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Agenda

- SOA anti-trust statement
- Introduction of Presenters
- Product Trends: A Reinsurer’s Perspective
Please review the SOA anti-trust statement in your program or in the meeting app.
New Underwriting Paradigms:
- Movement to streamlined underwriting (at higher issue ages & face amounts)
- Use of non-traditional data sources

New Regulations:
- Principles Based Reserves (PBR)
- Expansion of acceleration riders
U.S. Life Industry Trends: A Reinsurer’s Perspective

- Underwriting
- Wellness
- Innovation Focus
- Sales
- Claims
We will now explore topics related to current product trends.
Limitations

- The content of this presentation represents the views of the presenter and not those of Milliman.
- These slides have been prepared for presentation at the 2017 SOA Life and Annuity Symposium for the educational use of meeting participants. There are no intended beneficiaries of this work.
- This presentation may not be distributed, disclosed, copied or otherwise furnished to any additional party without our prior written consent. Any distribution of this presentation must be in its entirety.
- The presented information is intended to be valid as of the date it has been prepared. Its future validity depends on the further development of market events, regulations, and standards of practice.
- This presentation is not intended to contain material that represents an actuarial opinion. The professionals responsible for preparing this report are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries.
Agenda

- Speed to Market Trends
- Product Trends
  - Traditional Products
  - Universal Life
- Other Trends
Speed to Market
Polling Question #1
How long does your company need to bring a completely new core product to market?

a) 1 – 4 Months
b) 5 – 8 Months
c) 9 – 12 Months
d) 13 – 18 Months
e) More
Polling Question #2
True or False – Your company management still thinks the product development process takes too long?

a) True
b) False
Polling Question # 3
What is your company’s biggest hurdle in getting a product to market?

a) Ideation
b) Pricing
c) Competitive positioning
d) Compliance
e) Implementation
f) Other
Product Development Process and Speed to Market

- In the Late 1990’s many companies were discussing the length of time required to get a product to the market.
- The first Life and Annuity Symposium (Originally the Product Development Symposium) in 2001 focused on this issue.
- The process at many companies looked like this…
Product Development Process and Speed to Market

- How did companies get faster?
- For many companies the process changed to look like this…

![Diagram of product development process](image_url)
Product Development Process and Speed to Market

- Other companies reduced requirements for products to launch
- Developed only the “Day 1” functionality for the product
  - Could be as simple as produce the necessary policy pages to print a policy and collect premium
- Remaining product functionality delayed until necessary
  - If a feature does not kick in until year 10 why develop it today?
  - Leads to a large list of “Day 2” items and additional risk
  - Easy to forget about these items if a product is not successful
  - In this wild west approach many times features revised prior to first usage
- Stricter product and assumption governance having an impact
Product Development Process and Speed to Market

- Where do we go next to improve the process?
- There are companies that are offering to help prototype new offerings
- Brings to the table help in the following areas:
  - Product pricing
  - Reinsurance
  - Compliance
  - Administration
- ... 
- There is even the possibility that the 3rd parties will take the risk for a limited time
- Allows companies to try new ideas but not be saddled with failed products
Life Market Trends
Life Market Sales Mix
Percent of Annualized Premium

2005
- Whole Life: 24%
- Term: 23%
- VUL: 14%
- IUL: 17%
- Traditional Fixed UL: 20%
- ULSG: 2%

2008
- Whole Life: 20%
- Term: 22%
- VUL: 15%
- IUL: 18%
- Traditional Fixed UL: 20%
- ULSG: 5%

2011
- Whole Life: 31%
- Term: 22%
- VUL: 14%
- IUL: 16%
- Traditional Fixed UL: 11%
- ULSG: 10%

2013
- Whole Life: 35%
- Term: 22%
- VUL: 16%
- IUL: 11%
- Traditional Fixed UL: 11%
- ULSG: 5%

Source: Numbers are a mixture of LIMRA, WINK & the Milliman UL/IUL Issues Report, depending on the year.
Life Product Market Shares
Percent of Annualized Premium, thru 3rd Quarter 2016 = $7.9B

Source: LIMRA, 3rd Quarter 2016 U.S. Individual Life Insurance Sales Technical Supplement
Trends in Product Mix May Continue

- Declining interest rates have continued to make things difficult in the traditional UL market
  - Interest rates beginning to increase will see how quickly this occurs
  - Portfolio products will continue to struggle for next several years
- Regulatory pressures make the ULSG market more challenging
- IUL the darling of the UL market
- Adapting to regulatory changes
  - AG49
  - AG48
  - DOL
Anticipating Interest Rate Changes

- Fed rate hike in December 2016 and March 2017 each 25bps perhaps a signal of tightening approach favorable to life companies
  - Future pace of rate increases uncertain but Fed hinting at more increases
- In 2015 Moody’s release stated an expected increase of 150bp to 200bp by end of 2017
  - This has been revised to 2 – 3 increases and a 150bp rate.
  - Is this a fast hike? For comparison: mid-2000s 4% hike over 30 months
- Currently a mixed perspective on next rate movement.
  - Employment and Inflation close to expectations
  - Awaiting signal from the Trump administration for Economic Policy plans
Traditional Products
Whole Life Market

Trends
- Continues to drive Life Insurance Sales
  - WL sales have increased each year since 2006.

Whole Life Products
- Sales concentrated in a small number of carriers.
  - Top 10 responsible for approximately 75% of WL sales.
- Primary Sales drivers are simplicity, guarantees and dividend potential

Growth Areas
- Whole life is predominately sold through captive agency arrangements
- 2016 represented a high point in independent agent sales of 13% of annualized premium
- Whole Life designs are gaining some flexibility from some of the large mutual carriers.
  - Premium flexibility
  - Death Benefit flexibility
  - Makes administration and illustration more complex

Source:
Term Life Market

- **Trends**
  - Mostly a steady as she goes product
  - Market share for Term has changed very little in the last decade.

- **Term Life Products**
  - 2017 valuation table does present some opportunity to reduce reserves
    - Decrease Premiums to be more competitive
    - Increase profits due to aggressive premium rates and low interest

- **Growth Areas**
  - Potential for more direct to consumer sales
    - As Millennials become greater proportion of insurance buying market likely more acceptance of less traditional sales methodology
    - This method is becoming more than direct response.
  - Companies are focusing on the retaining sales after the level term period
    - More favorable ART scales. Or possibly not using an ART scale
    - More favorable conversion programs

Source:
Universal Life Products
ULSG Market

Trends
- Customized/variable guarantee period length
- Cash-out/ROP options
- Larger offering of riders (a trend of UL/IUL in general)

New/Repriced ULSG Products
- AIG Secure Lifetime GUL 3
- American National Signature Guaranteed UL
- John Hancock Protection UL 2016
- Lincoln LifeGuarantee UL (2016 version)

Exits/Revised ULSG Offerings
- Protective Life discontinued lifetime SG option on Custom Choice UL (replaced with a revised version of another SG product)
- Genworth
- MetLife Guarantee Advantage UL (in 2014)

Source: Product Launch and Discontinuation Information from LifeSpecs, Powered by WINK
ULSG Premium Trends

Annual Premiums, Male Age 55 Best NS Class, $1M Face

Data compiled from COMPULIFE 2008-2016
IUL Market

- **Trends**
  - IUL sales continue to grow and have for the past decade
  - Some regulatory pressure suppressed 2016 sales
  - Larger offering of riders (a trend of UL/IUL in general)

- **IUL Products**
  - Lots of movement
    - New Carriers
    - New Products
    - Product Revisions
    - Products pulled

- **Other Issues**
  - Illustration requirement did slow sales
  - Sales highly concentrated in the brokerage and independent sales distribution channels
Polling Question #4
What features is your company considering to help differentiate your product from competitors? (choose all that apply)

a) Guaranteed Withdrawal Benefits
b) Long Term Care Benefits
c) Chronic Illness Benefits
d) Critical Illness Benefits
e) Enhanced Withdrawal Value Benefits
f) Lapse Protection Benefits
g) Other
Traditional UL Market

- Trends
  - Products have struggled in the continued interest rate environment
    - Accumulation harder to generate
  - "Minimum" funded Death Benefit focused products slightly easier
  - Will benefit once rates rise further.

- Products
  - Development activities focused on product features for differentiation
  - A quick review of a few companies shows some carriers with 15+ rider options
Other Trends
Other Life Trends

- **What is driving purchasing?**
  - Concern for security of loved ones
  - Outliving money in retirement (Cost of Care)
  - Retirement planning

- **Distribution**
  - Still mostly agent sold
  - Direct to Consumer
    - Definition is the key – Not just the same old advertisement
    - The majority of those looking to buy are researching online
    - A portion of that group would purchase directly online
    - Predictive analytics may help reduce the target audience and increase sales opportunities

- **Regulatory**
  - VM20 – adding another layer of review to the development cycle
  - For the last several year regulatory changes have driven product design we may be instore for the next round.
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Agenda

1. Combination products
2. PBR impact on product design and pricing
3. Trends in technology
   a. Streamlined underwriting
   b. Advanced analytics
   c. Product innovation
   d. Enhanced customer experiences
Section 1  Combination products
Polling Question
The current market for combination products
What kind of life insurance do you personally have?

- a) Life (Term, Whole Life, or Universal Life) with no living benefit insurance
- b) Separate/standalone life and living benefit insurance
- c) Combination product - life with a Critical Illness (CI) rider
- d) Combination product - life with a Long Term Care (LTC) rider
- e) None of the above
Polling Question
The current supply of combination products
What kinds of living benefit products does your company offer?

a) Life (Term, Whole Life, or Universal Life) with no living benefit insurance
b) Separate/standalone life and living benefit insurance
c) Life and living benefit combination insurance
d) All of the above
e) None of the above

Graph:
- a) 22%
- b) 8%
- c) 30%
- d) 38%
- e) 2%
Growing popularity of life combination products
The cost-effectiveness of combination products relative to their standalone counterparts has, in part, driven high growth

Combination products represented 15% of total life new premium and 8% of annualized premium

Source: LIMRA, Individual Life Combination Products (2010-2016)
Combination policy sales by product type
Accelerated CI dominates sales, while accelerated LTC grew 51% from 2014

Combination product market shares
Number of policies sold in 2015

- Accelerated CI: 59%
- Accelerated LTC: 28%
- Extension products: 13%

Source: LIMRA, Individual Life Combination Products (2016)
Section 2  PBR impact on product design and pricing
Polling Question
Product development implications of PBR
Does your company expect to redesign products or shift product mix as a result of PBR?
   a) Yes
   b) No
   c) I don’t know

A. 58%
B. 17%
C. 25%
PBR impact on product design and pricing
Impact on reserves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Statutory reserves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>▪ Very significant reserve decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▪ NPR eventually dominates if NPR valuation mortality table not unlocked (while DR is unlocked)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULSG (Protection)</td>
<td>▪ Typically a reserve decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UL (Accumulation)</td>
<td>▪ NPR expected to dominate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tax reserves</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Term</td>
<td>▪ Very significant decreases. The lower tax reserve may eliminate the substantial tax benefit resulting from reserve financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ULSG (Protection)</td>
<td>▪ Slight decrease in tax reserves. Non-deductible reserves can be eliminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UL (Accumulation)</td>
<td>▪ NPR expected to dominate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reserve financing influences the impact of moving to PBR. For instance, a reserve decrease under PBR could reduce profitability if a benefit of higher tax reserves is realized in pricing.
PBR impact on product design and pricing
How will companies respond with pricing and product development?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key considerations</th>
<th>Expected pricing/product development implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Term</strong></td>
<td><strong>Product reprices for lower premiums</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Impact of reserve financing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Credibility of assumption data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ULSG</strong></td>
<td><strong>Product reevaluation:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• No deficiency reserve component</td>
<td>• More flexibility for account charge patterns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reserve calculation requirements for secondary guarantees</td>
<td>• Less emphasis on shadow funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Credibility of assumption data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VUL/IUL</strong></td>
<td><strong>Not enough guidance to fully understand implications</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

© Oliver Wyman
Section 3  Trends in technology
Polling Question
Investments in technology and partnerships with tech companies are changing the insurance landscape
What technology innovation or tools is your company most focused on?

a) Streamlined underwriting, straight-through processing and distribution
b) Advanced analytics, Big Data, predictive modeling
c) Product innovation and wearable technology
d) Digitally enhanced customer experiences
e) None of the above
Innovations in underwriting
What is streamlined underwriting?

1. Rules-based decision-making
2. Point-of-sale underwriting
3. Triage approach
4. Data analysis
5. Outsourcing
6. E-signatures and straight-through processing
7. Predictive analytics

Streamlined underwriting
- Increased availability of data and new technology are helping insurers to issue policies faster, cut costs and provide a less intrusive experience for customers.

Two in three insurers in the US and Canada have implemented automated underwriting in at least part of their business and another 32% are in the planning stages of implementing...
Implications of underwriting innovation
Are companies finding success from their investments?

Success meeting goals with automated underwriting
Percentage of companies listing each goal

- Reduce fraud, errors, omissions: Met 77% / Too soon to tell 23%
- Achieve consistent decisions: Met 74% / Too soon to tell 29%
- Reduce the time it takes to issue a policy: Met 68% / Too soon to tell 24%
- Reduce policy issue cost: Met 65% / Too soon to tell 29%
- Increase business intelligence: Met 53% / Too soon to tell 35%
- Meet consumer expectations: Met 52% / Too soon to tell 44%
- Reach more consumer markets: Met 51% / Too soon to tell 49%

Source: LIMRA, Automating Underwriting for Life Insurance (2016)
Optimizing underwriting with advanced analytics

What lies ahead?

Expanding data sources
- Current common sources:
  - MIB
  - Prescription drug records
  - Labs
  - Motor vehicle records
- Future sources:
  - Credit scores
  - Social media?

Predictive modeling
- Predictive models have the potential to be applied to underwriting processes, depending on:
  - Data availability and volume
  - Prohibited variables

Regulatory considerations
- VM-20 mortality assumption for accelerated underwriting
“InsurTech” refers to the use of technology innovations designed to squeeze out savings and efficiency from the current insurance industry model.
Product innovation
Wearable technology in product design

Rewards-based products are changing customer interactions, integrating with policyholder lifestyles and gathering and taking action on health data

• An example of this product innovation is John Hancock’s Vitality
• While not many statistics have been released yet, the products are attracting and encouraging healthier lifestyles

Source: www.jhrewardslife.com
Social intelligence and changing customer interactions
Apps are finally reaching the insurance industry
On-demand protection
Micro-term/event insurance

• Business models like Uber and Airbnb are often described as the on-demand economy, where customers (1) obtain access to services when they need it and (2) they only pay-per-use

• Start-ups like Sure, Fabric and Ladder are offering simplified insurance that can be purchased instantly online to access the underserved market

But insurance is different from Uber and Airbnb...

• The pay-per-use insurance model would be significantly more expensive than traditional insurance

• The online-only platforms and concept of purchasing insurance immediately before it is needed increase the risk of fraud

• If we consider the market is currently underinsured, is offering less coverage, less of the time the right solution?
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