on the leasehold such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc., the SVO may refer the space leases or the business operation to the SSG for possible financial modeling. If the SSG, in conjunction with its third-party modeling vendor, determines that the space leases or business operation can be modeled, the SSG would analyze the space leases or business operation, as the case may be, to determine whether they will provide sufficient cash flow to pay the ground lease rent payments and any additional costs which the ground lessee would be obligated to cover pursuant to the ground lease terms (e.g. taxes, utilities, maintenance, insurance).

d. If the SSG, in conjunction with its third-party modeling vendor, determines that it is unable to model the space leases or business operation, as the case may be, and if the transaction has been assigned an Eligible NAIC CRP Rating, public or private, the SVO may use the NAIC CRP analysis provided by the filer to assist the SVO in its own analysis. The SVO’s analysis will be entirely at the discretion of the SVO, and the SVO will be under no obligation to accept the NAIC CRP analysis, conclusions or ratings. Furthermore, upon completion of its analysis the SVO can decline to assign an NAIC Designation, in which case the security would be ineligible for Schedule D reporting.

e. Insurers that would like the SVO to review the GLF transactions prior to purchasing them may submit them through the Regulatory Treatment Analysis Service (RTAS) process. The SVO is willing to set up new RTAS processes for each of these three paths, at the request of the submitting investor: 1) SVO credit analysis, 2) SSG modeling and 3) SVO review of NAIC CRP analysis.

f. Additionally, the SVO would look for evidence that (i) the transaction is properly insured because, unlike a credit tenant in a CTL transaction, the ground lessee SPV will not have access to extra funds to cover costs such as repairs in the event of casualty, and (ii) that satisfactory Phase I and, if necessary, Phase II environmental reports have been delivered to provide comfort that there will be no expected environmental liabilities.

3. Recommended Amendment – The recommended changes to the P&P regarding the addition of Ground Lease Financing criteria are shown below in red, showing how it will appear in the 2019 P&P format. The SVO recommends that this amendment also be referred to the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group for affirmation that these investments are eligible for Schedule D, Part 1 reporting under the criteria proposed.
GLF Overview

107. A ground lease financing transaction (GLF) typically has two components: (i) a ground lease for a long period (e.g. 99 years) between a ground lessor who owns the land and a ground lessee who attains a leasehold for the purpose of developing the land, and (ii) the subleasing of space or operation of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. in an existing or to-be-constructed building to one or more tenants (space tenants) under shorter (e.g. 5-15 year) leases (space leases) or to the operator of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. under a franchise agreement or other arrangement.

108. The ground lease itself typically meets the Credit Tenant Loan (CTL) criteria for Bond Lease Based or Credit Lease Based CTLs in this Manual. Additionally, there can be one or several space tenants or business operators (which (i) may or may not be NAIC CRP rated entities or (ii) whose credit worthiness can or cannot be evaluated by the SVO) making lease payments under separate space leases (which may or may not meet the CTL criteria) or a business operation. As such, the SVO cannot rely solely on the CTL criteria for its analysis of GLF transactions and instead must rely on a combination, as necessary and available, of the CTL criteria, the CMBS criteria, the documented analysis of NAIC CRPs, and the SVOs own analytic judgement.

109. A GLF transaction reported as a CTL on transaction on Schedule D, acquired prior to January 1, 2020, and reported with an NAIC Designation produced under filing exemption, can continue to be reported on the basis of that Eligible NAIC CRP Rating until sold or disposed of.

NOTE: See “Ground Lease Financing Transactions” in Part Three for filing instructions, documentation requirements and methodology applicable to GLFs.
• **Ground Lease Financing Transactions** – A Ground Lease Financing (GLF) transaction typically has two components: (i) a ground lease for a long period (e.g. 99 years) between a ground lessor who owns the land and a ground lessee who attains a leasehold for the purpose of developing the land, and (ii) the subleasing of space or operation of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. in an existing or to-be-constructed building to one or more tenants (space tenants) under shorter (e.g. 5-15 year) leases (space leases) or to the operator of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. under a franchise agreement or other arrangement. GLF transactions are not eligible for filing exemption. The GLF section in this Part provides further guidance on how the SVO analyzes GLF transactions for purposes of determining Schedule D eligibility and whether the SVO can assign an NAIC Designation.

**Part Three – SVO Procedures and Methodology for Production of NAIC Designations**

. . .

**Ground Lease Financing Transactions**

**NOTE:** See “Policies Applicable to Specific Asset Classes” in Part One for policies governing this activity, as well as “Specific Populations of Securities Not Eligible for Filing Exemption” in “Procedure Applicable to Filing Exempt (FE) Securities and Private Letter (PL) Rating Securities” above.

**Initial Filing Requirements**

305. For ground lease financing (GLF) transactions, the reporting insurance company shall submit a complete GLF Evaluation Form together with the documentation described in the GLF Evaluation Form and, if available, evidence of a current Eligible NAIC CRP Rating and related NAIC CRP analysis for (i) the GLF Transaction (including, but not limited to rating methodology used, model assumptions and stress test results) and (ii) each space lessee or its guarantor or business operator in the case of a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. or other business operation.

**Subsequent Filing Requirements**

306. For GLF Transactions, the reporting insurance company shall submit evidence, if available, of a current Eligible NAIC CRP Rating and related NAIC CRP analysis for (i) the GLF Transaction (including, but not limited to rating methodology used, model assumptions and stress test results) and (ii) each space lessee or its guarantor or business operator. For purposes of this section, a current Eligible NAIC CRP Rating is defined as one issued or reviewed within the past 12 calendar months. If the GLF Transaction is modeled by the NAIC’s third-party modeling vendor, the reporting insurance company will submit the data required by the vendor in the form such vendor specifies. In the event a space lessee or its guarantor or business operator, as the case may be, is not rated by an NAIC CRP and a space lease or business operation is not modeled, the reporting insurance company shall file the Audited Financial Statements and other relevant credit information of the space lessee or its guarantor or business operator, as the case may require, consistent with all corporate bond filing requirements.

**SVO Procedure**
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Upon receipt of a GLF Evaluation Form, the SVO analyst shall review the form and all documentation submitted with it and shall proceed with analysis in accordance with section “SVO Approach to GLF Transactions” below.

Ground Lease Financing Transaction – Definition and Overview

A ground lease financing (GLF) transaction typically has two components: (i) a ground lease for a long period (e.g. 99 years) between a ground lessor who owns the land and a ground lessee who attains a leasehold for the purpose of developing the land, and (ii) the subleasing of space or operation of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. in an existing or to-be-constructed building to one or more tenants (space tenants) under shorter (e.g. 5-15 year) leases (space leases) or to the operator of a business such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc. under a franchise agreement or other arrangement.

Both the ground lessor and ground lessee will typically finance their respective estates (i.e., the fee estate of the ground lessor and the leasehold estate of the ground lessee); (i) the ground lessor, typically, with the issuance of debt-like certificates or notes, and (ii) the ground lessee, typically, by borrowing from a financial institution or traditional mortgage lender. To secure the financing, the ground lessor will grant to the lender a mortgage on the fee property such ground lessor owns, and the ground lessee will pledge to the leasehold lender its leasehold estate and its rights under the ground lease and in the improvements which it owns and the space leases if any.

Typically, in a GLF transaction neither the ground lessor nor the ground lessee is an entity either (i) rated by an NAIC CRP or (ii) whose credit worthiness can be evaluated by the SVO. Rather, they are special purpose vehicles (SPVs) intended to be bankruptcy remote.

In a GLF transaction it is often the case that the ground lease (the first leg of the transaction) is structured with the same attributes as a lease in a CTL transaction (e.g. it is “hell or high water” or “triple net”). However, because the ground lessee is an SPV rather than a corporate entity, there is no NAIC CRP corporate credit rating or SVO corporate analysis to rely on. To determine whether the ground lessee will have sufficient funds to pay its ground lease obligations the SVO must look to the rent payments of the space tenants or the operation of the business conducted in such improvements (such as a hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc.). Depending on the specifics of a GLF transaction, analysis of space leases and space tenants and business operations and business operators could be more akin to a commercial mortgage backed security (CMBS) analysis than the corporate analysis in a CTL transaction because (i) the space leases may not meet the CTL criteria and can consist of one or several space tenants of differing credit profiles and each with differing space lease terms and ultimate payment on the GLF is dependent on the space tenants making their rent payment on the space leases or (ii) in the event there is a business operation, ultimate payment on the GLF is dependent on the operation of such business to generate cashflow for ground rent and other expenses. For this reason, the SVO may refer certain GLF transaction space lease or business operations analyses to the NAIC Structured Securities Group (SSG) because of the SSG’s financial modeling capabilities and because, in accordance with this Manual, it analyzes and assigns NAIC Designations to CMBS transactions.

SVO Approach to GLF Transactions

All GLF transactions are ineligible for filing exemption and must be submitted to the SVO. The SVO will conduct GLF transaction review in the following manner:
a. The SVO will analyze the GLF transaction structure and determine whether the ground lease meets the CTL criteria for Bond Lease Based or Credit Lease Based CTLs, except for not having a credit tenant. If the SVO, in its sole discretion, determines the ground lease does not meet the Bond Lease Based or Credit Lease Based CTL criteria, except for a credit tenant, the security would be ineligible for Schedule D reporting.

b. If the ground lease meets the CTL criteria, except for a credit tenant, and if three or fewer space tenants, each of which (i) are rated by an NAIC CRP or (ii) whose credit worthiness can be evaluated by the SVO, when combined comprise ninety percent (90%) or more of the total space tenant lease obligations, the SVO will analyze the space leases to determine if they meet the CTL criteria for one of the four CTL categories in this Manual. If so, the SVO can, in its sole discretion and based on its analytical judgment, analyze the transaction as akin to a CTL, based on the CTL-like nature (e.g. “hell or high water” or “triple net” features) of both the ground and space leases, the limited number of space leases and the corporate credit profiles of the space tenants.

c. If the ground lease meets the criteria for Bond Lease Based or Credit Lease Based CTLs and there are four or more space tenants, or the SVO has determined that it cannot apply the approach in (b.) above or the transaction does not meet the criteria set forth in clause (b) above, the SVO will refer the space leases or the business operation, as the case may be, to the SSG for possible financial modeling. If the SSG, in conjunction with its third-party modeling vendor, and in its sole discretion and analytical judgment based on factors including, but not limited to, availability of data, transaction structure and other transaction specific risks, determines that the space leases or business operation can be modeled, it will analyze the space leases or business operation, as the case may be, to determine whether they will provide sufficient cash flow to pay the ground lease rent payments and any additional costs which the ground lessee is required to cover pursuant to the ground lease terms (e.g. taxes, utilities, maintenance, insurance).

d. If the SSG, in conjunction with its third-party modeling vendor, and in its sole discretion and analytical judgment, determines that it is unable to model the space leases or business operation, as the case may be, and if the transaction has been assigned a public or private Eligible NAIC CRP Rating the SVO shall proceed with an analysis of the transaction guided by the available analyses of all NAIC CRPs that provided an Eligible NAIC CRP Rating on the transaction. For the avoidance of doubt, the SVO’s analysis will be entirely at the discretion of the SVO and the SVO is not obligated to accept or follow the rating methodology of any NAIC CRP and can, in its sole discretion and based on its analytical judgement, assign an NAIC Designation which differs from the correlated Eligible NAIC CRP Rating or choose not to assign any NAIC Designation. The SVO may, in its sole discretion, upon written request from the submitting investor, disclose its rationale as to why such transaction was not given a Designation correlated to the Eligible NAIC CRP Rating.

e. Should the SVO or, if applicable, SSG determine that it cannot assign an NAIC Designation to the GLF, the GLF would be ineligible for Schedule D reporting.

**GLF Specific Considerations**

313. The space lease payments or business operation, as the case may be, should be sufficient to cover any recurring costs the ground lessee is obligated to pay (e.g. taxes, utilities, maintenance, insurance) pursuant to the terms of the ground lease. All such ground lessee obligations will be factored into the SSG’s financial model of the space leases or business operations, if applicable.

314. To provide comfort that there will be no environmental liabilities, the filing documents shall include a Phase I environmental report showing no environmental problems and, if the Phase I report shows a
problem or the nature and prior used of the land indicates a substantial likelihood of preexisting environmental contamination, a Phase II environmental report.

315. Typically, a ground lease will require the ground lessee to hold the following insurance to protect the ground lease payments from potential shortfall due to the termination or abatement of space lease payments or reduction or termination of business operation upon the occurrence of condemnation or casualty or other insurable condition. Any of the insurable risks below that are not insured should be otherwise mitigated and evidence of such mitigant should be included in the filing documents.

a. Casualty insurance in an amount of coverage equal to 100% of the replacement value of the improvements with the fee lender named as the loss payee.
b. Rent loss insurance in an amount of coverage equal to at least 12 months of ground rent with the fee lender named as loss payee.
c. General liability insurance. The amount of coverage shall be sized appropriately, depending on the size and type of building (e.g. office, hotel, warehouse, intermodal facility, etc.).
d. Ground Lessor would be required to purchase special risk condemnation insurance in an amount of coverage equal to the principal amount of the GLF. This policy shall be prepaid and remain in place for the entire term of the GLF secured by the fee mortgage.

Note: All insurance must be issued by a carrier with an NAIC Designation equivalent rating of 1.G or better.

Part Four – The NAIC Structured Securities Group

Ground Lease Financing Transactions

Definition

36. Ground Lease Financing (GLF) transactions are defined and explained in “Ground Lease Financing Transactions” in Part Three of this Manual.

SSG Role and Process

37. On occasion the SVO may refer a GLF transaction to the SVO for financial modeling of the GLF space leases or business operation, as applicable, in accordance with the process set forth in “Ground Lease Financing Transactions” in Part Three of this Manual. Following an SVO referral the SSG and SVO will maintain open communication related to requests for additional data, analytical questions and analytical conclusions. Any GLF transaction NAIC Designation will be assigned by the SVO.