A. Consideration of Maintenance Agenda – Pending List

1. Ref #2022-01: Conceptual Framework – Updates
2. Ref #2022-02: SSAP No. 48 – Alternative Valuation of Minority Ownership Interests
3. Ref #2022-03: Premium Adjustments Allocated to Jurisdictions
4. Ref #2022-04: ASU 2021-10, Government Assistance
5. Ref #2022-05: ASU 2021-09, Leases, Discount Rate for Lessees
6. Ref #2022-06: ASU 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation
7. Ref #2022-07: ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations
8. Ref #2022-08: INT 22-01T: Freddie Mac When-Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-01</td>
<td>Preamble</td>
<td>A – Agenda Item</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAP No. 4</td>
<td>Conceptual Framework - Updates</td>
<td>B – Issue Paper (SSAP No. 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAP No. 5R</td>
<td>(Jim)</td>
<td>C – Issue Paper (SSAP No. 5R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
In December 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two new chapters of its conceptual framework. The conceptual framework is a body of interrelated objectives and fundamentals that provides the FASB with a foundation for setting standards and concepts to consider when it resolves questions or develops/modifies accounting and reporting guidance. It is important to note that the Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts are not authoritative and do not establish new or change existing U.S. GAAP. This agenda item reviews and summarizes each of the two newly issued concept chapters and reviews their potential impact on statutory accounting.

Update 1:
FASB Concepts Statement No. 8, *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements* introduced updated definitions of certain key elements used in financial reporting – the definition of an asset and liability.

Changes regarding the definition of an ASSET:

- **Historical definition:** a probable future economic benefit obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events.
- **Historical Characteristics: Three essential characteristics:**
  1. it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows,
  2. a particular enterprise can obtain the benefit and control others' access to it, and
  3. the transaction or other event giving rise to the enterprise's right to or control of the benefit has already occurred.

- **New Definition:** a present right of an entity to an economic benefit.
- **Current Characteristics:** Two essential characteristics:
  1. it is a present right, and
  2. the right is to an economic benefit.
Commentary regarding definitional changes:
The current definition of an asset no longer includes the term *probable* or the phrases *future economic benefit* and *past transactions or events*. The FASB concluded that the term *probable* has historically been misunderstood as implying that a future benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset was met. Thus, if the probability of a future benefit was low, an asset could not be recognized. FASB also struck the phrase *future economic benefit* as this phrase often was interpreted that the asset must represent a certain future economic benefit (such as eventual cash inflows), however with this update, FASB clarified that the asset represents the rights to the benefit, not the actual benefit itself – nor the probability of realization.

Changes regarding the definition of a LIABILITY:

- **Historical definition**: are [certain or] probable future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or provide services to other entities in the future as a result of past transactions or events.

- **Historical Characteristics**: Three essential characteristics:
  1. it embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand,
  2. the duty or responsibility obligates a particular enterprise, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and
  3. the transaction or other event obligating the enterprise has already happened.

  ➢ **New Definition**: a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit.

  ➢ **Current Characteristics**: Two essential characteristics:

  1. it is a present obligation, and
  2. the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefit to others. (For the purposes of this characteristic, *transfer* is typically used to describe obligations to pay cash or convey assets, while the term *provide* is used to describe obligations to provide services or stand by to do so).

Commentary regarding definitional changes:
The current definition of a liability no longer includes the term *probable* or the phrase *in the future as a result of past transactions or events*. The FASB concluded that the term *probable* has historically been understood as implying that a future obligation must meet a probability to a certain threshold before the definition of a liability was met. Thus, if the probability of a future transfer of an asset (or the requirement to provide a service) was low, a liability would likely not be recognized. In removing the term *probable* (and replacing it with “present obligation”), FASB concluded that in almost all situations, the presence of an obligation will be apparent.

FASB also struck the phrase as the result of *past transactions or events*. It was concluded that if the liability represents a *present right*, by default, the right must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.

**Update 2:**
FASB Concepts Statement No. 8, *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 7, Presentation* identifies factors that the FASB will consider when deciding how items should be displayed on the financial statements. Chapter 7 describes the information to be included in the financial statements and how appropriate presentation can contribute to the objective of financial reporting – to communicate financial information about an entity that is useful to existing and potential investors, lenders, and other creditors in making decisions about providing resources (goods and services) to the entity.
Chapter 7 supersedes sections of *Statement of Financial Accounting Concept 5* (a concept referenced in the Preamble); however, it did not result in fundamental changes to the principal concepts of financial reporting. The chapter articulates the need for complete financial reporting, describes the interconnectedness of a ‘complete set of financial statements’ and relays the importance of these documents as the information in the financial statements is the primary (and typically the sole) source for analyzing current and potential future performance of an organization and its ability to meet its long-term financial objectives.

In terms of the impact to statutory accounting, the updated concepts in this chapter are not expected to modify current guidance, other than to update references to superseded accounting concepts.

**Recommendation:**
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to the Preamble, *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets* and *SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairment of Assets*, as illustrated in the agenda item and in the issue papers, to incorporate updates from *Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements* and *Chapter 7, Presentation of the FASB’s Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-02 SSAP No. 48 (Jim)</td>
<td>SSAP No. 48 – Alternative Valuation of Minority Ownership Interests</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary:** *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies* specifies that if an insurer has less than 10% ownership, measured at the holding company level, or lacks control, the investment is generally required have a U.S. GAAP financial statement audit. However, when audited U.S. GAAP financials statements are not available, SSAP No. 48 provides three U.S. GAAP audit alternatives, which includes: 1) audited foreign GAAP with an audited U.S. GAAP reconciliation footnote, 2) audited IFRS financial statements, or 3) audited U.S. tax equity financial statements. Upon inquiries with industry representatives regarding the use of the audited U.S. tax equity alternative, NAIC staff received informal comments from a member of the NAIC’s AICPA Task Force which indicated that they were unaware of audit firms issuing audit opinions based on a U.S. tax basis, nor were they aware of any insurer having obtained an U.S. tax basis audit to utilize this U.S. GAAP audit exception.

As a result, this agenda item has been drafted to propose two alternative clarifications to SSAP No. 48. The first option presented is to propose deletion of the U.S. GAAP audit exception provided in SSAP No. 48, paragraph 9.b (the audited U.S. tax equity alternative) as this exception does not appear to be utilized by insurers. The second option presented is to retain the U.S. GAAP audit exception in paragraph 9.b but clarify that the U.S. tax basis audit is to reside at the investee level – that is the investee must have an audit in order for this valuation be permitted for admission of the investment.

**Recommendation:**
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose two possible options for the U.S. GAAP audit exception in *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies*. Option #1 proposed to delete the audited U.S. tax basis equity as a permissible valuation method as this method does not appear to be utilized by insurers. Option #2 proposed to retain the audited U.S. tax basis equity valuation method but clarifies that the audit must reside at the investee level.
### Summary:
This agenda item has been drafted to propose blanks instructional changes primarily to Schedule T which reflects premiums, allocated by states and territories. NAIC staff received inquiries from 3 states in the fourth quarter of 2021 regarding a minor number of entities that primarily wrote health business related to the Affordable Care Act (ACA) which are believed to have not properly allocated premium adjustments by jurisdiction on the statutory financial statement. The states identified that a minority of entities reported some portion of their U.S.-based premium in the category of “aggregate other alien.” The aggregate other alien line is for non-U.S. premium therefore, reporting U.S.-based ACA premium as alien is problematic. The purpose of this agenda item is to add additional annual statement instructions to address this reporting inconsistency. Regardless of the cause of this specific issue, the proposed revisions intend to clarify that premium adjustments (both increases and decreases) shall be reflected in the appropriate jurisdiction. This proposal is to address this current issue future situations.

The specific premium identified is understood to be ACA premium written in the U.S. and its territories. Based on the descriptions provided, most of the amounts are presumed to be from premium redistribution as a result of the risk adjustment program of the ACA. All of the premium adjustments from the ACA risk adjustment program, and the risk corridor program, are noted as premium in SSAP No. 107—Risk-Sharing Provisions of the Affordable Care Act. (Although the risk corridor program ended in 2016, distributions related to 2015-2016 plan years have been received in the last two years due to a U.S. Supreme Court decision.)

The ACA risk adjustment premium redistribution calculations are calculated by plan and by jurisdiction. Therefore, the jurisdictions are known. The ACA risk adjustment program redistributes premium from plans that have relatively healthier insureds and gives to plans with relatively less healthy insureds based on risk scores. SSAP No. 107 directs reporting the premium adjustments in the ACA risk adjustment program as premium subject to redetermination, which requires accruing the adjustments based on policy experience. Because of the way the ACA risk adjustment program premium adjustments calculation works, an insurer can have both payables and receivables in different plans in the same jurisdiction. Total premium in the state is redistributed among same level plans, no new funds are added.

NAIC staff understanding is that most states would treat the premium after adjustments (both increases and decreases) as the amount subject to premium tax. However, preliminary conversations some health entities have asserted that they believe their state only subjects the premium prior to adjustment to premium tax. In the statutory annual statement, the premium including adjustments should be reported as premium subject to redetermination as identified in SSAP No. 107. If a jurisdiction treats premium differently for tax purposes, that would be addressed on the jurisdiction’s premium tax return. In the examples below the total premium columns are what is reported in the state A and B lines of Schedule T.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Initial Premium</th>
<th>Premium Adjustments/Redistribution</th>
<th>Total Premium</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Initial Premium</th>
<th>Premium Adjustments/Redistribution</th>
<th>Total Premium</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$40</td>
<td>$140</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$(25)</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$(25)</td>
<td>$75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$(25)</td>
<td>$75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$(15)</td>
<td>$85</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$100</td>
<td>$(15)</td>
<td>$85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>$315</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>$300</td>
<td>$(20)</td>
<td>$280</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and concurrently expose an annual statement blanks proposal for 2022 annual reporting. The sponsored blanks proposal has been forwarded to the Blanks (E) Working Group (2022-10BWG) to modify the instructions for Schedule T, the State Page and Accident and Health Policy Experience Exhibit (AHPEE) to clarify guidance for premium adjustments. This agenda item does not result in SSAP revisions. The proposed additions to the blanks instructions are shown in the agenda item, but the primary instructional revision is as follows:

All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-04</td>
<td>ASU 2021-10, Government Assistance (Jim)</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
In November 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2021-10, Government Assistance, Disclosures by Business Entities about Government Assistance* to increase financial statement transparency regarding certain types of government assistance by increasing the disclosure of such information in the notes to the financial statements. The new disclosure aims to increase transparency by enhancing the identification of 1) the types of assistance received, 2) an entity’s accounting for said assistance, and 3) the effects of the assistance in an entity’s financial statements. The disclosures will contain information about the nature of the transactions, which includes a general description of the transaction and identification of the form (cash or other) in which the assistance was received. In terms of the effects on the financial statement, disclosure will include identification of the specific line items in both the balance sheet and income statement and a description of the extent to which they have been impacted by any government assistance. In addition, an entity will be required to disclose information about any significant terms of the transaction with a government entity, with items including durations of such agreements and any provisions for potential recapture.

ASU 2021-10 defines “government assistance,” in a comprehensive manner to capture most types of assistance and includes examples of tax credits, cash grants or grants of other assets. The scope of this ASU is narrow as it does not apply to not-for-profit entities or benefit plans. Further narrowing in scope, the new disclosure requirements in this ASU only apply to transactions that are accounted for by analogizing either a grant or contribution model. As such, these enhanced disclosures do not apply to government transactions that are accounted for in accordance with other codification topics, such as classifying the transactions as debt in ASC 470, income taxes in ASC 740, or as revenue from contracts with customers in ASC 606.

NAIC staff note that that it is anticipated that for most entities who qualified for and received Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans, as authorized by the CARES Act, that the additional disclosures in this ASU still would not apply. It is believed that most insurance reporting entities accounted for PPP transactions as liabilities per *SSAP No. 15—Debt and Holding Company Obligations* which at the time of extinguishment of debt was reported as a capital gain.

Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *SSAP No. 24—Discontinued Operations and Unusual or Infrequent Items*, incorporating certain disclosures from ASU 2021-10. The proposed additions will supplement existing
disclosures to require that if the unusual or infrequent item is as the result of government assistance, the transaction will require identification as well as a description of the terms and provisions of the assistance received.

NAIC staff recommend incorporating the new disclosures in ASU 2020-10, modified only to require the supplemental disclosures for all entity types (as SAP disclosures do not differentiate between entity type – i.e., not-for-profit vs. other). As a final note, existing disclosures for unusual/infrequent items (captured in financial statement note #21) already contains the requirement to identify the specific line items which have been affected by the events or transactions considered to be unusual and/or infrequent - thus that specific portion of ASU 2021-10 was not proposed for inclusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-05</td>
<td>ASU 2021-09, Leases, Discount Rate for Lessees</td>
<td>G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
In November 2021, FASB issued ASU 2021-09, Leases (Topic 842), Discount Rate for Lessees That Are Not Public Business Entities. This ASU was issued as part of FASB’s post-implementation review to address issues that have been found during the implementation of the new lease guidance from ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). Topic 842 generally requires the capitalization of leases, which is calculated by discounting the lease payments utilizing the implicit rate in the lease, or if not determinable, the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate. However, the standard also provides nonpublic entities with a practical expedient, permitting the use of a risk-free rate (e.g., U.S. Treasury Rate) for the capitalization calculation. The amendments in ASU 2021-09 state that when the rate implicit in the lease is readily determinable for any individual lease, that the lessee should use that rate (rather than a risk-free rate or an incremental borrowing rate), regardless of whether it has made the risk-free rate election.

The guidance provided in this ASU is specific to the financing lease treatment under U.S. GAAP, and since SSAP No. 22R—Leases requires nearly all leases to be treated as operating leases for statutory accounting, adoption of this guidance would be unnecessary.

Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends the Working Group move this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification and expose revisions to reject ASU 2021-05 in SSAP No. 22R—Leases. Under statutory accounting almost all leases are classified as operating leases, thus this U.S. GAAP guidance is not necessary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-06</td>
<td>ASU 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
In October 2021, FASB ASU 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718), Determining the Current Price of an Underlying Share for Equity-Classified Share-Based Awards to offer nonpublic companies a practical expedient to one of the several inputs necessary for option-priced modeling. When equity share options or similar instruments are granted in a share-based payment transaction, the fair value (which is used to determine expense recognition at inception and during any subsequent award modification) is estimated using an option-pricing model valuation technique.
In terms of option-priced models, the Black-Scholes-Merton model is considered to be one of the most widely used as it has less complexity than other pricing models. However, despite its reduced complexity, it (and various other pricing models) requires numerous inputs which typically include exercise price, expected dividend rate, risk-free interest rate, expected term, expected share price volatility, and current share price. For public entities, the determination of these values is generally readily available, however for nonpublic entities, many of these inputs are not easily determinable. This ASU provides a practical expedient (not an accounting alternative) to one of the inputs used for nonpublic companies in their option-pricing modeling and is the third such practical expedient permitted in Topic 718, of which the previous two have previously been adopted and are currently permissible for use in SSAP No. 104R—Share-Based Payments.

Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 104R—Share-Based Payments to incorporate the practical expedient for the current price input, a required component for the option-pricing models – models in which are utilized in the determination of fair value for share-based payments. Integration of this third practical expedient is consistent with previous decisions by the Working Group to adopt the prior two practical expedients regarding option-pricing modeling input permitted by FASB. The language proposed by NAIC staff directs that the practical expedient is only available when a reporting entity is not able to reasonable estimate the current fair value. While this language is technically broader than what was adopted by FASB (as ASU 2021-07 directly references non-public companies), the proposed language is consistent with prior Working Group adoptions and by default, should not be utilized by public entities – as they would be able to reasonable estimate fair value, which is likely the publicly traded share price.

Summary:
In October 2021, FASB issued ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations, Accounting for Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers to require acquiring entities to apply Topic 606 (the topic that specifies the accounting for revenue and liabilities resulting from contracts with customers), when valuing and recognizing contract related assets and liabilities in a business combination. Prior to the issuance of ASU 2021-08, acquirers would generally only recognize such items based on their fair values on the date of acquisition. When assessing liabilities at fair value, acquirers would generally only recognize an acquiree’s deferred revenue (i.e., a contract liability), to the extent the acquirer had a legal obligation to perform a service or remit a product. However, to only recognize a contract liability to the extent of a legal obligation is contrary to Topic 606 as it states that performance obligations may (and often) extend beyond legal obligations – with examples including implied promises and customer business practices within the contract with a customer, regardless of whether such promises were legally enforceable.

For statutory accounting, other than the reporting of statutory goodwill, the acquiree’s book value of all associated assets (and liabilities) are reported on the acquirer’s books. As ASU 2021-08 requires the acquirer to utilize the acquiree’s book value, measured via Topic 606, for contract liabilities, the practice (unless the acquiree has not previously or has incorrectly applied Topic 606) while conceptually consistent statutory accounting requirements, requires a measurement method previously rejected by statutory accounting.

Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as SAP clarifications to:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-07</td>
<td>ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAP No. 47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSAP No. 68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Jim)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1) Expose revisions to SSAP No. 47—Uninsured Plans to reject ASU 2021-08 for statutory accounting. This recommendation is consistent with how the prior ASUs related to Topic 606 have been treated.

2) Expose revisions to SSAP No. 68—Business Combinations and Goodwill to reject the ASU 2021-08 for statutory accounting, while noting that rejection does not impact the determination of U.S. GAAP book value in an acquired entity. NAIC staff note that as all prior Topic 606 guidance has been rejected for statutory accounting, the explicit rejection of this ASU should not be construed to mean that the U.S. GAAP net book value (which is utilized for the determination of statutory goodwill) will need to be modified by the guidance required in this ASU. The intent is to not modify any U.S. GAAP requirements for the determination of U.S. GAAP net book value within this standard.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref #</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Attachment #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022-08</td>
<td>SSAP No. 43R (Julie)</td>
<td>Agenda Item – J Draft Interpretation - K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INT 22-01T:</td>
<td>Freddie Mac When-Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary:
Freddie Mac “When Issued K-Deal” certificates (“WI”) are backed by an asset pool held in trust, but those assets do not initially include any mortgages or mortgage-backed assets. Rather these assets include cash from the sale of the WI certificates and a commitment by Freddie Mac to deliver one or more structured pass-through certificates (SPCs) in exchange for the WI trust’s cash within approximately 90 days of settlement. The date on which this delivery occurs is referred to as the “Subsequent Transfer Date”.

Prior to the Subsequent Transfer Date, the WI trusts pay fixed coupons to certificate holders which are funded from a Freddie Mac guarantee on the WI certificates. After the Subsequent Transfer Date, the WI trust will hold the promised SPCs which are backed by mortgages and guaranteed by Freddie Mac. Additionally, after this date the WI trust becomes a pass-through of the underlying trust. The WI certificates have an optional exchange right where they can be exchanged for the underlying SPCs, but if not exchanged, the WI certificates after the Subsequent Transfer Date will still be backed by the SPCs.

The issue is the statutory accounting treatment of WI certificates prior to the Subsequent Transfer Date. Sponsoring entity requests statutory accounting guidance to confirm that WI Trust SPCs shall be reported in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities and not as a forward contract under SSAP No. 86—Derivatives.

Recommendation:
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group expose a tentative statutory accounting interpretation to clarify that investments in the Freddie Mac WI Program shall be captured in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities from initial acquisition. Key elements for this recommendation include:

- The WI Program is fully guaranteed by Freddie Mac and ensures that the investor will receive pass-through certificates, backed my mortgages held in trust, that reflect the terms of the investment set at original acquisition. In the event that the K-Deal certificates cannot be acquired, Freddie Mac is guaranteed to provide payment to the investor that reflects the full principal and interest per the original terms of the agreement, which reflects the payments that would have been received overtime if K-Deal certificates had been acquired.

- The definition of a forward contract in SSAP No. 86 reflects an agreement between two parties that commit one party to purchase and another party to sell the instrument underlying the contract at a specified future date. With the WI Trust Program, the investor does not have a future commitment to acquire securities, as the investor acquires the WI Trust certificate on day one of the transaction and the investor is not required...
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to convert the WI Trust certificates at any time. This WI Trust certificate is not a derivative instrument, as at the time of acquisition, the certificate reflects a tradeable investment in a trust structure backed by cash and a Freddie Mac guarantee of cash flows in accordance with terms established at original acquisition. In addition to having no variation to the investor as a result of an underlying interest, there is no requirement on the investor to take delivery of a different investment. The ability to convert the WI Trust certificate to a K-Deal certificate is strictly an election to the investor and is not a requirement to receive the pass-through cash flows per the terms of the initial investment.

- The WI Program, and resulting obligation of Freddie Mac, ultimately reflects an investment where the investor receives pass-through cash flows generated from mortgage loans acquired and held in trust. This is within the scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities, paragraphs 2-4.

- The WI Program, and treatment as a SSAP No. 43R security, is consistent with the current guidance for TBA securities when an insurer intends to take possession of the resulting mortgage-backed security. A TBA security reflects the pre-purchase of mortgage-backed securities prior to the finalization of the security issuance. Pursuant to the annual statement instructions, TBA securities are to be reported on Schedule D-1: Long-Term Bonds unless the structure more closely resembles a derivative. This determination depends on how a company uses the TBA. (For example, if a company intended to assume the mortgage-backed security once issued, the TBA would be captured on Schedule D-1 at initial acquisition. If a reporting entity was to continually trade/roll TBA exposures, this would be more characteristics of a derivative and would be captured on Schedule DB as a derivative.)

ANY OTHER MATTERS

a. Review of GAAP Exposures – Attachment L - (Jason)
The attachment details the items currently exposed by the FASB. NAIC staff recommends reviewing the issued ASUs under the standard SAP maintenance process. Comments are not recommended at this time – NAIC staff recommend review of the final issued ASU under the SAP Maintenance Process as detail in Appendix F—Policy Statements.

b. Update on Working Group referral to CASTF – (Robin)
The Casualty Actuarial and Statistical (C) Task Force met on March 8th to discuss a recommendation regarding the Working Group referral on agenda item 2019-49: Retroactive Reinsurance Exception regarding diversity in reporting for retroactive intercompany reinsurance contracts, which meet the exception and allow for prospective reporting. The primary issue to address is whether to allocate premium back to prior years on annual statement Schedule P when multiple years of premium are ceded to a reinsurer. No matter which methodology is used, such contracts produce distortions, and determining what will produce the most useful Schedule P information. In addition, the Task Force discussions have also identified that may be disconnects between some of the SSAP No. 62R—Property and Casualty Reinsurance guidance in paragraphs 36 and 37 and the intercompany pooling guidance in the annual statement instructions.

In December 2021, the Task Force exposed a presentation and requested comments. During the March 8 meeting CASTF discussed the following three options:

1) Effectively forward the work completed and let SAPWG decide any further action. In this option, we would write a memo describing the issue and summarizing the PowerPoint presentation that the Task Force exposed and attach the two comment letters.

2) In addition to #1 above, develop and propose edits to Schedule P Instructions, and try for greater clarity in SSAP 62R paragraphs 36/37.

3) Do additional research -- dig deeper for others’ recommendations / consult interested parties in addition to Connecticut and RAA.
After discussion, which highlighted that as actuaries are the primary users of Schedule P, they should be involved in drafting instructional revisions, the Task Force agree to proceed with option 2. NAIC staff will continue to participate in the subgroup.

**Comment Deadline for Exposures is Friday, June 3, 2022, for all items except for:**

1. Agenda item 2022-03: Premium by Jurisdiction has a May 6, 2022, comment deadline because of the year-end 2022 blanks impact. The earlier comment deadline is to allow for Working Group adoption consideration prior to the Blanks (E) Working Group meeting, which is anticipated to occur in June.

2. Agenda item 2022-08: Freddie Mac When-Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates has a May 6, 2022, comment deadline.

https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2022/4- Spring NM/Meeting/0-4-2022 SAPWG Meeting Agenda.docx
Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A

Issue: Conceptual Framework – Updates

Check (applicable entity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Issue: In December 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued two new chapters of its conceptual framework. The conceptual framework is a body of interrelated objectives and fundamentals that provides the FASB with a foundation for setting standards and concepts to consider when it resolves questions or develops/modifies accounting and reporting guidance.

It is important to note that the Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts are not authoritative and do not establish new or change existing U.S. GAAP. Per the FASB chair, these concepts are “a tool for the Board to use in setting standards that improve the understandability of information entities provide to existing and potential investors, lenders, donors, and other resource providers.”

This agenda item reviews and summarizes each of the two newly issued concept chapters and reviews their potential impact on statutory accounting. Again, while the conceptual framework statements are not authoritative, they are the guiding principles for standard setting and these new updates have superseded chapters currently referenced in the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual (AP&P Manual). In addition, and most notably, in the case of one of these chapters, FASB changed certain key fundamental definitions, specifically the definition of an asset and a liability, which have historically been mirrored by statutory accounting.

Update 1:
FASB Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements introduced updated definitions of certain key elements used in financial reporting – the definition of an asset and liability. The chapter states that assets and liabilities have conceptual and definitional primacy because assets and liabilities (and changes in those elements) are foundational to all the other items reported in the financial statements. To correctly identify and represent an asset or liability is the beginning basis for all financial reporting and due to their importance, updates to both financial statement elements have been adopted. A summary of each, comparing the historical and current definitions, is provided below:

Changes regarding the definition of an ASSET:

- **Historical definition**: a probable future economic benefit obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events.

- **Historical Characteristics: Three essential characteristics:**
  1. it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows,
  2. a particular enterprise can obtain the benefit and control others’ access to it, and
  3. the transaction or other event giving rise to the enterprise's right to or control of the benefit has already occurred.

  ➢ **New Definition**: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit.
➢ **Current Characteristics: Two essential characteristics:**

1. it is a present right, and
2. the right is to an economic benefit.

The combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. For clarity, an “economic benefit” represents services or other items of economic value and generally result in net cash inflows to the entity.

**Commentary regarding definitional changes:**

The current definition of an asset no longer includes the term *probable* or the phrases *future economic benefit* and *past transactions or events*. The FASB concluded that the term *probable* has historically been misunderstood as implying that a future benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset was met. Thus, if the probability of a future benefit was low, an asset could not be recognized. FASB also struck the phrase *future economic benefit* as this phrase often was interpreted that the asset must represent a certain future economic benefit (such as eventual cash inflows), however with this update, FASB clarified that the asset represents the rights to the benefit, not the actual benefit itself – nor the probability of realization.

Finally, FASB struck the phrase as the result of *past transactions or events*. It was concluded that if the asset represents a *present right*, by default, the right must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.

Changes regarding the definition of a LIABILITY:

- **Historical definition:** are [certain or] probable future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or provide services to other entities in the future as a result of past transactions or events.

- **Historical Characteristics: Three essential characteristics:**

  1. it embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand,
  2. the duty or responsibility obligates a particular enterprise, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and
  3. the transaction or other event obligating the enterprise has already happened.

- **New Definition:** a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit.

- **Current Characteristics: Two essential characteristics:**

  1. it is a present obligation, and
  2. the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefit to others. (For the purposes of this characteristic, *transfer* is typically used to describe obligations to pay cash or convey assets, while the term *provide* is used to describe obligations to provide services or stand by to do so).

**Commentary regarding definitional changes:**

The current definition of a liability no longer includes the term *probable* or the phrase *in the future as a result of past transactions or events*. The FASB concluded that the term *probable* has historically been understood as implying that a future obligation must meet a probability to a certain threshold before the definition of a liability was met. Thus, if the probability of a future transfer of an asset (or the requirement to provide a service) was low,
a liability would likely not be recognized. In removing the term probable (and replacing it with “present obligation”), FASB concluded that in almost all situations, the presence of an obligation will be apparent. It stated that most present obligations are legally enforceable, including obligations arising from binding contracts, agreements, statutes, or other legal or contractual means. Chapter 4 also discusses the prevalence of certain business risks and how to assess if they result in the recognition of a liability. It concluded that while certain businesses pose risk of future events occurring that will cause them to transfer an economic benefit (an asset), the risk itself does not represent a present obligation because exposure to a potential negative consequence does not constitute a present obligation.

However, FASB also stated situations lacking clear legal or contractual evidence of a present obligation may pose particular challenges that may make it difficult to discern whether a present obligation exists. In these settings, the FASB stated that constructive obligations or other noncontractual obligations are created by circumstance rather than by explicit agreement. In the absence of an explicit agreement, sufficient information to distinguish a present obligation is likely only available at the specific standards level. Thus, the FASB concluded that the specific facts and circumstances at the standards level (or in the case of statutory accounting, at the SAP level) must be utilized to determine whether the entity has created a constructive obligation and must recognize a liability.

FASB also struck the phrase as the result of past transactions or events. It was concluded that if the liability represents a present right, by default, the right must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.

Update 2:
FASB Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 7, Presentation identifies factors that the FASB will consider when deciding how items should be displayed on the financial statements. Chapter 7 describes the information to be included in the financial statements and how appropriate presentation can contribute to the objective of financial reporting – to communicate financial information about an entity that is useful to existing and potential investors, lenders, and other creditors in making decisions about providing resources (goods and services) to the entity. These decisions typically involve buying or selling of goods/services or holding equity and debt instruments as well as providing or settling loans or other forms of credit. This chapter articulates that the financial statements meet a “general purpose” and should not be considered to meet all purposes for possible users – and thus a common set of conceptual standards is appropriate.

Chapter 7 also describes the importance of financial statement notes, or supplementary information so that financial statement users are provided with a more complete picture of an entity’s accounting policy or any particular unique circumstance or event. In terms of general reporting, the conceptual statement relays that a distinction between nonhomogeneous items should be depicted in the financial statements with different reporting line items and subtotals and that the information should be provided based on recognition and measurement standards. In essence, reporting should be sufficiently aggregated, but not aggregated to a level in which the information is too consolidated for general use and understanding. Once reported, then any significant accounting policy or circumstance would further be defined with accompanying notes.

The chapter broadly states that to meet the objectives of financial reporting, line items should be distinct based on the information being provided – as the information should distinguish between various types of transactions/events and should assist users in their estimates in the amounts and timing of future cash flows or the entity’s ability to provide other economic value. The financial statements should depict the results of different types of transactions, including changes in events or other circumstances that may vary the frequency or predictability of performance based on many items, including changes in economic conditions.

In summary, while Chapter 7 does supersede sections of Statement of Financial Accounting Concept 5, it did not result in fundamental changes to the principal concepts of financial reporting. The chapter articulates the need for complete financial reporting, describes the interconnectedness of a ‘complete set of financial statements’ and relays the importance of these documents as the information in the financial statements is the primary (and typically the
sole) source for analyzing current and potential future performance of an organization and its ability to meet its long-term financial objectives. At a high level, the chapter discusses what information should broadly be categorized as revenues, expenses, gains, and losses and to the extent equity is impacted by operations as well as changes in owners’ equity through investments or distributions.

In terms of the impact to statutory accounting, the updated concepts in this chapter are not expected to modify current guidance, other than to update references to superseded accounting concepts.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

NAIC Staff Note – the Preamble contains reference to certain concept statements in footnotes 2 and 4 and have been bolded below for ease of identification. It is important to note that while these footnotes currently reference superseded conceptual statements, the conceptual statements noted do not represent adopted guidance - they are noted as reference for overarching guiding principles regarding financial reporting.

Preamble

IV. Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts

25. This document states the fundamental concepts on which statutory financial accounting and reporting standards are based. These concepts provide a framework to guide the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) in the continued development and maintenance of statutory accounting principles (“SAP” or “statutory basis”) and, as such, these concepts and principles constitute an accounting basis for the preparation and issuance of statutory financial statements by insurance companies in the absence of state statutes and/or regulations.

26. The NAIC and state insurance departments are primarily concerned with statutory accounting principles that differ from GAAP reflective of the varying objectives of regulation. Recodification of areas where SAP and GAAP are parallel is an inefficient use of limited resources.

27. SAP utilizes the framework established by GAAP. FN2 This document integrates that framework with objectives exclusive to statutory accounting. The NAIC’s guidance on SAP is comprehensive for those principles that differ from GAAP based on the concepts of statutory accounting outlined herein. Those GAAP pronouncements that are not applicable to insurance companies will not be adopted by the NAIC. For those principles that do not differ from GAAP, the NAIC must specifically adopt those GAAP Pronouncements to be included in statutory accounting. GAAP Pronouncements do not become part of SAP until and unless adopted by the NAIC.

28. The body of statutory accounting principles is prescribed in the statutory hierarchy of accounting guidance. This hierarchy provides the framework for judging the presentation of statutory financial statements in conformance with statutory accounting principles.

29. Statutory requirements vary from state to state. While it is desirable to minimize these variations, to the extent that they exist it is the objective of NAIC statutory accounting principles to provide the standard against which the exceptions will be measured and disclosed if material.

FN 2 - The GAAP framework applicable to insurance accounting is set forth in Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts One, Two, Five, and Six. These documents, promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, set forth the objectives and concepts which are used in developing accounting and reporting standards.

V. Statutory Hierarchy

42. The following Hierarchy is not intended to preempt state legislative and regulatory authority.
Level 1

SSAPs, including U.S. GAAP reference material to the extent adopted by the NAIC from the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (FASB Codification or GAAP guidance)

Level 2

Consensus positions of the Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group as adopted by the NAIC (INTs adopted before 2016)

Interpretations of existing SSAPs as adopted by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group (INTs adopted in 2016 or beyond)

Level 3

NAIC Annual Statement Instructions

Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office

Level 4

Statutory Accounting Principles Preamble and Statement of Concepts FN4

Level 5

Sources of nonauthoritative GAAP accounting guidance and literature, including: (a) practices that are widely recognized and prevalent either generally or in the industry, (b) FASB Concept Statements, (c) AICPA guidance not included in FASB Codification, (d) International Financial Reporting Standards, (e) Pronouncements of professional associations or regulatory agencies, (f) Technical Information Service Inquiries and Replies included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids, and (g) Accounting textbooks, handbooks and articles

43. If the accounting treatment of a transaction or event is not specified by the SSAPs, preparers, regulators and auditors of statutory financial statements should consider whether the accounting treatment is specified by another source of established statutory accounting principles. If an established statutory accounting principle from one or more sources in Level 2 or 3 is relevant to the circumstances, the preparer, regulator or auditor should apply such principle. If there is a conflict between statutory accounting principles from one or more sources in Level 2 or 3, the preparer, regulator or auditor should follow the treatment specified by the source in the higher level—that is, follow Level 2 treatment over Level 3. Revisions to guidance in accordance with additions or revisions to the NAIC statutory hierarchy should be accounted for as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors.

44. Because of developments such as new legislation or the evolution of a new type of business transaction, there sometimes are no established statutory accounting principles for reporting a specific transaction or event. In those instances, it might be possible to report the event or transaction on the basis of its substance by selecting a statutory accounting principle that appears appropriate when applied in a manner similar to the application of an established statutory principle to an analogous transaction or event. In the absence of a SSAP or another source of established statutory accounting principles, the preparer, regulator or auditor of statutory financial statements may consider other accounting literature, depending on its relevance in the circumstances. Other accounting literature includes the Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts and GAAP reference material and accounting literature identified in Level 5. The appropriateness of other accounting literature depends on its relevance to the particular circumstances, the specificity of the guidance, and the general recognition of the issuer or author as an authority. For example, the Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts would be more authoritative than any other sources of accounting literature. Similarly, FASB Concepts Statements would normally be more influential than other sources of nonauthoritative GAAP pronouncements.

FN 4 - The Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts incorporates by reference FASB Concepts Statements One, Two, Five and Six to the extent they do not conflict with the concepts
outlined in the statement. However, for purposes of applying this hierarchy the FASB Concepts Statements shall be included in Level 5 and only those concepts unique to statutory accounting as stated in the statement are included in Level 4.

SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has three essential characteristics: (a) it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, (b) a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others' access to it, and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity's right to or control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, "The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet," and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

- a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or

If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

FN1 - FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states: Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

FN2 - If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third-party interests. Thus, pursuant to
paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.

SSAP No. 5—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets

NAIC Staff Note – this SAP contains the definition of the financial statement element of a Liability. Relevant items have been bolded below for ease of identification.

2. A liability is defined as certain or probable FN1 future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or to provide services to other entities in the future as a result of a past transaction(s) or event(s).

3. A liability has three essential characteristics: (a) it embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable FN1 future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand, (b) the duty or responsibility obligates a particular entity, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and (c) the transaction or other event obligating the entity has already happened. This includes, but is not limited to, liabilities arising from policyholder obligations (e.g., policyholder benefits, reported claims and reserves for incurred but not reported claims). Liabilities shall be recorded on a reporting entity’s financial statements when incurred.

4. Estimates (e.g., loss reserves) are required in financial statements for many ongoing and recurring activities of a reporting entity. The mere fact that an estimate is involved does not of itself constitute a loss contingency. For example, estimates of losses utilizing appropriate actuarial methodologies meet the definition of liabilities as outlined above and are not loss contingencies.

FN1 - FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states: Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group: None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): While slightly different, the updated FASB asset & liability definitions do closer align with IFRS definitions. While IFRS retains the phrase “as a result of past events,” it also explicitly retains the term “control,” which is now implicit with the FASB updates. The elimination of the explicit term “control” was a deliberate action of the FASB as they noted that the notion of control has been historically misunderstood (control is to the right that gives rise to the economic benefit rather than to the economic benefits themselves). For reference IFRS Chapter 4 – The Elements of Financial Statements, defines an asset as a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events; with the economic resource representing a right that has the potential to produce economic benefits. Additionally, the chapter defines a liability as a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic resource as a result of past events.

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to the Preamble, SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets and SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairment of Assets, as illustrated below and in the issue papers, to incorporate updates from Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements and Chapter 7, Presentation of the FASB’s Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting.

IV. Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts

25. This document states the fundamental concepts on which statutory financial accounting and reporting standards are based. These concepts provide a framework to guide the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) in the continued development and maintenance of statutory accounting principles (“SAP” or “statutory basis”) and, as such, these concepts and principles constitute an accounting basis for the preparation and issuance of statutory financial statements by insurance companies in the absence of state statutes and/or regulations.

26. The NAIC and state insurance departments are primarily concerned with statutory accounting principles that differ from GAAP reflective of the varying objectives of regulation. Recodification of areas where SAP and GAAP are parallel is an inefficient use of limited resources.

27. SAP utilizes the framework established by GAAP. FN2 This document integrates that framework with objectives exclusive to statutory accounting. The NAIC’s guidance on SAP is comprehensive for those principles that differ from GAAP based on the concepts of statutory accounting outlined herein. Those GAAP pronouncements that are not applicable to insurance companies will not be adopted by the NAIC. For those principles that do not differ from GAAP, the NAIC must specifically adopt those GAAP Pronouncements to be included in statutory accounting. GAAP Pronouncements do not become part of SAP until and unless adopted by the NAIC.

28. The body of statutory accounting principles is prescribed in the statutory hierarchy of accounting guidance. This hierarchy provides the framework for judging the presentation of statutory financial statements in conformance with statutory accounting principles.

29. Statutory requirements vary from state to state. While it is desirable to minimize these variations, to the extent that they exist it is the objective of NAIC statutory accounting principles to provide the standard against which the exceptions will be measured and disclosed if material.

FN 2 - The GAAP framework applicable to insurance accounting is set forth in Statements of Financial Accounting Concepts One, Two, Five, and SixEight. These documents, promulgated by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, set forth the objectives and concepts which are used in developing accounting and reporting standards.

V. Statutory Hierarchy

42. The following Hierarchy is not intended to preempt state legislative and regulatory authority.

Level 1

SSAPs, including U.S. GAAP reference material to the extent adopted by the NAIC from the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (FASB Codification or GAAP guidance)

Level 2

Consensus positions of the Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group as adopted by the NAIC (INTs adopted before 2016)

Interpretations of existing SSAPs as adopted by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group (INTs adopted in 2016 or beyond)
Level 3

NAIC Annual Statement Instructions

Purposes and Procedures Manual of the NAIC Investment Analysis Office

Level 4

Statutory Accounting Principles Preamble and Statement of Concepts FN4

Level 5

Sources of nonauthoritative GAAP accounting guidance and literature, including: (a) practices that are widely recognized and prevalent either generally or in the industry, (b) FASB Concept Statements, (c) AICPA guidance not included in FASB Codification, (d) International Financial Reporting Standards, (e) Pronouncements of professional associations or regulatory agencies, (f) Technical Information Service Inquiries and Replies included in the AICPA Technical Practice Aids, and (g) Accounting textbooks, handbooks and articles

FN 4 - The Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts incorporates by reference FASB Concepts Statements One, Two, Five and SixEight to the extent they do not conflict with the concepts outlined in the statement. However, for purposes of applying this hierarchy the FASB Concepts Statements shall be included in Level 5 and only those concepts unique to statutory accounting as stated in the statement are included in Level 4.

Proposed edits SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets: proposed modifications reflect an updated definition of the term Asset – to match the newly issued definition in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit, probable FN1future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has twothree essential characteristics: (a) it is a present rightembodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, and (b) the right is to an economic benefit—a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others’ access to it FN1FN2, and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity’s right to control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, “The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet,” and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or


If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity’s written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.
4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

FN1 - FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 86, Elements of Financial Statements, states that the combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

FN2 - If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third-party interests. Thus, pursuant to paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.

Relevant Literature


References

Relevant Issue Papers

Issue Paper No. 4—Definition of Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

Issue Paper No. 119—Capitalization Policy, An Amendment to SSAP Nos. 4, 19, 29, 73, 79 and 82

Issue Paper No. 16X - Updates to the Definition of an Asset

SSAP No. 5—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets: proposed modifications reflect an updated definition of the term Liability – to match the newly issued definition in FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8

2. A liability is defined as a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit, certain or probable future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or to provide services to other entities in the future as a result of a past transaction(s) or event(s).

3. A liability has three essential characteristics: (a) it is a present obligation embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, or occurrence of a specified event, or on demand, and (b) the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefit to others duty or responsibility obligates a particular entity, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and (c) the transaction or other event obligating the entity has already happened. This includes, but is not limited to, liabilities arising from policyholder obligations (e.g., policyholder benefits, reported claims and
reserves for incurred but not reported claims). Liabilities shall be recorded on a reporting entity’s financial statements when incurred.

4. Estimates (e.g., loss reserves) are required in financial statements for many ongoing and recurring activities of a reporting entity. The mere fact that an estimate is involved does not of itself constitute a loss contingency. For example, estimates of losses utilizing appropriate actuarial methodologies meet the definition of liabilities as outlined above and are not loss contingencies.

\[\text{FN1} - \text{FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states:} \]

Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

\[\text{Relevant Literature}\]

39. This statement adopts FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies (FAS 5), FASB Statement 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan only as it amends in part FAS 5 and paragraphs 35 and 36 of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6—Elements of Financial Statements, FASB Interpretation No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss, An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 5 (FIN No. 14) is adopted with the modification to accrue the loss amount as the midpoint of the range rather than the minimum as discussed in paragraph 3 of FIN No. 14. This statement adopts with modification ASU 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date with the same statutory modification adopted for FIN 14. This statement incorporates the definition of a liability from FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8, Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements, paragraphs E37 and E38.

\[\text{References}\]

\[\text{Relevant Issue Papers}\]

Issue Paper No. 5—Definition of Liabilities, Loss Contingencies and Impairments of Assets

Issue Paper No. 20—Gain Contingencies

Issue Paper No. 135—Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others

Issue Paper No. 16X – Updates to the Definition of a Liability

\[\text{Recommendation:}\]
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Updates to the Definition of an Asset

STATUS
April 4, 2022 – Discussion Draft

Original and Current Authoritative Guidance: SSAP No. 4

Type of Issue:
Common Area

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

1. This issue paper documents the SAP clarification revisions to SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets. The intent of the revisions is to align current statutory accounting guidance, specifically the definition of an “asset,” with the term utilized by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. The statutory accounting principle clarifications to SSAP No. 4 (illustrated in Exhibit A), reflect that for the purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit. An asset has two essential characteristics: (1) it is a present right, and (2) the right is to an economic benefit. The combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. For clarity, an “economic benefit” represents services or other items of economic value and generally result in net cash inflows to the entity. Pursuant to current guidance, assets are then evaluated, as outlined in paragraph 3 below, to determine whether they are admitted for statutory accounting purposes.

3. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet, and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or

If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may
meet the definition of assets but are specifically identified within the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual* as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

**DISCUSSION**

6. In December 2021, FASB issued *Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements*, which introduced updated definitions of certain key elements used in financial reporting – most notably updating the fundamental definition of an asset. Through the FASB’s adoption of Concept Statement No. 8, the original Concept Statement No. 6 has been superseded. As statutory accounting currently reflects FASB’s historical definition, this issue paper is to review the prior concept definition (currently utilized by statutory accounting) and compare it to FASB’s updated concept definition and assess whether the revised concept definition shall be reflected in statutory accounting.

7. FASB concept statements do not reflect authoritative U.S. GAAP guidance. Rather concept statements are intended to set forth objectives and fundamental concepts that will be the basis for development of financial accounting and reporting guidance. The term “asset” is not captured or defined in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (which is the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP.) Furthermore, although the concept statement is intended to be used as a guide in establishing authoritative U.S. GAAP, the FASB is not restricted to the concepts when developing guidance, and the FASB may issue U.S. GAAP which may be inconsistent with the objectives and fundamental concepts set forth in Concept Statements. A change in a FASB Concept Statement does not 1) require a change in existing U.S. GAAP, 2) amend, modify or interpret the Accounting Standards Codification, or 3) justify either changing existing generally accepted accounting and reporting practices or interpreting the Accounting Standards Codification based on personal interpretations of the objectives and concepts in the concepts statement.

8. Under the prior FASB concept statement, which was reflected in SSAP No. 4, an asset was defined as a probable future economic benefit obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. In addition, the historical definition possessed three essential characteristics in that (1) it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, (2) a particular enterprise can obtain the benefit and control others' access to it, and (3) the transaction or other event giving rise to the enterprise's right to or control of the benefit has already occurred.

9. Pursuant to the prior concept statement, and as incorporated in SSAP No. 4, *probable*, as referenced both in the definition and essential characters, was used in a usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense and referred to which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

10. With the new FASB conceptual framework chapter, an asset is now defined as a present right of an entity to an economic benefit. In addition, the current definition only has two essential characteristics in that the asset is (1) a present right, and (2) the right is to an economic benefit. The combination of these two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled. For clarity, an “economic benefit” represents services or other items of economic value to the asset holder and generally result in net cash inflows to the entity.

11. The updated asset definition from Concept Statement No. 8 no longer includes the term *probable* or the phrases *future economic benefit* and *as a result of past transactions or events*. The FASB concluded that the term *probable* has historically been misunderstood as implying that a future benefit must be
probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset was met. Thus, if the probability of a future benefit was low, an asset could not be recognized. The FASB also struck the phrase *future economic benefit* as this phrase often was interpreted that the asset must represent a certain future economic benefit (such as eventual cash inflows), however with this action, the FASB clarified that the asset represents the rights to the benefit, not the actual benefit itself – nor the probability of realization. Finally, FASB struck the phrase as the *result of past transactions or events*. It was concluded that if the asset represents a *present right*, by default, the right must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.

12. To meet the definition of an asset, the right must be a “present right,” that is the right must exist at the financial statement date, not a right that is expected to occur in the future. The existence of a present right at the financial statement date means that the right and therefore the reasons why that asset was obtained, must have arisen from a past transaction or event. A right entitles its holder to have or obtain something, or act in a certain manner. Rights can be obtained in various ways and are often obtained through legal ownership. Legal ownership gives the owner access to the economic benefits, including the ability to possess, use, and enjoy the right. However, legally enforceable rights to economic benefits can also be obtained without legal ownership of the underlying property. This occurs in cases where the underlying benefit itself, as is the example in the right of use or rights to specified cashflows in contract provisions, are possessed by an entity other than the legal title holder. One important aspect of the change in definition is the removal of the term “control.” The FASB clarified that while the term control has been removed, the notion of control has been maintained in the updated definition. In the prior definition, control was a required element and thus without control, an asset was not recognized. However, control often refers to the ability to direct, manage, or have power over something. The FASB stated that in many instances, constituents misunderstood the notion of control by 1) believing it represented a probable future economic benefit, or 2) failing to properly identify what was specifically controlled. An example provided was a trade receivable – the definition of control might be misapplied to mean the successful collection; however, the correct application should refer to the rights of collection – not the successful collection itself. Citing this as an example, the FASB concluded that while the notion of control was an important aspect, the explicit term did not sufficiently add to the definition – thus the term “control” was removed.

13. When reviewing the substance of the revisions, the FASB concluded that the updated definition resulted in a clearer and more precise definition and it did not fundamentally change the historical concept of an asset, nor should the revisions result in any material changes in instrument reclassification (e.g., items now being classified as an asset when previously they were not considered assets). For statutory accounting purposes, the updated definition should be viewed similarly, that is it does not change fundamental concepts, change current practices, or introduce a new, original or a modified accounting principle. The revisions to the definition of an asset clarify the definitional language and do not modify the original intent of SSAP No. 4 and thus the changes are deemed to be a statutory accounting principle clarification.

14. One concept articulated in SSAP No. 4, and one that is not proposed for revision, is the concept of nonadmitted assets. As revisions are not proposed to this concept, further discussion is not included in this issue paper.

**Actions of the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group**

15. During the Spring 2022 National Meeting, the Working Group is anticipated to consider exposure of this issue paper.
Statutory Accounting

16. Relevant excerpts of SSAP No. 4, paragraphs 2-5 regarding the definition of an asset and a nonadmitted asset (nonadmitted asset as it is referenced in definition of an asset paragraph) utilized by statutory accounting is:

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: probable\(^1\) future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has three essential characteristics: (a) it embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, (b) a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others' access to it\(^2\), and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity’s right to or control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, “The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet,” and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or


If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity’s written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

---

\(^1\) FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states:

Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

\(^2\) If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third-party interests. Thus, pursuant to paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.
Updates to the Definition of Assets

Attachment B
IP No. 16X

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

17. Relevant paragraphs from Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements have been included below:

Assets

E16. An asset is a present right of an entity to an economic benefit.

Characteristics of Assets

E17. An asset has the following two essential characteristics: a. It is a present right. b. The right is to an economic benefit.

The combination of those two characteristics allows an entity to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefit and the ability to restrict others’ access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled.

E19. Essential to the definition of an asset is a right to an “economic benefit”—the capacity to provide services or benefits to the entities that use them. Generally, in a business entity, that economic benefit eventually results in potential net cash inflows to the entity. In a not-for-profit entity, that economic benefit is used to provide desired or needed goods or services to beneficiaries or other constituents, which may or may not directly result in net cash inflows to the entity. Some not-for-profit entities rely significantly on contributions or donations of cash to supplement selling prices or to replace cash or other assets used in providing goods and services. The relationship between the economic benefit of an entity’s assets and net cash inflows to that entity can be indirect in both business entities and not-for-profit entities.

E22. A right entitles its holder to have or obtain something or to act in a certain manner. Rights can be obtained in various ways. Often, rights are obtained by legal ownership, for example, owning a building. Legal ownership gives the owner access to economic benefits, including the ability to possess, use, and enjoy the right; to sell, donate, or exchange the right; or to exploit the right’s value by, for example, pledging it as a security for borrowing.

E23. Legally enforceable rights to economic benefits can be obtained without legal ownership of the underlying benefit itself as is the case, for example, when property is leased or intellectual property is licensed or when an entity has the rights to specified certain cash flows, as in the case of a contract providing rights only to interest flows from a specified debt instrument. Other legally enforceable rights that give rise to assets include the right to require other parties to make payments or render services and the right to use a patent or a trademark. Legally enforceable rights include, among other rights, contractual rights (for example, rights from options held).

E31. Another essential characteristic of an asset is that the right of an entity must be to an economic benefit. An asset of an entity might be represented by rights to a particular property (such as the right to possess, use, and enjoy a parcel of land) or by rights to some or all the economic benefits derived from the property.

18. One of the most notable changes to the definitional change was the explicit removal of the term control, however the notion of control was retained. Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements included commentary regarding the FASB’s rationale of the change.

BC4.17. The definition of an asset in Concepts Statement 6 associated assets with a particular entity by inclusion of the term control. Control often refers to the ability to direct, manage, or have power over something to obtain or access benefits or to increase, maintain, or protect those benefits. Control goes beyond legal rights and includes the ability to obtain and control the benefit in other ways, including restricting, or otherwise prohibiting, the access of others to the economic benefit of the asset.
BC4.18. In applying the definition of an asset in Concepts Statement 6, however, many constituents misunderstood the notion of control. Some improperly viewed control of a probable future economic benefit in the same manner as described in business combinations or consolidation accounting. Additionally, in applying the term control, some failed to properly identify that which was controlled under the asset definition. For example, in the instance of trade receivables, the definition could be misunderstood to indicate that what is controlled is the successful collection of the receivable in the future. When applied appropriately, however, the definition in Concepts Statement 6 would conclude that the present right to collection is what is controlled. Similarly, if an entity has an option to acquire an asset, the present right of that entity is to the option itself, not the underlying asset that the option provides the right to acquire. Thus, control references the existing right that has the ability to generate economic benefits, or potential economic benefits, and to restrict others’ access to those benefits.

BC4.19. While the Board concluded that the notion of control was an important aspect of the asset definition, it was not clear to the Board whether the explicit term control added anything significant to the definition of an asset. Those considerations are addressed by including the term present right in the definition in this chapter. If an entity has a present right to an economic benefit, that would seem to be sufficient to establish the fact that the asset is an asset of that entity. Indeed, if an entity has exclusive rights, it presumably can deny or regulate access to that benefit by others, thereby implying control.

BC4.22. The Board redeliberated the issue and decided that the term control should not be used in the definition of an asset for the following reasons:

a. It eliminates redundancy. If an entity has a present right, that would seem to be sufficient to establish the fact that the asset is an asset of that entity. In fact, the Board used the phrase of the entity in the definition of an asset to clarify that point. Indeed, if an entity has exclusive rights, it presumably can deny or regulate access to that benefit by others.

b. It eliminates misunderstanding of the term. The term control has two issues in the existing definition of an asset. First, many have a different definition of the term control. Second, many associate the term control with whether one has control of the economic benefit. The Board notes that what is controlled is the existing right that gives rise to economic benefits, or potential economic benefits, rather than the economic benefits themselves. The Board’s reasoning for removing the term control is the same as removing other terms, such as future and probable, from the definition of an asset.

c. It avoids confusion with the IASB’s Conceptual Framework use and meaning of the term. The IASB defines an asset as “a present economic resource controlled by the entity as a result of past events.” In the basis for conclusions to the IASB’s Conceptual Framework’s discussion on control, footnote 19 references both IFRS 10, Consolidated Financial Statements, and IFRS 15, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The Board is concerned about the references to IFRS 10 and IFRS 15 because those standards refer to control of an economic benefit, not control of the right. The Board notes that convergence with the IASB’s asset definition on this point is not critical because it could perpetuate the misunderstanding discussed above.

19. Other changes regarding the definition of an asset included removal of the term probable and the phrases future economic benefit and past transactions of events. Rationale for these changes were documented in Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements commentary as follows:

BC4.11. The definitions of both an asset and a liability in Concepts Statement 6 include the term probable and the phrases future economic benefit and past transactions or events. The term probable in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 has been misunderstood as implying that a future economic benefit or a future sacrifice of economic benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset or a liability is met. In other words, if the probability of future economic benefit is low, the asset definition is not met under that interpretation. A similar interpretation could be made for liabilities. The footnotes to the Concepts Statement 6 definition
of assets and liabilities also were not helpful in clarifying the application of the term probable as used in the definitions of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, the Board decided to eliminate that term from the definitions of both assets and liabilities.

BC4.12. The term future in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 focused on identifying a future flow of economic benefits to demonstrate that an asset exists or identifying a future transfer of economic benefits to demonstrate that a liability exists. The definitions in Concepts Statement 6 were often misunderstood as meaning that the asset (liability) is the ultimate future inflow (outflow). For example, in the instance of trade receivables, the definition in Concepts Statement 6 could be misunderstood to indicate that the asset is the successful collection of the receivable in the future. When applied appropriately, however, the definition would conclude that the asset is the present right to collection. Similar misunderstandings occurred in applying the liability definition. As a result, the Board concluded that a focus on the term present would appropriately shift the focus from identifying a future occurrence. Therefore, the Board decided to include the term present right to demonstrate that an asset exists and emphasize the term present obligation to demonstrate that a liability exists.

BC4.13. The definitions of assets and liabilities in Concepts Statement 6 both include the phrase past transactions or events. The Board concluded that if an entity has a present right or a present obligation, one can reasonably assume that it was obtained from some past transaction or event. Therefore, that phrase is considered redundant and has been eliminated from the definitions.

RELEVANT LITERATURE

Statutory Accounting
- Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts and Statutory Hierarchy

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Effective Date

20. As issue papers are not authoritative and are not represented in the Statutory Hierarchy (see Section V of the Preamble), the consideration and adoption of this issue paper will not have any impact on the SAP clarifications adopted to SSAP No. 4 by the Working Group on TBD.
EXHIBIT A – SAP Clarification Revisions to SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 4

Assets and Nonadmitted Assets

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement establishes the definition of an “asset” for use in statutory accounting and establishes the criteria for consistent treatment of nonadmitted assets.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. For purposes of statutory accounting, an asset shall be defined as: a present right of an entity to an economic benefit probable future economic benefits obtained or controlled by a particular entity as a result of past transactions or events. An asset has two essential characteristics: (a) it is a present right that embodies a probable future benefit that involves a capacity, singly or in combination with other assets, to contribute directly or indirectly to future net cash inflows, and (b) the right is to an economic benefit, a particular entity can obtain the benefit and control others’ access to it, and (c) the transaction or other event giving rise to the entity’s right to or control of the benefit has already occurred. These assets shall then be evaluated to determine whether they are admitted. The criteria used is outlined in paragraph 3.

3. As stated in the Statement of Concepts, “The ability to meet policyholder obligations is predicated on the existence of readily marketable assets available when both current and future obligations are due. Assets having economic value other than those which can be used to fulfill policyholder obligations, or those assets which are unavailable due to encumbrances or other third-party interests should not be recognized on the balance sheet,” and are, therefore, considered nonadmitted. For purposes of statutory accounting principles, a nonadmitted asset shall be defined as an asset meeting the criteria in paragraph 2, which is accorded limited or no value in statutory reporting, and is one which is:

   a. Specifically identified within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as a nonadmitted asset; or

---

4 FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states:

Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence and logic but is neither certain nor proved.

5 FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8, Elements of Financial Statements, states that the combination of these two characteristics allows an entry to obtain the economic benefit and control others’ access to the benefit. A present right of an entity to an economic benefit entitles the entity to the economic benefits and the ability to restrict other’s access to the benefit to which the entity is entitled.

5 If assets of an insurance entity are pledged or otherwise restricted by the action of a related party, the assets are not under the exclusive control of the insurance entity and are not available to satisfy policyholder obligations due to these encumbrances or other third party interests. Thus, pursuant to paragraph 2(c), such assets shall not be recognized as an admitted asset on the balance sheet. Additional guidance for assets pledged as collateral is included in INT 01-31.
b. Not specifically identified as an admitted asset within the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual*.

If an asset meets one of these criteria, the asset shall be reported as a nonadmitted asset and charged against surplus unless otherwise specifically addressed within the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual*. The asset shall be depreciated or amortized against net income as the estimated economic benefit expires. In accordance with the reporting entity's written capitalization policy, amounts less than a predefined threshold of furniture, fixtures, equipment, or supplies, shall be expensed when purchased.

4. Transactions which do not give rise to assets as defined in paragraph 2 shall be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur. Those transactions which result in amounts which may meet the definition of assets, but are specifically identified within the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual* as not giving rise to assets (e.g., policy acquisition costs), shall also be charged to operations in the period the transactions occur.

5. The reporting entity shall maintain a capitalization policy containing the predefined thresholds for each asset class to be made available for the department(s) of insurance.

### Assets Pledged as Collateral or Otherwise Restricted

6. Assets that are pledged to others as collateral or otherwise restricted (not under the exclusive control of the insurer, subject to a put option contract, etc.) shall be identified in the investment schedules pursuant to the codes in the annual statement instructions, disclosed in accordance with *SSAP No. 1—Accounting Policies, Risks & Uncertainties, and Other Disclosures*, reported in the general interrogatories, and included in any other statutory schedules or disclosure requirements requesting information for assets pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted. Restricted assets should be reviewed to determine admitted or nonadmitted assets status in the statutory financial statements per the terms of their respective SSAPs. Asset restrictions may be a factor in determining the admissibility of an asset under a respective SSAP. However, determining that a restricted asset is an admitted asset does not eliminate the statutory requirements to document and identify the asset as one that is pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted.

7. Assets pledged as collateral are one example of assets that are not under the exclusive control of the insurer, and are therefore restricted, even if the assets are admitted under statutory accounting guidelines (e.g., the asset is substitutable and/or other related SSAP conditions are met). As such, the asset shall be coded as pledged in the investment schedules pursuant to the annual statement instructions, disclosed in accordance with SSAP No. 1, reported in the general interrogatories, and included in any other statutory schedules or disclosure requirements requesting information for assets pledged as collateral or otherwise restricted.

### Disclosure

8. The financial statements shall disclose if the written capitalization policy and the resultant predefined thresholds changed from the prior period and the reason(s) for such change.

---

6 An example of such a situation is detailed in footnote 2 pertaining to assets restricted by the action of a related party. This is only a single example and each restricted asset would need to be reviewed to ensure it qualifies as an admitted asset.
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Effective Date and Transition

10. This statement is effective for years beginning January 1, 2001. A change resulting from the adoption of this statement shall be accounted for as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors. Guidance reflected in paragraphs 3, 5 and 8, incorporated from SSAP No. 87, was originally effective for years beginning on and after January 1, 2004. The guidance in footnote 2 to paragraph 2 was originally contained within INT 01-03: Assets Pledged as Collateral or Restricted for the Benefit of a Related Party and was effective June 11, 2001.
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Updates to the Definition of a Liability

STATUS
April 4, 2022 – Discussion Draft

Original and Current Authoritative Guidance: SSAP No. 5R

Type of Issue:
Common Area

SUMMARY OF ISSUE

1. This issue paper documents the SAP clarification revisions to SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairment of Assets. The intent of the revisions is to align current statutory accounting guidance, specifically the definition of a “liability,” with the term utilized by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB).

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. The statutory accounting principle clarifications to SSAP No. 5R (illustrated in Exhibit A), reflect that for the purposes of statutory accounting, a liability shall be defined as: a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit. A liability has two essential characteristics: (1) it is a present obligation, and (2) the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefit to others. For the purposes of these characteristics, transfer is typically used to describe obligations to pay cash or convey assets, while the term provide is used to describe obligations to provide services or stand by to do so. This includes, but is not limited to, liabilities arising from policyholder obligations (e.g., policyholder benefits, reported claims and reserves for incurred but not reported claims). Liabilities shall be recorded on a reporting entity’s financial statements when incurred.

3. Estimates (e.g., loss reserves) are required in financial statements for many ongoing and recurring activities of a reporting entity. The mere fact that an estimate is involved does not of itself constitute a loss contingency. For example, estimates of losses utilizing appropriate actuarial methodologies meet the definition of liabilities as outlined above and are not loss contingencies. (The definition and recognition requirements of loss contingencies under SSAP No. 5R are not proposed to be revised and will continue as statutory accounting guidance.)

DISCUSSION

4. In December 2021, FASB issued Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements, which introduced updated definitions of certain key elements used in financial reporting – most notably updating the fundamental definition of a liability. Through the FASB’s adoption of Concept Statement No. 8, the original Concept Statement No. 6 has been superseded. As statutory accounting currently reflects FASB’s historical definition, this issue paper is to review the prior concept definition (currently utilized by statutory accounting) and compare it to FASB’s updated concept definition and assess whether the revised concept definition shall be reflected in statutory accounting.

5. FASB concept statements do not reflect authoritative U.S. GAAP guidance. Rather concept statements are intended to set forth objectives and fundamental concepts that will be the basis for development of financial accounting and reporting guidance. The term “liability” is not captured or defined
in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (which is the source of authoritative U.S. GAAP.) Furthermore, although the concept statement is intended to be used as a guide in establishing authoritative U.S. GAAP, the FASB is not restricted to the concepts when developing guidance, and the FASB may issue U.S. GAAP which may be inconsistent with the objectives and fundamental concepts set forth in Concept Statements. A change in a FASB Concept Statement does not 1) require a change in existing U.S. GAAP, 2) amend, modify or interpret the Accounting Standards Codification, or 3) justify either changing existing generally accepted accounting and reporting practices or interpreting the Accounting Standards Codification based on personal interpretations of the objectives and concepts in the concepts statement.

6. Under the prior FASB concept statement, which was reflected in SSAP No. 5R, a liability was defined as a probable future sacrifice of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or to provide services to other entities in the future as a result of past transactions or events. In addition, the historical definition possessed three essential characteristics in that (1) it embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand, (2) the duty or responsibility obligates a particular entity, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and (3) the transaction or other event obligating the entity has already happened.

7. Pursuant to the prior concept statement, and as incorporated in SSAP No. 5R, probable, as referenced both in the definition and essential characters, was used in a usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense and referred to which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

8. With the new FASB conceptual framework chapter, a liability is now defined as a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit. In addition, the current definition has two essential characteristics in that the liability is (1) a present obligation, and (2) the obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefits to others.

9. The updated liability definition from Concept Statement No. 8 no longer includes the term probable or the phrase in the future and as a result of past transactions or events. The FASB concluded that the term probable has historically been misunderstood as implying that a future obligation must meet a probability to a certain threshold before the definition of a liability was met. Thus, if the probability of a future transfer of an asset (or the requirement to provide a service) was low, a liability would likely not be recognized. In removing the term probable (and replacing it with “present obligation”), FASB concluded that in almost all situations, the presence of an obligation will be apparent. It stated that most present obligations are legally enforceable, including obligations arising from binding contracts, agreements, statutes, or other legal or contractual means. Chapter 4 also discusses the prevalence of certain business risks and how to assess if they result in the recognition of a liability. The FASB concluded that while certain businesses have a risk that a future event will cause them to transfer an economic benefit (an asset), the risk itself does not represent a present obligation because exposure to a potential negative consequence does not constitute a present obligation.

10. However, the FASB also stated that situations lacking clear legal or contractual evidence of a present obligation may pose particular challenges that may make it difficult to discern whether a present obligation exists. In these settings, the FASB stated that constructive obligations or other noncontractual obligations are created by circumstance rather than by explicit agreement. In the absence of an explicit agreement, sufficient information to distinguish a present obligation is likely only available at the specific standards level. Thus, the FASB concluded that the specific facts and circumstances at the standards level (or in the case of statutory accounting, at the SSAP level) must be utilized to determine whether the entity has created a constructive obligation and must recognize a liability.

11. The FASB also struck the phrase as the result of past transactions or events. With this action, the FASB clarified that if the liability represents a present obligation, by default, the obligation must have occurred as the result of a past transaction or event and thus this phraseology was deemed redundant and unnecessary.
12. When reviewing the substance of the revisions, the FASB concluded that the updated definition resulted in a clearer and more precise definition. Furthermore, while it did not fundamentally change the historical concept of a liability, the revised definition potentially expands the population of liabilities to include certain obligations to issue or potentially issue an entity’s own shares rather than settle an obligation exclusively with assets. In essence, clarifying that instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity may in fact be classified as liabilities in certain situations.

13. In general, the FASB did not anticipate that the liability definition revisions would result in any material changes in instrument reclassification (e.g., items now being classified as a liability when previously they were not considered liabilities). Again, FASB Concept Statements are not authoritative and thus the guidance in any specific standard will still be utilized for instrument measurement and classification. For statutory accounting purposes, the updated definition should be viewed similarly, that is it does not change fundamental concepts, change current practices, or introduce a new, original or a modified accounting principle. The revisions to the definition of a liability clarify the definitional language and do not modify the original intent of SSAP No. 5R and thus the changes are deemed to be a statutory accounting principle clarification.

14. The remaining concepts and guidance articulated in SSAP No. 5R (e.g., contingencies, impairments, guarantees, etc.) were not proposed for revision and thus are not further discussed in this issue paper.

Actions of the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group

15. During the Spring 2022 National Meeting, the Working Group is anticipated to consider exposure of this issue paper.

RELEVANT STATUTORY ACCOUNTING AND GAAP GUIDANCE

Statutory Accounting

16. Relevant excerpts of SSAP No. 5R, paragraphs 2-3 regarding the definition of a liability accounting are as follows:

2. A liability is defined as certain or probable\(^1\) future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or to provide services to other entities in the future as a result of a past transaction(s) or event(s).

3. A liability has three essential characteristics: (a) it embodies a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable\(^1\) future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand, (b) the duty or responsibility obligates a particular entity, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and (c) the transaction or other event obligating the entity has already happened. This includes, but is not limited to, liabilities arising from policyholder obligations (e.g., policyholder benefits, reported claims and reserves for incurred but not reported claims). Liabilities shall be recorded on a reporting entity’s financial statements when incurred.

---

\(^1\) FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states: Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.
Updates to the Definition of a Liability

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

17. Relevant paragraphs from Concepts Statement No. 8, Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting—Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements have been included below:

Liabilities

E37. A liability is a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit

Characteristics of Liabilities

E38. A liability has the following two essential characteristics: a. It is a present obligation. b. The obligation requires an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefits to others.  

E39. Liabilities commonly have features that help identify them. For example, many liabilities require the obligated entity to pay cash to one or more identified other entities. Liabilities may not require an entity to pay cash but may require the entity to convey other assets, provide services, or transfer other economic benefits or to be ready to do so. Liabilities are based on a foundation of legal rights and duties.

E40. Entities routinely incur liabilities in exchange transactions to acquire the funds, goods, and services they need to operate. For example, borrowing cash (acquiring funds) obligates an entity to repay the amount borrowed, acquiring assets on credit obligates an entity to pay for the assets, and selling products with a warranty or guarantee obligates an entity to either pay cash or repair or replace any products that prove defective. Often, obligations incurred in exchange transactions are contractual based on written or oral agreements to pay cash or to provide goods or services to specified or determinable entities on demand at specified or determinable dates or on the occurrence of specified events.

Present obligation

E41. A liability requires that an entity be obligated to perform or act in a certain manner. In most cases it is apparent that liabilities are legally enforceable. Legally enforceable obligations include those arising from binding contracts, agreements, rules, statutes, or other requirements that would be upheld by a judicial system or government. Judicial systems vary in type and form, and the term judicial systems includes any such system that would enforce laws, statutes, and regulations. In the context most relevant to financial reporting, an obligation is any condition that binds an entity to some performance or action. In a financial reporting context, something is binding on an entity if it requires performance. Performance is what the entity is required to do to satisfy the obligation.

E42. Many obligations that qualify as liabilities stem from contracts and other agreements that are enforceable by courts or from governmental actions that have the force of law. Agreements, contracts, or statutory requirements often will specify or imply how an obligation was incurred and when and how the obligation is to be settled. For example, borrowing and lease agreements specify the amount of charges and the dates when the payments are due. The absence of a specified maturity date or event to require settlement may cast doubt that an obligation exists.

E43. Liabilities necessarily involve other parties, society, or law. The identity of the other party or recipient need not be known to the obligated entity before the time of settlement. An obligation of an entity to itself cannot be a liability. For example, in the absence of external requirements an entity is not obligated to repair the roof of its building or maintain its plant and equipment. Although those actions may be wise business moves, the entity may forgo or defer such activities because there is no present obligation to perform the activity.

---

2 This chapter continues the practice of describing liabilities as an obligation either to transfer or to provide economic benefits. For example, the term transfer has typically been used to describe obligations to pay cash or convey assets, and the term provide has typically been used to describe obligations to perform services or stand ready to do so.
E44. Certain obligations require nonreciprocal transfers from an entity to one or more other entities. Such obligations include taxes imposed by governments, donations pledged to charitable entities, and cash dividends declared but not yet paid.

E45. To have a liability, an entity must have a present obligation, that is, the obligation exists at the financial statement date. The settlement date of the liability may occur in the future, but the obligation must be present at the financial statement date. Transactions or other events or circumstances expected to occur in the future do not in and of themselves give rise to obligations today.

E46. An intention to purchase an item, for example, an asset, does not in and of itself create a liability. However, a contractual obligation that requires an entity to pay more than the fair value of the asset at the transaction date may create a liability before the asset is received, reflecting what the entity might have to pay to undo the unfavorable contract.

E47. Business risks result from the conduct of an entity’s business activities. A business risk is not a present obligation, though at some point in the future an event may occur that creates a present obligation. Some businesses have the potential of carrying out activities and creating present obligations as a result of those activities. However, no present obligation exists even if it is virtually certain that an obligating event will occur, though at present no such event has occurred. The essence of distinguishing business risks from liabilities is determining the point in time when an entity has a present obligation.

E48. Some business risks result from an entity’s transactions, for example, selling goods in overseas markets might expose an entity to the risk of future cash flow fluctuations because of changes in foreign exchange rates. Other business risks result from an entity’s operating environment, for example, operating in a highly specialized industry might expose an entity to the risk that it will be unable to attract sufficient skilled staff to sustain its operating activities. Those risks are not liabilities.

E49. To be presently obligated, an entity must be bound, either legally or in some other way, to perform or act in a certain way. Most liabilities are legally enforceable, including those arising from contracts, agreements, rules, and statutes. An entity also can become obligated by other means that would be expected to be upheld by a judicial process. However, the existence of a present obligation may be less clear in those circumstances.

E50. Some liabilities rest on constructive obligations, including some that arise in exchange transactions. A constructive obligation is created, inferred, or construed from the facts in a particular situation rather than contracted by agreement with another entity or imposed by government. An entity may become constructively obligated through customary business practice. In the normal course of business, an entity conducting certain activities may not create a clear contractual obligation but may nonetheless cause the entity to become presently obligated. For example, policies and practices for sales returns and those for warranties in the absence of a contract may create a present obligation because the pattern of behavior may create an enforceable claim for performance that would be upheld in the ultimate conclusion of a judiciary process.

E51. An entity’s past behavior also may give rise to a present obligation. Repeated engagement in a certain behavior may obligate the entity to perform or act in a certain way on the basis of that pattern of behavior. For example, the entity may create a constructive obligation to employees for vacation pay or year-end bonuses by paying them every year even though it is not contractually bound to do so and has not announced a policy to do so.

18. The most notable changes regarding the definition of a liability included removal of the term probable and the phrase as a result of past transactions or events. Rationale for these changes were documented in Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements commentary as follows:

BC4.11. The definitions of both an asset and a liability in Concepts Statement 6 include the term probable and the phrases future economic benefit and past transactions or events. The term probable in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 has been misunderstood as implying that a
future economic benefit or a future sacrifice of economic benefit must be probable to a certain threshold before the definition of an asset or a liability is met. In other words, if the probability of future economic benefit is low, the asset definition is not met under that interpretation. A similar interpretation could be made for liabilities. The footnotes to the Concepts Statement 6 definition of assets and liabilities also were not helpful in clarifying the application of the term probable as used in the definitions of assets and liabilities. Accordingly, the Board decided to eliminate that term from the definitions of both assets and liabilities.

BC4.12. The term future in the definitions in Concepts Statement 6 focused on identifying a future flow of economic benefits to demonstrate that an asset exists or identifying a future transfer of economic benefits to demonstrate that a liability exists. The definitions in Concepts Statement 6 were often misunderstood as meaning that the asset (liability) is the ultimate future inflow (outflow). For example, in the instance of trade receivables, the definition in Concepts Statement 6 could be misunderstood to indicate that the asset is the successful collection of the receivable in the future. When applied appropriately, however, the definition would conclude that the asset is the present right to collection. Similar misunderstandings occurred in applying the liability definition. As a result, the Board concluded that a focus on the term present would appropriately shift the focus from identifying a future occurrence. Therefore, the Board decided to include the term present right to demonstrate that an asset exists and emphasize the term present obligation to demonstrate that a liability exists.

BC4.13. The definitions of assets and liabilities in Concepts Statement 6 both include the phrase past transactions or events. The Board concluded that if an entity has a present right or a present obligation, one can reasonably assume that it was obtained from some past transaction or event. Therefore, that phrase is considered redundant and has been eliminated from the definitions.

19. The other significant change to the definition of a liability included changing future sacrifices to a present obligation. Rationale for these changes were documented in Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements commentary as follows:

BC4.25. The term present obligation is included in the definition of a liability, both in this chapter and in Concepts Statement 6. Because the application of the liability definition under Concepts Statement 6 did not give sufficient emphasis to the term present obligation, the definition in this chapter more appropriately emphasizes that term. Assessing whether a present obligation exists is the primary criterion in the definition of a liability in this chapter. The primacy of the term present obligation is made more evident through the removal of many of the problematic terms in the definition of a liability in Concepts Statement 6, as discussed in paragraphs BC4.11–BC4.13.

BC4.26. Almost always, the existence of a present obligation will be apparent. Most present obligations are legally enforceable, including obligations arising from binding contracts, agreements, statutes, or other legal or contractual means. However, situations lacking clear legal or contractual evidence of a present obligation pose particular challenges that may make it difficult to discern whether a present obligation exists.

BC4.27. Determining when a present obligation exists has caused confusion with the existence of business risks. Business risks result from the nature of the business and where, when, and how an entity conducts its business. While certain businesses pose risks of future events occurring that will cause a transfer of economic benefits, the Board decided that the risks themselves are not present obligations because exposure to a potential negative consequence does not constitute a present obligation. Rather than viewing all business risks as liabilities, the Board decided that an entity has a present obligation only after an event occurs that demonstrates that the inherent business risk has created a present obligation. Thus, distinguishing when a business risk makes an entity presently obligated requires analysis of the facts and circumstances at the standards level.

BC4.28. Determining the existence of a present obligation is particularly challenging in evaluating constructive obligations. Interpreting constructive obligations too narrowly will tend to exclude significant actual obligations of an entity, while interpreting them too broadly will effectively nullify the definition by including items that lack an essential characteristic of liabilities.
BC4.29. Given that constructive obligations and other noncontractual obligations are created by circumstance rather than explicit agreement, it can be unclear whether a present obligation exists. In the absence of an explicit agreement, sufficient information to distinguish a present obligation is likely only available at the specific standards level. Thus, the Board decided that specific facts and circumstances at the standards level must be assessed to determine whether an entity has created a constructive obligation.

RELEVANT LITERATURE

Statutory Accounting
- Statutory Accounting Principles Statement of Concepts and Statutory Hierarchy

Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

Effective Date

20. As issue papers are not authoritative and are not represented in the Statutory Hierarchy (see Section V of the Preamble), the consideration and adoption of this issue paper will not have any impact on the SAP clarifications adopted to SSAP No. 5R by the Working Group on TBD.
EXHIBIT A – SAP Clarification Revisions to SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets

Statement of Statutory Accounting Principles No. 5 - Revised

Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement defines and establishes statutory accounting principles for liabilities, contingencies and impairments of assets.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

Liabilities

2. A liability is defined as a present obligation of an entity to transfer an economic benefit certain or probable future sacrifices of economic benefits arising from present obligations of a particular entity to transfer assets or to provide services to other entities in the future as a result of a past transaction(s) or event(s).

3. A liability has three essential characteristics: (a) it is a present obligation embodying a present duty or responsibility to one or more other entities that entails settlement by probable future transfer or use of assets at a specified or determinable date, on occurrence of a specified event, or on demand, and (b) the obligation required an entity to transfer or otherwise provide economic benefit to others, duty or responsibility obligates a particular entity, leaving it little or no discretion to avoid the future sacrifice, and (c) the transaction or other event obligating the entity has already happened. This includes, but is not limited to, liabilities arising from policyholder obligations (e.g., policyholder benefits, reported claims and reserves for incurred but not reported claims). Liabilities shall be recorded on a reporting entity’s financial statements when incurred.

4. Estimates (e.g., loss reserves) are required in financial statements for many ongoing and recurring activities of a reporting entity. The mere fact that an estimate is involved does not of itself constitute a loss contingency. For example, estimates of losses utilizing appropriate actuarial methodologies meet the definition of liabilities as outlined above and are not loss contingencies.

FN1 – FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6, Elements of Financial Statements, states: Probable is used with its usual general meaning, rather than in a specific accounting or technical sense (such as that in FASB Statement 5, Accounting for Contingencies, paragraph 3), and refers to that which can reasonably be expected or believed on the basis of available evidence or logic but is neither certain nor proved.

Relevant Literature

39. This statement adopts FASB Statement No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies (FAS 5), FASB Statement 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan only as it amends in part FAS 5 and paragraphs 35 and 36 of FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 6—Elements of Financial Statements. FASB Interpretation No. 14, Reasonable Estimation of the Amount of a Loss, An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 5 (FIN No. 14) is adopted with the modification to accrue the loss amount as the midpoint of the range rather than the minimum as discussed in paragraph 3 of FIN No. 14. This statement adopts with modification ASU 2013-04, Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements for Which the Total Amount of the Obligation is Fixed at the Reporting Date with the same statutory modification adopted for FIN 14.
This statement incorporates the definition of a liability from *FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Concepts No. 8, Chapter 4, Elements of Financial Statements*, paragraphs E37 and E38.

**REFERENCES**

Relevant Issue Papers

- *Issue Paper No. 5—Definition of Liabilities, Loss Contingencies and Impairments of Assets*
- *Issue Paper No. 20—Gain Contingencies*
- *Issue Paper No. 135—Guarantor’s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*
- *Issue Paper No. 16X – Updates to the Definition of a Liability*
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Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A

Issue: SSAP No. 48 – Alternative Valuation of Minority Ownership Interests

Check (applicable entity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP Interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Issue: SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies establishes the statutory accounting principles for investments in joint ventures, partnerships, or limited liability companies (herein collectively referred to as SSAP No. 48 investments). This agenda item is presented to review the alternative valuation methods permitted in limited circumstances where the investee has a minor ownership interest (less than 10%) or lacks control as discussed in paragraphs 15 and 16 (see Authoritative Literature), and where audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements are not available.

In general, SSAP No. 48 requires a financial statement audit for admission of investments with a more than minor ownership interest or where control is present. If an investee owns greater than 10% measured at the holding company level, or can exercise control, the SSAP No. 48 investment is to be reported using the equity method as defined in SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities, which effectively directs the valuation based on the nature of the operations (e.g., requiring statutory accounting for insurance operations or U.S. GAAP accounting for other various entities). Embedded in SSAP No. 97, the proxy for SSAP No. 48 investments in which the investor owns greater than 10% or can exercise control, is the requirement for a statutory or a U.S. GAAP financial statement audit. (The requirement for audited financial statements in these instances is not proposed to be the subject of discussion.)

If insurer has less than 10% ownership (minor ownership interest) or lacks control the preference is to use audited U.S. GAAP financial statements. However, when audited U.S. GAAP financials statements are not available, paragraph 9 provides the following three alternatives, which includes: 1) investee’s audited foreign GAAP with an audited U.S. GAAP reconciliation footnote, 2) audited IFRS financial statements, or 3) audited U.S. tax equity financial statements. The permissible exceptions for when audited U.S. GAAP basis financials are not available are detailed in paragraph 9 below:

9. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest (i.e., less than 10%) or lacks control as stipulated in paragraphs 15 and 16 may be recorded based on either of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. If either one of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. is used to value the investment, documentation must be maintained regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

   a. Non U.S. joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10% and for which audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, may be recorded based on:

   i. the U.S. GAAP basis equity as set forth in the audited footnote reconciliation of the investee's equity and income to U.S. GAAP within the investee's audited foreign GAAP prepared financial statements or,
ii  the IFRS basis equity as set forth in the investee’s audited IFRS financial statements prepared in compliance, both annually and quarterly, with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

b. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10%, measured at the holding company level, may be recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity. For investments recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity, the reporting entity shall review investments held by the joint venture, partnership or limited liability company in accordance with the impairment guidance in paragraphs 18 and 19. The reporting entity must first attempt to obtain audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements and, if such financial statements are unavailable, must maintain documentation regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

NAIC staff believe the intent of the U.S. GAAP audit exceptions provided in paragraph 9 was meant to accommodate limited situations where an insurer has a minor ownership interest and or lacks control and therefore, they are unable to require or entice the entity to acquire a U.S. GAAP audit. NAIC staff note this distinction, as SSAP No. 48, paragraph 9 currently permits that if an insurer owns less than 10% or lacks control, they are permitted the exceptions which still require audits if audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements are unavailable. It is important to note that technically SSAP No. 48, paragraph 16 could permit a significant ownership percentage and as long as an insurer has rebutted control of the investment, they would be permitted to use the paragraph 9 exceptions, that is if the insurer could articulate why audited U.S. GAAP basis financials statements were not available.

This agenda item has been drafted to propose two alternative clarifications to SSAP No. 48. The first option presented is to propose deletion of the U.S. GAAP audit exception provided in SSAP No. 48, paragraph 9.b as this exception does not appear to be utilized by insurers. The second option presented is to retain the U.S. GAAP audit exception in paragraph 9.b but clarify that the U.S. tax basis audit is to reside at the investee level – that is the investee must have an audit in order for this valuation be permitted for admission of the investment. This clarification would eliminate any ambiguity regarding the level at which the audit is required.

Existing Authoritative Literature: While a significant portion of the potentially impacted paragraphs have been included above, all relevant SSAP No. 48 guidance is included below, with pertinent items bolded for emphasis.

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles for investments in any joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies, including investments in certified capital companies (CAPCO) per INT 06-02: Accounting and Reporting for Investments in a Certified Capital Company (CAPCO), whether or not it is considered to be controlled by or affiliated with the reporting entity. Single real estate property investments that are wholly-owned by an LLC that is directly and wholly-owned by the reporting entity, and that meet the criteria established in SSAP No. 40R—Real Estate Investments, are excluded from this statement. This statement does not address the accounting for investments in partnerships and limited liability companies that invest in Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Properties as discussed in SSAP No. 93—Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Property Investments. However, investments in certain state Low-Income Housing Tax Credit Property Investments that do not fall within the scope of SSAP No. 93 are covered by the requirements of this statement.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. Investments in joint ventures shall include investments in corporate joint ventures and unincorporated joint ventures (also referred to as undivided interests in ventures). A corporate joint venture is defined as a corporation owned and operated by a small group (the joint venturers) as a separate and specific business or project for the mutual benefit of the members of the group. A corporate joint venture
usually provides an arrangement under which each joint venturer may participate, directly or indirectly, in the overall management of the joint venture. Joint venturers thus have an interest or relationship other than as passive investors. An unincorporated joint venture is similar in its purpose but is not incorporated.

3. **Investments in partnerships shall include investments in general partnership interests and limited partnership interests.** A general partnership is defined as an association in which each partner has unlimited liability. Each partner assumes joint and several liability for all partnership debts. A limited partnership shall be defined as a partnership having two classes of partners: (a) general partners who manage the partnership, subject to the partnership agreement, and have personal liability for the general obligations of the partnership and (b) limited partners who are passive investors and have no personal liability beyond their investment.

4. A limited liability company is defined as a business organization which is a hybrid of a corporation and partnership whereby the owners have limited liability like a corporation and profits may pass through to the owners for tax purposes like a partnership if certain criteria are met. The owner’s personal liability is limited to his own acts and the owners can fully participate in the management of the business with no adverse impact on their limited liability.

5. Investments in the ventures defined in paragraphs 2-4 meet the definition of assets as defined in SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets and are admitted assets to the extent they conform to the requirements of this statement. Investments in joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies shall be reported in Other Invested Assets in the financial statements.

6. **Investments in these ventures, except for joint ventures, partnerships and limited liability companies with a minor ownership interest, shall be reported using an equity method as defined in SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities, paragraphs 8.b.i. through 8.b.iv.** (The equity method calculation may result with a negative valuation of the investment; therefore, the SSAP No. 97 equity method calculation shall occur regardless of whether the investment is supported by an audit and the reporting entity will nonadmit the investment.) A reporting entity whose shares of losses in a SSAP No. 48 entity exceeds its investment in the SSAP No. 48 entity shall disclose the information required by SSAP No. 97, paragraph 35.a.

7. Investments reported using an equity method from SSAP No. 97, paragraph 8.b.ii. through 8.b.iv. may have fiscal year ends, not calendar year ends. To recognize a change to the reporting year-end of an equity method investee, including changes in, or the elimination of, previously existing differences (lag period) due to the reporting entity’s ability to obtain financial results from a reporting period that is more consistent with, or the same as, that of the reporting entity’s, the guidance included in FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 06-9: Reporting a Change in (or the elimination of) a Previously Existing Difference Between the Fiscal Year-End of a Parent Company and That of a Consolidated Entity or Between the Reporting Period of an Investor and That of an Equity Method Investee that defines such reporting period changes as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors shall be followed.

8. **Joint ventures, partnerships and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest (i.e., less than 10%) or lacks control as stipulated in paragraphs 15 and 16, shall be recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. GAAP equity of the investee.** The investment shall be nonadmitted if the audited financial statements include substantial doubt about the entity’s ability to continue as a going concern. Additionally, the investment shall be nonadmitted on the basis/contents of the audit opinion as detailed in paragraph 21 of SSAP No. 97.

9. **If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest (i.e., less than 10%) or lacks control as stipulated in paragraphs 15 and 16 may be recorded based on either of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. If either one of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. is used to value the investment, documentation must be maintained regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.**
a. Non U.S. joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10% and for which audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, may be recorded based on:

i. the U.S. GAAP basis equity as set forth in the audited footnote reconciliation of the investee’s equity and income to U.S. GAAP within the investee’s audited foreign GAAP prepared financial statements or,

ii. the IFRS basis equity as set forth in the investee’s audited IFRS financial statements prepared in compliance, both annually and quarterly, with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

b. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10%, measured at the holding company level, may be recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity. For investments recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity, the reporting entity shall review investments held by the joint venture, partnership or limited liability company in accordance with the impairment guidance in paragraphs 18 and 19. The reporting entity must first attempt to obtain audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements and, if such financial statements are unavailable, must maintain documentation regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

10. The amount to be recorded shall be defined as the initial investment in an investee at cost (as defined in paragraph 3 of SSAP No. 68—Business Combinations and Goodwill) plus subsequent capital contributions to the investee. The carrying amount of the investment shall be adjusted for the amortization of the basis difference (difference between the cost and the underlying GAAP equity), as well as to recognize the reporting entity’s share of: (i) the audited U.S. GAAP basis earnings or losses of the investee after the date of acquisition, adjusted for any distributions received, or (ii) if audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, the earnings or losses of the investee after the date of acquisition, adjusted for any distributions received, based on either one of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. A reporting entity’s share of adjustments, excluding changes in capital contributions to the investee, that are recorded directly to the investee’s stockholders’ equity shall also be recorded as adjustments to the carrying value of the investment with an offsetting amount recorded to unrealized capital gains and losses on investments.

11. Entities may recognize their investment in joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest based on an unaudited basis for investment determination (i.e., foreign GAAP, IFRS, or tax basis as allowed under paragraph 9) if annual audited information is not complete as of the annual statement filing deadline. The recorded investment shall be adjusted for annual audit adjustments, if any, as soon as annual audited information is available. If financial statements of an investee are not sufficiently timely for the reporting entity to apply an equity method to the investee’s current results of operations, the reporting entity shall record its share of the earnings or losses of an investee from the most recent available financial statements. A lag in reporting shall be consistent from period to period.

15. Control is defined as the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of the investee, whether through the (a) ownership of voting securities, (b) by contract other than a commercial contract for goods or nonmanagement services, (c) by common management, or (d) otherwise. Control shall be presumed to exist if a reporting entity and its affiliates directly or indirectly, own, control, hold with the power to vote, or hold proxies representing 10% or more of the voting interests of the entity.

16. Control as defined in paragraph 15 shall be measured at the holding company level. For example, if one member of an affiliated group has a 5% interest in an entity and a second member of the group has an 8% interest in the same entity, the total interest is 13% and therefore each
member of the affiliated group shall be presumed to have control. This presumption will stand until rebutted by an evaluation of all the facts and circumstances relating to the investment based on the criteria in \textit{FASB Interpretation No. 35, Criteria for Applying the Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock, an Interpretation of APB Opinion No. 18}. The corollary is required to demonstrate control when a reporting entity owns less than 10\% of the voting interests of an investee. The insurer shall maintain documents substantiating its determination for review by the domiciliary commissioner. Examples of situations where the presumption of control may be in doubt include the following:

a. Any limited partner investment in a Limited Partnership, unless the limited partner is affiliated with the general partner.

b. An entity where the insurer owns less than 50\% of an entity and there is an unaffiliated individual or group of investors who own a controlling interest.

c. An entity where the insurer has given up participation rights as a shareholder to the investee.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

In May 2008, in agenda item 2007-34R: SSAP 48, the Working Group adopted revisions in SSAP No. 48 permitting the use of an audited U.S. tax basis equity valuation method in cases where the insurer is a minor interest or lacks control and audited U.S. GAAP financial statements of the investee were not available.

Information or issues (included in \textit{Description of Issue}) not previously contemplated by the Working Group: None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): N/A

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose two possible options for the U.S. GAAP audit exception in \textit{SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies}. Option \#1 proposes to delete the audited U.S. tax basis equity as a permissible valuation method as this method does not appear to be utilized by insurers. Option \#2 proposes to retain the audited U.S. tax basis equity valuation method but clarifies that the audit must reside at the investee level.

\textbf{Option 1: Delete the valuation method permitted in SSAP No. 48, paragraph 9b.}

9. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest (i.e., less than 10\%) or lacks control as stipulated in paragraphs 15 and 16 may be recorded based on either of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. If either one of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. is used to value the investment, documentation must be maintained regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

a. Non U.S. joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10\% and for which audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, may be recorded based on:

i. the U.S. GAAP basis equity as set forth in the audited footnote reconciliation of the investee’s equity and income to U.S. GAAP within the investee’s audited foreign GAAP prepared financial statements or,

ii. the IFRS basis equity as set forth in the investee’s audited IFRS financial statements prepared in compliance, both annually and quarterly, with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).
b. If audited U.S. GAAP basic financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10%, measured at the holding company level, may be recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity. For investments recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity, the reporting entity shall review investments held by the joint venture, partnership or limited liability company in accordance with the impairment guidance in paragraphs 18 and 19. The reporting entity must first attempt to obtain audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements and, if such financial statements are unavailable, must maintain documentation regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

Option 2: Retain the alternative valuation method but clarify that the required U.S. tax basis equity audit is to reside at the investee level.

9. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest (i.e., less than 10%) or lacks control as stipulated in paragraphs 15 and 16 may be recorded based on either of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. If either one of the valuation methodologies allowed under paragraphs 9.a. or 9.b. is used to value the investment, documentation must be maintained regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

a. Non U.S. joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10% and for which audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, may be recorded based on:

i. the U.S. GAAP basis equity as set forth in the audited footnote reconciliation of the investee’s equity and income to U.S. GAAP within the investee’s audited foreign GAAP prepared financial statements or,

ii. the IFRS basis equity as set forth in the investee’s audited IFRS financial statements prepared in compliance, both annually and quarterly, with IFRS as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

b. If audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements of the investee are not available, joint ventures, partnerships, and limited liability companies in which the entity has a minor ownership interest of less than 10%, measured at the holding company level, may be recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity. The U.S. tax basis equity audit shall occur at the investee level. For investments recorded based on the underlying audited U.S. tax basis equity, the reporting entity shall review investments held by the joint venture, partnership or limited liability company in accordance with the impairment guidance in paragraphs 18 and 19. The reporting entity must first attempt to obtain audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements and, if such financial statements are unavailable, must maintain documentation regarding the reason that audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements could not be provided.

Staff Review Completed by: Jim Pinegar– NAIC Staff, February 2022

Issue: Premium Adjustments Allocated to Jurisdictions

Check (applicable entity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Issue:
This agenda item has been drafted to propose blanks instructional changes primarily to Schedule T which reflects premiums, allocated by states and territories. NAIC staff received inquiries from 3 states in the fourth quarter of 2021 regarding a minor number of entities that primarily wrote health business related to the Affordable Care Act (ACA) which are believed to have not properly allocated premium adjustments by jurisdiction on the statutory financial statement. The states identified that a minority of entities reported some portion of their U.S.-based premium in the category of “aggregate other alien.” The aggregate other alien line is for non-U.S. premium therefore, reporting U.S.-based ACA premium as alien is problematic. The purpose of this agenda item is to add additional annual statement instructions to address this reporting inconsistency. Regardless of the cause of this specific issue, the proposed revisions intend to clarify that premium adjustments (both increases and decreases) shall be reflected in the appropriate jurisdiction. This proposal is to address this current issue as well as future situations.

The specific premium identified is understood to be ACA premium written in the U.S. and its territories. Based on the descriptions provided, most of the amounts are presumed to be from premium redistribution as a result of the risk adjustment program of the ACA. All of the premium adjustments from the ACA risk adjustment program, and the risk corridor program, are noted as premium in SSAP No. 107—Risk-Sharing Provisions of the Affordable Care Act. (Although the risk corridor program ended in 2016, distributions related to 2015-2016 plan years have been received in the last two years due to a U.S. Supreme Court decision.)

The ACA risk adjustment premium redistribution calculations are calculated by plan and by jurisdiction. Therefore, the jurisdictions are known. The ACA risk adjustment program redistributes premium from plans that have relatively healthier insureds and gives to plans with relatively less healthy insureds based on risk scores. SSAP No. 107 directs reporting the premium adjustments in the ACA risk adjustment program as premium subject to redetermination, which requires accruing the adjustments based on policy experience as described in the authoritative literature section below.

NAIC staff understanding is that most states would treat the premium after adjustments (both increases and decreases) as the amount subject to premium tax. However, preliminary conversations some health entities have asserted that they believe their state only subjects the premium prior to adjustment to premium tax. In the statutory annual statement, the premium including adjustments should be reported as premium subject to redetermination as identified in SSAP No. 107. If a jurisdiction treats premium differently for tax purposes, that would be addressed on the jurisdiction’s premium tax return.

Because of the way the ACA risk adjustment program premium adjustments calculation works, an insurer can have both payables and receivables in different plans in the same jurisdiction. For example, they could be a receiver in plan 1 in state A and a payor in plan 2 in state A. Total premium in the state is redistributed among plans at the same level in the state, no new funds are added. In the examples below the total premium columns are what is reported in the state A and B lines of Schedule T.
## Existing Authoritative Literature (bolding added for emphasis):

- **Uniform Deposit Law Model 300:**
  
  “Alien insurer” means an insurer incorporated or organized under the laws of any country other than the United States.

- **SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities:**
  
  8.b.iv. Investments in foreign insurance SCA entities shall be recorded based on the underlying U.S. GAAP equity from the audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements, adjusted to a limited statutory basis of accounting in accordance with paragraph 9, if available. If the audited U.S. GAAP basis financial statements are not available, the investment can be recorded on the audited foreign statutory basis financial statements of the respective entity adjusted to a limited statutory basis of accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 and adjusted for reserves of the foreign insurance SCA with respect to the business it assumes directly and indirectly from a U.S. insurer using the statutory accounting principles promulgated by the NAIC in the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual. The audited foreign statutory basis financial statements must include an audited footnote that reconciles net income and equity on the foreign statutory basis of accounting to the U.S. GAAP basis. **Foreign insurance SCA entities are defined as alien insurers formed according to the legal requirements of a foreign country.**

- **SSAP No. 107—Risk-Sharing Provisions of the Affordable Care Act:**

  ### Risk Adjustment Program – Accounting Treatment

  14. Premium adjustments pursuant to the risk adjustment program will be based upon the risk scores (health status) of enrollees, participating in risk adjustment covered plans rather than the actual loss experience of the insured. This program bears some similarities to the Medicare Advantage risk adjustment program under which the plan receives additional funding (or pays additional amounts) based on adjustments to risk scores of enrollees (see **INT 05-05: Accounting for Revenues Under Medicare Part D Coverage**).

  15. The risk adjustment payables and receivables shall be accounted for as premium adjustments subject to redetermination as specified in this statement. Effective beginning with

---

**1** The ACA program also has significant differences from the Medicare Advantage risk adjustment program, which is retrospective, administered as a single national program, with most enrollees administered by the federal government. By contrast, the ACA risk adjustment is not retrospective, and is administered by each entity by state and by plan.
2018 benefit plan years, the risk adjustment assessments and distributions are calculated including the high-cost risk pool aspect of this program and should be reported on a net basis.

a. Risk adjustment payables meet the definition of liabilities as set forth in SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets. Risk adjustment receivables meet the definition of an asset and are admissible to the extent that they meet all of the criteria in this statement.

b. Risk adjustment payables and receivables shall be estimated based on experience to date. The method used to estimate the payables and receivables shall be reasonable and consistent between reporting periods. Reporting entities shall be aware of the significant uncertainties involved in preparing estimates and be both diligent and conservative in their estimations. In exercising the judgment required to prepare reasonable estimates for the financial reporting of risk adjustment program payables and receivables, the statutory accounting concept of conservatism shall be followed. In addition, reporting entities are required to have sufficient data to determine a reasonable estimate. Ensuring sufficient data requires that the reporting entity’s estimate is based on demonstrated knowledge of the marketplace and annual information which includes patient encounter and diagnosis code data to determine the differences in the actuarial risk profile of the reporting entity’s insureds versus the market participants in the particular market and state risk pool. Sufficient data shall incorporate patient default scores, if applicable, under the terms of the risk adjustment program. In addition, the estimates shall be consistent with other financial statement assertions and the pricing scenarios used by the reporting entity.

c. Premium revenue adjustments for the risk adjustment program are estimated for the portion of the policy period that has expired and shall be reported as an immediate adjustment to premium. Accrued risk adjustment receivables shall be recorded in premium and considerations receivable, with a corresponding entry to written premiums. Accrued risk adjustment payables shall be recorded as a liability with a corresponding entry to written premiums. Reporting entities shall record additions or reductions to revenue resulting from the risk adjustment program in the period in which the changes in risk scores of enrollees result in reasonably estimable additions or reductions. The risk adjustment program receivables shall be reported gross of payables.

d. The risk adjustment receivables are administered through a federal governmental program. Once amounts are collected by the governmental entity, there is an obligation to distribute the funds. Amounts over 90 days due shall not cause the receivable to be treated as a nonadmitted asset based solely on aging.

e. Provided that the risk adjustment receivables due the reporting entity are determined in a manner that is consistent with the requirements of this statement, the receivables are admitted assets until determination of impairment or payment denial is received from the governmental entity or government-sponsored entity administering the program. Upon notification that payments to be paid to the reporting entity will be less than the recorded receivables, any amount in excess of the confirmed amount shall be written off and charged to income, except for amounts that are under appeal. Any receivable for risk adjustment amounts under appeal shall be reflected as a nonadmitted asset.

f. Evaluation of the collectibility of all amounts receivable from the risk adjustment program shall be made for each reporting period. If, in accordance with SSAP No. 5R,
it is probable that the risk adjustment receivables are uncollectible, any uncollectible
receivable shall be written off and charged to income in the period the determination is
made. If it is reasonably possible that a portion of the balance determined in
accordance with this paragraph is not anticipated to be collected and is therefore not
written off, the disclosure requirements outlined in SSAP No. 5R shall be followed.

Risk Adjustment Program – High-Cost Risk Pool – Accounting Treatment

16. The individual and small group high-cost risk pools of the ACA risk adjustment program shall
be accounted for consistent with the rest of the ACA risk adjustment program. Reporting entity issuers
in the individual or small group markets need to account for the following risk adjustment payables and
receivables including the impairment and aging guidance reflected in paragraph 15 and paragraph 16:

   a. The high-cost risk pool assessment payable by the reporting entity, which is the
      percent-of-premium charge to the issuer in order to fund reimbursements across
      all issuers of claims above the high cost risk pool threshold, shall be accounted
      for as decreases to written premium subject to redetermination.

   b. High-cost risk pool distributions, which represent proportionate reimbursement
      for the issuer’s claims above the high cost risk pool threshold, would be
      accounted for as increases to written premium subject to redetermination.

   c. As the risk adjustments and distributions described in paragraphs 4-9 are calculated
      after excluding the percentage of costs above the threshold specified in the high-cost
      risk pool aspect of this program, the payments described in paragraphs 4-9 will
      continue to be accounted for consistent with guidance in paragraph 15 and paragraph
      16 (i.e., as a premium adjustment subject to redetermination).

Note that Schedule T, part 1 has slightly different names by annual statement type, but it reflects premiums,
allocated by states and territories. Schedule T, Part 2 Interstate Compact- Exhibit of Premiums Written Allocated
By States and Territories is the same name for all annual statement types.

- **Annual Statement Instructions Schedule T, Part 1 – Premiums and Other Considerations**
  Allocated By States and Territories – Health:

  Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien
  List separately each alien jurisdiction for which there is no pre-printed line on Schedule T.

  If the premium from an alien jurisdiction is due to relocation of current policyholders, the amount
  may be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien.” Premiums from jurisdictions in which there
  is active writing must be reported by jurisdiction and include premium from relocated
  policyholders residing in the respective jurisdiction.

  Identify each alien jurisdiction by using a **three-character (ISO Alpha 3) country code followed**
  **by the name of the country (e.g., DEU Germany)**. For premium that can be aggregated and
  reported as “Other Alien” as stated in the previous paragraph, use “ZZZ” for the country code
  and “Other Alien” for the country name. A comprehensive listing of country codes is available
  in the appendix of these instructions.

  Include summary of remaining write-ins for Line 58 from the Overflow page on the separate
  line indicated.

- **Annual Statement Instructions Schedule T, Part 1 – Premiums and Annuity Considerations**
  Allocated By States and Territories Life and Fraternal:

  Line 58 – Aggregate Other Alien
Enter the total of the write-ins listed in schedule “Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien.” **All U.S. business must be allocated by state regardless of license status.**

Details of Write-ins Aggregated on Line 58 for Other Alien
List separately each alien jurisdiction for which there is no pre-printed line on Schedule T.

If the premium from an alien jurisdiction is due to relocation of current policyholders, the amount may be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien.” Premiums from jurisdictions in which there is active writing must be reported by jurisdiction and include premium from relocated policyholders residing in the respective jurisdiction.

Identify each alien jurisdiction by using a three-character (ISO Alpha 3) country code followed by the name of the country (e.g., DEU Germany). For premium that can be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien” as stated in the previous paragraph, use “ZZZ” for the country code and “Other Alien” for the country name. A comprehensive listing of country codes is available in the appendix of these instructions.

Include summary of remaining write-ins for Line 58 from the Overflow page on the separate line indicated.

- **Annual Statement Instructions Schedule T, Part 1 – Property and Casualty:**

  **Line 58 – Aggregate Other Alien**

  Enter the total of the write-ins listed in Schedule Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien.

  **All U.S. business must be allocated by state regardless of license status.**

Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien
List separately each alien jurisdiction for which there is no pre-printed line on Schedule T.

If the premium from an alien jurisdiction is due to relocation of current policyholders, the amount may be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien.” Premiums from jurisdictions in which there is active writing must be reported by jurisdiction and include premium from relocated policyholders residing in the respective jurisdiction.

Identify each alien jurisdiction by using a three-character (ISO Alpha 3) country code followed by the name of the country (e.g., DEU Germany). For premium that can be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien” as stated in the previous paragraph, use “ZZZ” for the country code and “Other Alien” for the country name. A comprehensive listing of country codes is available in the appendix of these instructions.

Include summary of remaining write-ins for Line 58 from the Overflow page on the separate line indicated.

**Schedule T, Part 2 Uniform instructions:**

**Line 58 – Aggregate Other Alien**

Enter the total of all alien business in the appropriate columns. Details by countries are not required.
Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group: None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Not applicable

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and concurrently expose an annual statement blanks proposal for 2022 annual reporting. The sponsored blanks proposal has been forwarded to the Blanks (E) Working Group to modify the instructions for Schedule T, the State Page and Accident and Health Policy Experience Exhibit (AHPEE) to clarify guidance for premium adjustments to ensure that entities are reporting premium by jurisdiction. This agenda item does not result in SSAP revisions. The proposed additions to the blanks instructions are shown below.

1. Schedule T, part 1 annual statement instructions for Health (This revision will make Health instructions consistent with the property casualty and life fraternal annual statement instructions.)

   Line 58 – Aggregate Other Alien

   **Enter the total of the write-ins listed in schedule “Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien.” All U.S. business shall be allocated by state regardless of license status.**

   Details of Write-ins Aggregated at Line 58 for Other Alien

   List separately each alien jurisdiction for which there is no pre-printed line on Schedule T.

   If the premium from an alien jurisdiction is due to relocation of current policyholders, the amount may be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien.” Premiums from jurisdictions in which there is active writing must be reported by jurisdiction and include premium from relocated policyholders residing in the respective jurisdiction.

   Identify each alien jurisdiction by using a three-character (ISO Alpha 3) country code followed by the name of the country (e.g., DEU Germany). For premium that can be aggregated and reported as “Other Alien” as stated in the previous paragraph, use “ZZZ” for the country code and “Other Alien” for the country name. A comprehensive listing of country codes is available in the appendix of these instructions.

2. Schedule T, part 1 annual statement instructions for Health; Life and Fraternal and Property and Casualty

   Add to general instructions:

   **All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.**

3. Schedule T, Part 2 Uniform instructions:

   Line 58 – Aggregate Other Alien

   **Enter the total of all alien business in the appropriate columns. Details by countries are not required.**

   **All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.**
4. Add additional instructions to line 58 - Aggregate Other Alien to the annual statement instructions for Health; Life and Fraternal and Property and Casualty

All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.

5. State Page - general instructions to the annual statement instructions for Health; Life and Fraternal and Property and Casualty

All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.

6. Accident and Health Policy Experience Exhibit (AHPEE) to the annual statement instructions for Health; Life and Fraternal and Property and Casualty

All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.

Staff Review Completed by: Robin Marcotte– NAIC Staff, February 2022

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and concurrently expose an annual statement blanks proposal for 2022 annual reporting. The sponsored blanks proposal has been forwarded to the Blanks (E) Working Group to modify the instructions for Schedule T, the State Page and Accident and Health Policy Experience Exhibit (AHPEE) to clarify guidance for premium adjustments. This agenda item does not result in SSAP revisions. The proposed additions to the blanks instructions are shown in the agenda item, but the primary instructional revision is as follows:

All premium adjustments (both increases and decreases), including but not limited to Affordable Care Act (ACA) premium adjustments related to the risk adjustment program, shall be allocated as premium in the respective jurisdiction.

https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2022/4- Spring NM/Meeting/E - 22-03 - Premium Adj by Jurisdiction.docx
Issue: ASU 2021-10, Government Assistance

Check (applicable entity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Issue: In November 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2021-10, Government Assistance, Disclosures by Business Entities about Government Assistance to increase financial statement transparency regarding certain types of government assistance by increasing the disclosure of such information in the notes to the financial statements.

The new disclosure aims to increase transparency by enhancing the identification of 1) the types of assistance received, 2) an entity’s accounting for said assistance, and 3) the effects of the assistance in an entity’s financial statements. The disclosures will contain information about the nature of the transactions, which includes a general description of the transaction and identification of the form (cash or other) in which the assistance was received. In terms of the effects on the financial statement, disclosure will include identification of the specific line items in both the balance sheet and income statement and a description of the extent to which they have been impacted by any government assistance. In addition, an entity will be required to disclose information about any significant terms of the transaction with a government entity, with items including durations of such agreements and any provisions for potential recapture.

ASU 2021-10 defines “government assistance,” in a comprehensive manner to capture most types of assistance and includes examples of tax credits, cash grants or grants of other assets. The scope of this ASU is narrow as it does not apply to not-for-profit entities or benefit plans. Further narrowing in scope, the new disclosure requirements in this ASU only apply to transactions that are accounted for by analogizing either a grant or contribution model. As such, these enhanced disclosures do not apply to government transactions that are accounted for in accordance with other codification topics, such as classifying the transactions as debt in ASC 470, income taxes in ASC 740, or as revenue from contracts with customers in ASC 606.

With the specificity of these additional disclosures only applying in certain circumstances (only applicable in cases where the government assistance is not accounted for in accordance with other accounting standards – i.e., revenue in the normal course of business or debt), NAIC staff believe the occurrence of such items requiring disclosure per ASU 2021-10 will likely be relatively infrequent.

NAIC staff also note that consistent with ASU 2021-10, had the assistance been accounted for in a differing manner (e.g., as debt per SSAP No. 15—Debt and Holding Company Obligations), that the required identification and disclosures for the applicable SSAP would apply. As a final note, it is anticipated that for most entities who qualified for and received Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans, as authorized by the CARES Act, that the additional disclosures in this ASU still would not apply. It is believed that most insurance reporting entities accounted for PPP transactions as liabilities per SSAP No. 15. [For reference, in accordance with SSAP No. 15, debt may only be derecognized if the reporting entity was legally released from the liability (SSAP No. 15, paragraph 11), at which time the extinguishment of debt was reported as a capital gain (SSAP No. 15, paragraph 25).]
**Existing Authoritative Literature:**

**NAIC Staff Note** – as mentioned above, NAIC staff believe that as these additional disclosures are not applicable for transactions that are in scope of other accounting standards, and only apply when the transaction is accounted for by analogy using the grant or contribution model, the prevalence of such items will be infrequent. As such, the most appropriate location for these items is reflected in SSAP No. 24.

**SSAP No. 24—Discontinued Operations and Unusual or Infrequent Items**

**Unusual or Infrequently Occurring Items**

9. A material event or transaction that an entity considers to be of an unusual nature or of a type that indicates infrequency of occurrence or both shall be reported consistently with the reporting entity’s reporting of continuing operations (i.e., no separate line item presentation in the balance sheet or statement of operations). Such items shall not be charged directly to surplus unless specifically addressed elsewhere within the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual.

   a. “Unusual Nature” shall be defined as the underlying event or transaction that should possess a high degree of abnormality and be of a type clearly unrelated to, or only incidentally related to, the ordinary and typical activities of the entity, taking into account the environment in which the entity operates.

   b. “Infrequency of Occurrence” is defined as the underlying event or transaction that would not reasonably be expected to recur in the foreseeable future, taking into account the environment in which the entity operates.

**Disclosures [Unusual/Infrequent Items]**

16. The nature and financial effects of each unusual or infrequent event or transaction shall be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Gains or losses of a similar nature that are not individually material shall be aggregated. This disclosure shall include the line items which have been affected by the event or transaction considered to be unusual and/or infrequent.

**Activity to Date** (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

**Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:** None

**Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):** NA

**Staff Recommendation:** NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 24—Discontinued Operations and Unusual or Infrequent Items, incorporating certain disclosures from ASU 2021-10. The proposed additions will supplement existing disclosures to require that if the unusual or infrequent item is as the result of government assistance, the transaction will require identification as well as a description of the terms and provisions of the assistance received.

NAIC staff recommend incorporating the new disclosures in ASU 2020-10, modified only to require the supplemental disclosures for all entity types (as SAP disclosures do not differentiate between entity type – i.e., not-for-profit vs. other). As a final note, existing disclosures for unusual/infrequent items (captured in financial statement note #21) already contains the requirement to identify the specific line items which have been affected.
by the events or transactions considered to be unusual and/or infrequent - thus that specific portion of ASU 2021-10 is not included in the proposed additions below.

**Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 24**

**Disclosures [Unusual/Infrequent Items]**

16. The nature, including a general description of the transactions, and financial effects of each unusual or infrequent event or transaction shall be disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. Gains or losses of a similar nature that are not individually material shall be aggregated. This disclosure shall include the line items which have been affected by the event or transaction considered to be unusual and/or infrequent. If the unusual or infrequent item is as the result of government assistance (as defined in ASU 2021-10, Government Assistance, Disclosures by Business Entities about Government Assistance) disclosure shall additionally include the form in which the assistance has been received (for example, cash or other assets), and information regarding significant terms and conditions of the transaction, with items including, to the extent applicable, the duration or period of the agreement, and commitments made by the reporting entity, provisions for recapture, or other contingencies.

**Relevant Literature**

24. This statement adopts ASU 2021-10, Government Assistance: Disclosure by Business Entities about Government Assistance, with modification to require disclosure by all entity types.

**Staff Review Completed by:** Jim Pinegar - NAIC Staff, January 2022

**Recommendation:**

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 24—Discontinued Operations and Unusual or Infrequent Items, incorporating certain disclosures from ASU 2021-10. The proposed additions will supplement existing disclosures to require that if the unusual or infrequent item is as the result of government assistance, the transaction will require identification as well as a description of the terms and provisions of the assistance received.

https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2022/4-Spring NM/Meeting/F-22-04-ASU 2021-10, Govt Assistance.docx
Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A

**Issue:** ASU 2021-09, Leases (Topic 842), Discount Rate for Lessees That Are Not Public Business Entities

**Check (applicable entity):**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP Interpretation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description of Issue:** In November 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *Accounting Standard Update (ASU) 2021-09, Leases (Topic 842), Discount Rate for Lessees That Are Not Public Business Entities*. This ASU was issued as part of FASB’s post-implementation review to address issues that have been found during the implementation of the new lease guidance from *ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842)*. Topic 842 generally requires the capitalization of leases, which is calculated by discounting the lease payments utilizing the implicit rate in the lease, or if not determinable, the lessee’s incremental borrowing rate. However, the standard also provides nonpublic entities with a practical expedient, permitting the use of a risk-free rate (e.g., U.S. Treasury Rate) for the capitalization calculation. As the risk-free rate is generally lower than anyone’s incremental borrowing rate, stakeholders expressed concerns that the calculation of present value (utilizing the practical expedient) often results in recognition of lease liabilities and right-of-use assets that are greater than those recognized by their public counterparts. To alleviate this concern, the guidance in ASU 2021-09 broadens the practical expedient so nonpublic lessees may make the risk-free rate election by class of underlying asset, rather than at the entity-wide level – thus the entity more able to apply the practical expedient when beneficial. An entity that makes the risk-free rate election is required to disclose which asset classes it has elected to apply a risk-free rate. The guidance provided in this ASU is specific to the financing lease treatment under U.S. GAAP, and since *SSAP No. 22R—Leases* requires nearly all leases to be treated as operating leases for statutory accounting, adoption of this guidance would be unnecessary.

**Existing Authoritative Literature:**
The ASUs related to Topic 842 have previously been rejected in *SSAP No. 22R—Leases*.

**Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):** None

**Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:** None

**Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):** ASC Topic 842 was the result of a joint project between FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board.

**Recommendation:** NAIC staff recommends the Working Group move this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification and expose revisions to reject ASU 2021-05 in *SSAP No. 22R—Leases*. Under statutory accounting almost all leases are classified as operating leases, thus this U.S. GAAP guidance is not necessary. Proposed Revision to SSAP No. 22R (Relevant Literature section – paragraph 52):

> i. **ASU 2021-09, Leases (Topic 842), Discount Rate for Lessees That Are Not Public Business Entities** (Rejected in its entirety.)

**Staff Review Completed by:** Jake Stultz, NAIC Staff – January 2022
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Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A

Issue: ASU 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation

Check (applicable entity):

- Modification of Existing SSAP
- New Issue or SSAP
- Interpretation

P/C  Life  Health

Description of Issue: In October 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718), Determining the Current Price of an Underlying Share for Equity-Classified Share-Based Awards to offer nonpublic companies a practical expedient to one of the several inputs necessary for option-priced modeling. When equity share options or similar instruments are granted in a share-based payment transaction, the fair value (which is used to determine expense recognition at inception and during any subsequent award modification) is estimated using an option-pricing model valuation technique.

In terms of option-priced models, the Black-Scholes-Merton model is considered to be one of the most widely used as it has less complexity than other pricing models. However, despite its reduced complexity, it (and various other pricing models) requires numerous inputs which typically include exercise price, expected dividend rate, risk-free interest rate, expected term, expected share price volatility, and current share price. For public entities, the determination of these values is generally readily available, however for nonpublic entities, many of these inputs are not easily determinable.

Of these inputs, private company stakeholders have indicated that three of these inputs (exercise price, expected dividend rate, and risk-free interest rate) are easy to obtain. However, stakeholders indicated that the remaining three inputs (expected share price volatility, expected term, and current share price) can be costly and difficult to estimate. Topic 718 already provides nonpublic entities with practical expedients for expected share price volatility and expected term. However, prior to ASU 2021-07, a practical expedient was not available for estimating the current price input. The current price input is often considered the most costly and complex input to determine and audit for nonpublic entities, primarily because an active market for those entities’ shares does not exist and therefore a readily determinable market price is not available.

ASU 2021-07 provides a practical expedient for nonpublic entities to determine the current price by utilizing a “reasonable application of a reasonable valuation method.” The practical expedient describes several characteristics of a reasonable valuation method and will include 1) consideration of the value of all tangible and intangible assets, 2) the present value of future anticipated cash flows, 3) the market value of similar entities, 4) recent arm’s-length transactions involving the sale or transfer of stock/equity interests, and 5) other relevant factors that affect the valuation or have a material economic effect on the entity. The calculation of share price must be timely in it cannot be more than 12 months stale, and all available information after the date of calculation that may materially affect the valuation of the entity must be considered for any value updates.

One final note - this ASU provides a practical expedient (not an accounting alternative) to one of the inputs used for nonpublic companies in their option-pricing modeling. Also, as mentioned previously, this is the third such practical expedient permitted in Topic 718, of which the previous two (expected share price volatility and expected term) have previously been adopted and are currently permissible for use in SSAP No. 104R—Share-Based Payments (further detailed in the “Existing Authoritative Literature” section).
Existing Authoritative Literature: ASU 2021-07 offers a third practical expedient for the inputs utilized in option-pricing models. As previously mentioned, the prior two practical expedients are permissible in SSAP No. 104R and are included herein for reference:

Practical Expedient Regarding Volatility: Topic 718 (paragraph 718-10-30-20) recognizes nonpublic entities may not be able to reasonably estimate the fair value as it is not practicable to estimate share volatility, a component of the fair value calculation. Adoption of this first practical expedient to address this circumstance occurred through the Working Group's adoption of FAS 123R, Share-Based Payment. The applicable paragraph in SSAP No. 104R has been included with relevant guidance bolded.

52. A reporting entity may not be able to reasonably estimate the fair value of its equity share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments because it is not practicable for the reporting entity to estimate the expected volatility of its share price. In that situation, the entity shall account for its equity share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments based on a value calculated using the historical volatility of an appropriate industry sector index instead of the expected volatility of the entity's share price (the calculated value). A reporting entity's use of calculated value shall be consistent between employee share-based payment transactions and nonemployee share-based payment transactions. Throughout the remainder of this statement, provisions that apply to accounting for share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments at fair value also apply to calculated value.

Practical Expedient Regarding Expected Term: Topic 718 (paragraph 718-10-30-20A) recognizes nonpublic entities may not be able to reasonably account for the expected term of a share-based payment. Adoption of a second practical expedient to address this circumstance occurred through the Working Group’s adoption, with modification, of ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. The applicable paragraphs from SSAP No. 104R have been included below:

53. For an award that meets the conditions in paragraph 54, a reporting entity may make an entity-wide accounting policy election to estimate the expected term using the following practical expedient:

   a. If vesting is only dependent upon a service condition, a reporting entity shall estimate the expected term as the midpoint between the employee's requisite service period or the nonemployee's vesting period and the contractual term of the award.

   b. If vesting is dependent upon satisfying a performance condition, an entity first would determine whether the performance condition is probable of being achieved.

      i. If the reporting entity concludes that the performance condition is probable of being achieved, the entity shall estimate the expected term as the midpoint between the employee's requisite service period or the nonemployee's vesting period and the contractual term.

      ii. If the reporting entity concludes that the performance condition is not probable of being achieved, the reporting entity shall estimate the expected term as either:

         (a) The contractual term if the service period is implied (that is, the requisite service period or the nonemployee's vesting period is not explicitly stated but inferred based on the achievement of the performance condition at some undetermined point in the future).

         (b) The midpoint between the employee's requisite service period or the nonemployee's vesting period and the contractual term if the requisite service period is stated explicitly.
54. A reporting entity that elects to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 shall apply the practical expedient to a share option or similar award that has all of the following characteristics:

   a. The share option or similar award is granted at the money.

   b. The grantee has only a limited time to exercise the award (typically 30-90 days) if the grantee no longer provides goods or terminates service after vesting.

   c. The grantee can only exercise the award. The grantee cannot sell or hedge the award.

   d. The award does not include a market condition.

A reporting entity that elects to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 may always elect to use the contractual term as the expected term when estimating the fair value of a nonemployee award as described in paragraph 42. However, a reporting entity must apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 for all nonemployee awards that have all the characteristics listed in this paragraph if that reporting entity does not elect to use the contractual term as the expected term and that reporting entity elects the accounting policy election to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53.

ASU 2021-07 also supplements existing disclosure requiring that if this new practical expedient is utilized, its use shall be disclosed. NAIC staff have determined that additional disclosures in SSAP No. 104R are likely not necessary as existing SAP disclosures reference the disclosures in FASB Codification 718-10-50-2 as required — which is the location for FASB’s new disclosure regarding use of this practical expedient.

Disclosures

113. An entity with one or more share-based payment arrangements shall disclose information that enables users of the financial statements to understand all of the following:

   a. The nature and terms of such arrangements that existed during the period and the potential effects of those arrangements on shareholders;

   b. The effect of compensation costs arising from share-based payment arrangements on the income statement;

   c. The method of estimating the fair value of the goods or services received, or the fair value of the equity instruments granted (or offered to grant), during the period; and

   d. The cash flow effects resulting from share-based payment arrangements.

114. The disclosures in paragraph 113 are for annual audited statutory financial statements only. This statement adopts FASB Codification 718-10-50-2 for the minimum disclosure information needed to achieve the objective in paragraph 113 of this statement, noting that a reporting entity may need to disclose additional information to achieve the objectives.

As final reference, SSAP No. 104R has predominantly adopted, with modification from U.S. GAAP guidance regarding share-based payment guidance, as detailed below.

126. This statement adopts with modification the U.S. GAAP guidance for share-based payment transactions reflected in FASB Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation, as modified by the ASUs listed in paragraphs 126.a through 126.e, excluding the guidance in ASC Subtopic 718-40, Employee Stock Ownership Plans (ESOPs). Statutory accounting guidance for ESOPs is addressed in SSAP No. 12—Employee Stock Ownership Plans. This adoption with modification includes the related implementation guidance reflected within the FASB Codification Topic 718 not reflected within this standard. The U.S. GAAP guidance adopted with modification reflects the adoption with modification of the following ASUs:
a. **ASU 2018-07, Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting.** The revisions from ASU 2018-07 expand the scope of ASC 718 to include share-based payment transactions for acquiring goods and services from nonemployees. With ASU 2018-17, ASC 505-50, Equity – Equity Payments to Nonemployees was superseded.

b. **ASU 2017-09, Scope of Modification Accounting**

c. **ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting**

d. **ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period**

e. **ASU 2010-13, Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Current of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades**

127. The statutory accounting guidance for share-based payments is intended to be consistent with U.S. GAAP. Adopted modifications to U.S. GAAP guidance are as follows:

a. GAAP references to “public and nonpublic” guidance have been eliminated. However, entities that report share-payment transactions under U.S. GAAP as “public” entities shall not report different amounts between U.S. GAAP and SAP. (For example, if a reporting entity reports “fair value” under U.S. GAAP, that entity shall not utilize a “calculated or intrinsic” amount under statutory accounting.

b. Prepaid assets are nonadmitted.

c. GAAP references are revised to reference applicable statutory accounting guidance.

d. GAAP reporting line items (either explicitly provided in the statement or adopted by reference – such as the GAAP implementation guidance) shall be replaced to reference applicable statutory annual statement line items. (For example, GAAP references to “other comprehensive income” shall be reflected within “Surplus - Unassigned Funds”).

e. GAAP guidance to calculate earnings per share is not applicable to statutory accounting and has not been included within the statement.

f. GAAP effective date and transition, and transition disclosures have not been incorporated. Reporting entities shall follow the effective date and transition elements provided within this statement.

g. Inclusion of guidance specific to statutory for consolidated/holding company plans.

128. The adoption with modification of FASB Codification Topic 718 detailed in paragraph 126 of this statement reflects adoption with modification of the following pre-codification GAAP standards:

a. **FAS 123R, Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R);**

b. **FAS 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity (FAS 150) – (Adopted only to the extent referenced in FAS 123R for classifying instruments as equity or liability for application in this statement. Adopted guidance is reflected in Exhibit A);**

c. **FASB Staff Position FAS 123(R)-1: Classification and Measurement of Freestanding Financial Instruments Originally issued in Exchange for Employee Services under FASB Statement No. 123(R) (FAS 123R-1);**

d. **FASB Staff Position (FSP) FAS 123(R)-2: Practical Accommodation to the Application of Grant Date as Defined in FASB Statement No. 123(R) (FSP FAS 123R-2);**
Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group: None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): N/A

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 104R—Share-Based Payments to incorporate the practical expedient for the current price input, a required component for the option-pricing models—models in which are utilized in the determination of fair value for share-based payments. Integration of this third practical expedient is consistent with previous decisions by the Working Group to adopt the prior two practical expedients regarding option-pricing modeling input permitted by FASB. The language proposed by NAIC staff directs that the practical expedient is only available when a reporting entity is not able to reasonable estimate the current fair value. While this language is technically broader than what was adopted by FASB (as ASU 2021-07 directly references non-public companies), the proposed language is consistent with prior Working Group adoptions and by default, should not be utilized by public entities—as they would be able to reasonable estimate fair value, which is likely the publicly traded share price.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 104R

52. A reporting entity may not be able to reasonably estimate the fair value of its equity share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments because it is not practicable for the reporting entity to estimate the expected volatility of its share price. In that situation, the entity shall account for its equity share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments based on a value calculated using the historical volatility of an appropriate industry sector index instead of the expected volatility of the entity’s share price (the calculated value). A reporting entity’s use of calculated value shall be consistent between employee
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share-based payment transactions and nonemployee share-based payment transactions. Throughout the remainder of this statement, provisions that apply to accounting for share options, nonemployee awards and similar instruments at fair value also apply to calculated value.

53. For an award that meets the conditions in paragraph 54, a reporting entity may make an entity-wide accounting policy election to estimate the expected term using the following practical expedient:

   a. If vesting is only dependent upon a service condition, a reporting entity shall estimate the expected term as the midpoint between the employee’s requisite service period or the nonemployee’s vesting period and the contractual term of the award.

   b. If vesting is dependent upon satisfying a performance condition, an entity first would determine whether the performance condition is probable of being achieved.

   i. If the reporting entity concludes that the performance condition is probable of being achieved, the entity shall estimate the expected term as the midpoint between the employee’s requisite service period or the nonemployee’s vesting period and the contractual term.

   ii. If the reporting entity concludes that the performance condition is not probable of being achieved, the reporting entity shall estimate the expected term as either:

      (a) The contractual term if the service period is implied (that is, the requisite service period or the nonemployee’s vesting period is not explicitly stated but inferred based on the achievement of the performance condition at some undetermined point in the future).

      (b) The midpoint between the employee’s requisite service period or the nonemployee’s vesting period and the contractual term if the requisite service period is stated explicitly.

54. A reporting entity that elects to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 shall apply the practical expedient to a share option or similar award that has all of the following characteristics:

   a. The share option or similar award is granted at the money.

   b. The grantee has only a limited time to exercise the award (typically 30-90 days) if the grantee no longer provides goods or terminates service after vesting.

   c. The grantee can only exercise the award. The grantee cannot sell or hedge the award.

   d. The award does not include a market condition.

A reporting entity that elects to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 may always elect to use the contractual term as the expected term when estimating the fair value of a nonemployee award as described in paragraph 42. However, a reporting entity must apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53 for all nonemployee awards that have all the characteristics listed in this paragraph if that reporting entity does not elect to use the contractual term as the expected term and that reporting entity elects the accounting policy election to apply the practical expedient in paragraph 53.

55. If a reporting entity is not able to reasonably estimate the current share price (fair value), as a practical expedient, a reporting entity may use a value determined by the reasonable application of a reasonable valuation method as the current price of its underlying share for purposes of determining the fair value of an award that is classified as equity at grant date or upon a modification. The determination of whether a valuation method is reasonable, or whether an application of a valuation method is reasonable, shall be made on the facts and circumstances as of the measurement date. Factors to be considered under a reasonable valuation method include, as applicable:
a. The value of tangible and intangible assets

b. The present value of anticipated future cash flows

c. The market value of stock or equity interests in similar corporations and other entities engaged in trades or businesses substantially similar to those engaged by the entity for which the stock is to be valued, the value of which can be readily determined through nondiscretionary, objective means (such as through trading prices on an established securities market or an amount in an arm’s length transaction)

d. Recent arm’s length transactions involving the sale or transfer of stock or equity interest

e. Other relevant factors such as control premiums or discounts for lack of marketability and whether the valuation method is used for other purposes that have a material economic effect on the entity, its stockholders, or its creditors

f. The entity’s consistent use of a valuation method to determine the value of its stock or assets for other purposes, including for purposes unrelated to compensation of service providers.

Effective Date and Transition

130. This statement was effective January 1, 2013, with interim and annual financial reporting thereafter. Early adoption was permitted for December 31, 2012, financial statements with interim and annual reporting thereafter. At the time of initial adoption of this statement, reporting entities with existing share-based payment instruments that applied the guidance in SSAP No. 13—Stock Options and Stock Purchase Plans were to apply the requirements of SSAP No. 104 prospectively to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after the required effective date. Those reporting entities were to continue to account for any portion of awards outstanding at the date of initial application using the statutory accounting principles originally applied to those awards (e.g., SSAP No. 13).

131. Since the initial adoption of SSAP No. 104, subsequent revisions were effective as follows:

a. ASU 2021-07, Compensation – Stock Compensation, Determining the Current Price of an Underlying Share for Equity-Classified Share-Based Awards. This SAP clarification is effective.

b. ASU 2018-07, Improvements to Nonemployee Share-Based Payment Accounting: Nonsubstantive revisions effective January 1, 2020, with early application permitted.

c. ASU 2017-09, Scope of Modification Accounting: Nonsubstantive revisions effective January 1, 2018, applicable to modifications that occur on or after the effective date, with early application permitted.

d. ASU 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting: Nonsubstantive revisions effective December 31, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The adoption included the transition provisions from ASU 2016-19, although not duplicated within this statement.

e. ASU 2014-12, Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period: Nonsubstantive revisions effective January 1, 2016, with early adoption permitted.

f. ASU 2010-13, Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Current of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades: Captured in the original adoption of SSAP No. 104, effective January 1, 2013.

Staff Review Completed by: Jim Pinegar– NAIC Staff, February 2022
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Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A

Issue: ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations

Check (applicable entity):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification of Existing SSAP</th>
<th>P/C</th>
<th>Life</th>
<th>Health</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Issue or SSAP</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
<td>☒</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpretation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of Issue: In October 2021, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2021-08, Business Combinations, Accounting for Contract Assets and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers to require acquiring entities to apply Topic 606 (the topic that specifies the accounting for revenue and liabilities resulting from contacts with customers), when valuing and recognizing contract related assets and liabilities in a business combination.

Prior to the issuance of ASU 2021-08, acquirers would generally only recognize such items based on their fair values on the date of acquisition. When assessing liabilities at fair value, acquirers would generally only recognize an acquiree’s deferred revenue (i.e., a contract liability), to the extent the acquirer had a legal obligation to perform a service or remit a product. However, to only recognize a contract liability to the extent of a legal obligation is contrary to Topic 606 as it states that performance obligations may (and often) extend beyond legal obligations – with examples including implied promises and customer business practices within the contract with a customer, regardless of whether such promises were legally enforceable.

This ASU noted that the amendments will enhance comparability of the business results from before and after the acquisition (as presumably in most cases, the Topic 606 liability of the acquiree would transfer from the acquiree to the acquirer) and thus continuity of presentation would be retained. It is also important to note that the application of Topic 606 (rather than applying fair value standards) for acquired contract liabilities will generally result in a larger liability being recognized by the acquirer. This is because in cases where a provider receives cash in advance of performing a service or providing a product, in many instances some or all of the advanced funds have been spent prior to the date of acquisition, and thus the acquirer, using fair value measurement techniques, will not designate value to the spent funds. However again, the primary goal of these amendments is to improve comparability by providing consistent recognition and measurement guidance for revenue contracts - regardless of if those contracts were or were not acquired in a business combination.

The statutory accounting guidance for business combinations is found in SSAP No. 68—Business Combinations and Goodwill and requires business combinations be reported at cost, which in an arms-length transaction; is presumably fair value. SSAP No. 68 also requires that for entities (other than insurance reporting entities), the acquirer use the acquiree’s U.S. GAAP book value for the determination of statutory goodwill. The calculation of statutory goodwill, while beyond the scope of this agenda item, is important to briefly comment on as it adds an additional level of conservatism not recognized by U.S. GAAP – as it requires the recognition of goodwill for the amount of cost in excess of the acquiree’s book value (as opposed to fair value under U.S. GAAP).

So, in essence, for statutory accounting, other than the reporting of statutory goodwill, the acquiree’s book value of all associated assets (and liabilities) are reported on the acquirer’s books. As ASU 2021-08 requires the acquirer to utilize the acquiree’s book value, measured via Topic 606, for contract liabilities, the practice (unless the acquiree has not previously or has incorrectly applied Topic 606) conceptually consistent statutory accounting requirements, requires a measurement method previously rejected by statutory accounting.
Existing Authoritative Literature: As previously mentioned, the statutory guidance for business combinations is contained in SSAP No. 68 - relevant paragraphs, with applicable guidance is included below.

SSAP No. 68

Business Combinations

2. A business combination shall be accounted for as either a statutory purchase or a statutory merger. Business combinations that create a parent-subsidiary relationship shall be accounted for as a statutory purchase. Business combinations where equity of one entity is issued in exchange for the equity of another entity, which is then canceled, and prospectively only one entity exists, shall be accounted for as a statutory merger.

Statutory Purchases of SCA Investments

3. The statutory purchase method of accounting is defined as accounting for a business combination as the acquisition of one entity by another. It shall be used for all purchases of SCA entities including partnerships, joint ventures, and limited liability companies. The acquiring reporting entity shall record its investment at cost. Cost is defined as the sum of: (a) any cash payment, (b) the fair value of other assets distributed, (c) the fair value of any liabilities assumed, and (d) any direct costs of the acquisition. Contingent consideration issued in a purchase business combination that is embedded in a security or that is in the form of a separate financial instrument shall be recorded by the issuer at fair value at the acquisition date.

4. For those acquired SCA entities accounted for in accordance with paragraphs 8.b.i., 8.b.ii., 8.b.iii. of SSAP No. 97, and joint venture, partnership or limited liability company entities accounted for in accordance with paragraph 8 of SSAP No. 48, goodwill is defined as the difference between the cost of acquiring the entity and the reporting entity’s share of the book value of the acquired entity. When the cost of the acquired entity is greater than the reporting entity’s share of the book value, positive goodwill exists. When the cost of the acquired entity is less than the reporting entity’s share of the book value, negative goodwill exists. Goodwill resulting from assumption reinsurance shall be recorded as a separate write-in for other-than-invested assets. All other goodwill shall be reported in the carrying value of the investment.

5. A business combination accounted for under the statutory purchase method and in which the acquired entity is valued in accordance with paragraphs 8.b.ii., 8.b.iii. or, 8.b.iv. of SSAP No. 97 shall determine the amount of positive goodwill or negative goodwill created by the combination using the reporting entity’s share of the GAAP net book value of the acquired entity, adjusted to a statutory basis of accounting in accordance with paragraph 9 of SSAP No. 97 in the case of acquired entities valued in accordance paragraphs 8.b.ii. or 8.b.iv. of SSAP No. 97. Business combinations accounted for under the statutory purchase method and in which the acquired entity is valued in accordance with, paragraph 8.b.i. of SSAP No. 97 shall determine the amount of positive or negative goodwill created by the business combination using the insurer’s share of the statutory book value of the acquired entity.

6. For those acquired SCA entities accounted for in accordance with paragraph 8.b.i. of SSAP No. 97 under the statutory purchase method, the historical bases of the acquired entity shall continue to be used in preparing its statutory financial statements. Therefore, pushdown accounting is not permitted.
As mentioned above, utilizing an acquiree’s book value is likely consistent with current practice, however, all previous Topic 606 guidance has been rejected for statutory accounting as insurance contracts are explicitly excluded from its scope. The rejections are noted within the body of statutory guidance in SSAP No. 47—Uninsured Plans.

**SSAP No. 47**

**Relevant Literature**

15. This statement rejects ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers; ASU 2015-14, Revenue From Contracts With Customers; ASU 2016-08, Revenue From Contracts with Customers: Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net); ASU 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing; ASU 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients; ASU 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers; ASU 2018-18, Collaborative Arrangements (Topic 808), Clarifying the Interaction between Topic 808 and Topic 606, and ASU 2021-02, Franchisors—Revenue from Contracts with Customers.

**Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):** As previously mentioned, all ASUs related to ASC Topic 606 have been rejected by the Working Group.

**Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:** None

**Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):** ASC Topic 606 and IFRS 15 are the result of the joint project between the FASB and IASB to improve financial reporting by creating common revenue recognition guidance.

**Staff Recommendation:** NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as SAP clarifications to:

1) **Expose revisions to SSAP No. 47—Uninsured Plans** to reject ASU 2021-08 for statutory accounting. This recommendation is consistent with how the prior ASUs related to Topic 606 have been treated.

2) **Expose revisions to SSAP No. 68—Business Combinations and Goodwill** to reject the ASU 2021-08 for statutory accounting, while noting that rejection does not impact the determination of U.S. GAAP book value in an acquired entity. NAIC staff note that as all prior Topic 606 guidance has been rejected for statutory accounting, the explicit rejection of this ASU should not be construed to mean that the U.S. GAAP net book value (which is utilized for the determination of statutory goodwill) will need to be modified by the guidance required in this ASU. The intent is to not modify any U.S. GAAP requirements for the determination of U.S. GAAP net book value within this standard.

**Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 47**

**Relevant Literature**

15. This statement rejects ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers; ASU 2015-14, Revenue From Contracts With Customers; ASU 2016-08, Revenue From Contracts with Customers: Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net); ASU 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing; ASU 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients; ASU 2016-20, Technical...
Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers; ASU 2018-18, Collaborative Arrangements (Topic 808), Clarifying the Interaction between Topic 808 and Topic 606, and ASU 2021-02, Franchisors—Revenue from Contracts with Customers, and ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations, Accounting for Contract Asset and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 68

Relevant Literature

22. This statement rejects ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations, Accounting for Contract Asset and Contract Liabilities from Contracts with Customers, however the rejection of which shall not modify the U.S. GAAP accounting standards as required within this standard, ASU 2019-06, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other Business Combinations, and Non-for-Profit Entities, ASU 2017-04, Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment, ASU 2016-03, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other, Business Combinations, Consolidation, Derivatives and Hedging; ASU 2014-02, Accounting for Goodwill (a consensus of the Private Company Council), ASU 2012-02, Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment, ASU 2011-08, Testing Goodwill for Impairment and ASU 2010-28, When to Perform Step 2 of the Goodwill Impairment Test for Reporting Units with Zero or Negative Carrying Amounts; Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 16, Business Combinations; FASB Statement No. 38, Accounting for Preacquisition Contingencies of Purchased Enterprises, an amendment of APB Opinion No. 16; Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 17, Intangible Assets; FASB Statement No. 79, Elimination of Certain Disclosures for Business Combinations by Nonpublic Enterprises; FASB Statement No. 141, Business Combinations; and FASB Statement No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets The following related interpretative pronouncements are also rejected:

[NAIC Staff Note, the remainder of this paragraph has been omitted for brevity.]

Staff Review Completed by: Jim Pinegar - NAIC Staff, February 2022

https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2022/4- Spring NM/Meeting/1 - 22-07 - ASU 2021-08, Business Combinations.docx
Issue: Treatment of Freddie Mac WI Certificates

Check (applicable entity):

- Modification of existing SSAP
- New Issue or SSAP
- Interpretation

Description of Issue:
Freddie Mac “When Issued K-Deal” certificates (“WI”) are backed by an asset pool held in trust, but those assets do not initially include any mortgages or mortgage-backed assets. Rather these assets include cash from the sale of the WI certificates and a commitment by Freddie Mac to deliver one or more structured pass through certificates (SPCs) in exchange for the WI trust’s cash within approximately 90 days of settlement. The date on which this delivery occurs is referred to as the “Subsequent Transfer Date”.

Prior to the Subsequent Transfer Date, the WI trusts pay fixed coupons to certificate holders which are funded from a Freddie Mac guarantee on the WI certificates. After the Subsequent Transfer Date the WI trust will hold the promised SPCs which are backed by mortgages and guaranteed by Freddie Mac. Additionally, after this date the WI trust becomes a pass-through of the underlying trust. The WI certificates have an optional exchange right where they can be exchanged for the underlying SPCs, but if not exchanged, the WI certificates after the Subsequent Transfer Date will still be backed by the SPCs.

The issue is the statutory accounting treatment of WI certificates prior to the Subsequent Transfer Date.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities, paragraphs 2-4:

- Loan-backed securities are defined as securitized assets not included in structured securities, as defined below, for which the payment of interest and/or principal is directly proportional to the payments received by the issuer from the underlying assets, including but not limited to pass-through securities, lease-backed securities, and equipment trust certificates.

- Structured securities are defined as loan-backed securities which have been divided into two or more classes for which the payment of interest and/or principal of any class of securities has been allocated in a manner which is not proportional to payments received by the issuer from the underlying assets.

SSAP No. 86—Derivatives. Key excerpts from SSAP No. 86 are as follows:

The definition of a derivative instrument and forwards from SSAP No. 86, paragraph 4 and 5d:
4. “Derivative instrument” means an agreement, option, instrument or a series or combination thereof:
   a. To make or take delivery of, or assume or relinquish, a specified amount of one or more underlying interests, or to make a cash settlement in lieu thereof; or
   b. That has a price, performance, value or cash flow based primarily upon the actual or expected price, level, performance, value or cash flow of one or more underlying interests.

5.d. “Forwards” are agreements (other than futures) between two parties that commit one party to purchase and the other to sell the instrument or commodity underlying the contract at a specified future date. Forward contracts fix the price, quantity, quality, and date of the purchase and sale. Some forward contracts involve the initial payment of cash and may be settled in cash instead of by physical delivery of the underlying instrument.

Guidance on TBAs from the Annual Statement Instructions:

“‘To Be Announced” securities (commonly referred to as TBAs) are to be reported in Schedule D unless the structure of the security more closely resembles a derivative, as defined within SSAP No. 86—Derivatives, in which case the security should be reported on Schedule DB. The exact placement of TBAs in the investment schedules depends upon how a company uses TBA.

Excerpt from Annual Statement Instructions, Schedule D, Part 3 and 4 on Disposals / Acquisitions:

This schedule should include a detailed listing of all securities that were purchased/acquired during the current reporting year that are still owned as of the end of the current reporting year (amounts purchased and sold during the current reporting year are reported in detail on Schedule D, Part 5 and only in subtotal in Schedule D, Part 3). This should include all transactions that adjust the cost basis of the securities. Thus, it should not be used for allocations of TBAs to specific pools subsequent to initial recording in Schedule D, Part 3 or other situations such as CUSIP number changes.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):
Reporting entity has filed a RTAS with the NAIC SVO.

Information or issues (included in Description of Issue) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
The inaugural offering was the WI-K132 transaction (CUSIP: 3137H2NM2) which settled on September 28th, 2021 with the promised K-deal certificates being the K-132’s AM class. The K-132 class AM certificates (CUSIP: 3137H3EW8) were settled and delivered to the WI-K132 trust on October 14th, 2021. The purpose of this request is to evaluate the structure of the WI offering more broadly but the WI-K132 transaction may serve as a helpful example for evaluation. To that end the offering documents for both the WI-K132 and the related K-132’s certificate offerings are linked below. The webpage housing the base offering documents for the WI and K-Deal programs are also linked for ease of reference along with informational materials further detailing the WI program.


WI Program Page: [https://mf.freddiemac.com/investors/when-issued-k-deals.html](https://mf.freddiemac.com/investors/when-issued-k-deals.html)
WI Investor Presentation: [https://mf.freddiemac.com/docs/wi_investor_presentation.pdf](https://mf.freddiemac.com/docs/wi_investor_presentation.pdf)
WI Frequently Asked Questions: [https://mf.freddiemac.com/docs/wi_frequently_asked_questions.pdf](https://mf.freddiemac.com/docs/wi_frequently_asked_questions.pdf)
Video Overview of the WI Program: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lv7YaPvNsPo&feature=youtu.be](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Lv7YaPvNsPo&feature=youtu.be)
**Recommended Conclusion or Future Action on Issue:**
Sponsoring entity requests statutory accounting guidance to confirm that WI Trust SPCs shall be reported in scope of *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities* and not as a forward contract under *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives*.

**Recommending Party:**
State Farm Mutual Automobile Insurance Company  
Mark Ludy, Staff Finance Analyst  
Mark.E.Ludy.GC98@StateFarm.Com  
March 8, 2022

**Staff Recommendation:**
NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group expose a tentative statutory accounting interpretation to clarify that investments in the Freddie Mac WI Program shall be captured in scope of *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities* from initial acquisition. Key elements for this recommendation include:

- The WI Program is fully guaranteed by Freddie Mac and ensures that the investor will receive pass-through certificates, backed by mortgage loans held in trust, that reflect the terms of the investment set at original acquisition. In the event that the K-Deal certificates cannot be acquired, Freddie Mac is guaranteed to provide payment to the investor that reflects the full principal and interest per the original terms of the agreement, which reflects the payments that would have been received overtime if K-Deal certificates had been acquired.

- The definition of a forward contract in SSAP No. 86 reflects an agreement between two parties that commit one party to purchase and another party to sell the instrument underlying the contract at a specified future date. With the WI Trust Program, the investor does not have a future commitment to acquire securities, as the investor acquires the WI Trust certificate on day one of the transaction and the investor is not required to convert the WI Trust certificates at any time. This WI Trust certificate is not a derivative instrument, as at the time of acquisition, the certificate reflects a tradeable investment in a trust structure backed by cash and a Freddie Mac guarantee of cash flows in accordance with terms established at original acquisition. In addition to having no variation to the investor as a result of an underlying interest, there is no requirement on the investor to take delivery of a different investment. The ability to convert the WI Trust certificate to a K-Deal certificate is strictly an election to the investor and is not a requirement to receive the pass-through cash flows per the terms of the initial investment.

- The WI Program, and resulting obligation of Freddie Mac, ultimately reflects an investment where the investor receives pass-through cash flows generated from mortgage loans acquired and held in trust. This is within the scope of *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*, paragraphs 2-4.

- The WI Program, and treatment as a SSAP No. 43R security, is consistent with the current guidance for TBA securities when an insurer intends to take possession of the resulting mortgage-backed security. A TBA security reflects the pre-purchase of mortgage-backed securities prior to the finalization of the security issuance. Pursuant to the annual statement instructions, TBA securities are to be reported on Schedule D-1: Long-Term Bonds unless the structure more closely resembles a derivative. This determination depends on how a company uses the TBA. (For example, if a company intended to assume the mortgage-backed security once issued, the TBA would be captured on Schedule D-1 at initial acquisition. If a reporting entity was to continually trade/roll TBA exposures, this would be more characteristics of a derivative and would be captured on Schedule DB as a derivative.)

**Staff Review Completed by: Julie Gann, NAIC Staff – March 14, 2022**

https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/frstatutoryaccounting/national meetings/a. national meeting materials/2022/4- spring nm/meeting/ - 22-08 - wi trust.docx
Interpretation of the
Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group

INT 22-01T: Freddie Mac When-Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates

INT 22-01T Dates Discussed

April 4, 2022

INT 22-01T References

SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities
SSAP No. 86—Derivatives

INT 22-01T Issue

1. This interpretation is to address questions on the accounting and reporting for Freddie Mac “When-Issued K-Deal (WI Trust) Certificates” (WI Program). Ultimately, the question is whether the structure should be initially captured in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities or as a forward contract in scope of SSAP No. 86—Derivatives.

2. The design of the WI Program is summarized as follows:

   a. Investor acquires WI Trust certificates, which are backed by cash held in the WI Trust and pay a fixed coupon amount funded from a Freddie Mac guarantee.

   b. Within 90 days, the trust uses the cash to acquire newly issued K-Deal structured pass-through certificates (SPCs) meeting certain pooling parameters laid out in the respective WI Offering Circular Supplement. K-Deal SPC(s) are Freddie Mac-issued structured pass-through certificates backed by the corresponding class of certificates issued by a separate REMIC trust that holds multifamily fixed-rate mortgage loans. The cash flows from the mortgage loans held by the REMIC trust provide pass-through payments to holders of the K-Deal SPCs.

   c. An investor can choose to continue to hold the WI Trust certificates or exchange dollar-for-dollar their WI-securities into the underlying K-Deal SPCs. In either case, the investor receives a pass-through of cash flows generated by the mortgages held in the REMIC trust and the performance of the K-Deal SPCs is guaranteed by Freddie Mac. If continuing to hold the WI Trust certificates – rather than convert the certificates to K-deal SPCs – the K-Deal SPCs are held by the WI Trust, who in turn passes the cashflows to WI Trust investors. The WI Trust certificates benefit from Freddie Mac payment guarantee which guarantees that any cashflows collected from the K-Deal SPCs will be paid to the WI certificate holders.

3. Additional characteristics on this program include the following:

   a. The WI Trust certificates are public securities and tradeable shortly after pricing.

   b. The WI Trust certificates are backed by a Freddie Mac guarantee from acquisition.
c. From acquisition of the WI Trust certificates, the investor receives fixed coupon amounts reflective of the investment terms of the K-Deal SPCs.

d. The WI Trust is obligated to acquire, and Freddie Mac is required to sell, the K-Deal SPCs at the amount stated at the time of initial investment. Meaning, the investor is not at a risk of loss, nor will experience any variation in outcome due to underlying variables that occur from the time of initial investment in the WI Trust until the K-Deal SPCs are acquired. If market forces change the purchase price of the K-Deal SPCs during the 90-days after initial acquisition of the WI Trust certificates, then Freddie Mac is still required to sell the K-Deal certificates at the terms agreed to at original investment. Ultimately, the investor is guaranteed an investment in K-Deal SPCs that reflects the notional value of the WI Trust-certificates and coupon terms at initial acquisition. (For example, if the investor acquired $100 million of WI Trust certificates at acquisition, when the K-Deals are subsequently acquired, the entity will receive $100 million of K-Deal SPCs with the same payment terms regardless of any market impacts.)

e. In the event that Freddie Mac is unable to acquire the K-Deal SPCs within the 90-day period, Freddie Mac is required to return the principal to the investor as well as provide a yield maintenance payment calculated using the full coupon payments that would have been received over the course of the investment.

f. In the event that the investor elects to exchange the WI Trust certificates to the K-Deal SPCs, the investor receives an equivalent principal amount of the K-Deal SPCs of the same class. Although the investment will have a change in CUSIP, any such exchange is not deemed to be a taxable event as described in the respective Offering Circular Supplements for the WI Certificates. As such investors will not recognize a gain or loss on the exchange and investors will be treated as continuing to own the interests that were owned immediately prior to the exchange. Stated differently, any gains or losses on the exchanged WI-Certificates are “rolled into” the investors’ new K-Deal Certificate position.

4. The question of whether the structure is a loan-backed or structured security, or a derivative is primarily focused on the initial acquisition and the 90-day (or less) timeframe before the WI Trust acquires K-Deal certificates. The question is whether the initial 90-day acquisition of the WI Trust certificate, prior to the trust’s acquisition of the K-Deal certificates, represents a forward contract required to be accounted for under SSAP No. 86—Derivatives. Key excerpts from SSAP No. 86 are as follows:

a. The definition of a derivative instrument from SSAP No. 86, paragraph 4:

4. “Derivative instrument” means an agreement, option, instrument or a series or combination thereof:

a. To make or take delivery of, or assume or relinquish, a specified amount of one or more underlying interests, or to make a cash settlement in lieu thereof; or

b. That has a price, performance, value or cash flow based primarily upon the actual or expected price, level, performance, value or cash flow of one or more underlying interests.

b. The definition of a forward contract from SSAP No. 86, paragraph 5d:

5.d. “Forwards” are agreements (other than futures) between two parties that commit one party to purchase and the other to sell the instrument or
5. This interpretation intends to clarify whether investments in the Freddie Mac WI Program shall be initially captured in scope of SSAP No. 86—Derivatives or captured in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities.

INT 21-01T Discussion

6. This tentative interpretation clarifies that investments in the Freddie Mac WI Program shall be captured in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities from initial acquisition, and not as a derivative forward contract, for the following reasons:

a. The WI Program is fully guaranteed by Freddie Mac and ensures that the investor will receive pass-through certificates, backed by mortgage loans held in trust, that reflect the terms of the investment set at original acquisition. In the event that the K-Deal certificates cannot be acquired, Freddie Mac is guaranteed to provide payment to the investor that reflects the full principal and interest per the original terms of the agreement, which reflects the payments that would have been received overtime if K-Deal certificates had been acquired.

b. The definition of a forward contract in SSAP No. 86 reflects an agreement between two parties that commit one party to purchase and another party to sell the instrument underlying the contract at a specified future date. With the WI Trust Program, the investor does not have a future commitment to acquire securities, as the investor acquires the WI Trust certificate on day one of the transaction and the investor is not required to convert the WI Trust certificates at any time. This WI Trust certificate is not a derivative instrument, as at the time of acquisition, the certificate reflects a tradeable investment in a trust structure backed by cash and a Freddie Mac guarantee of cash flows in accordance with terms established at original acquisition. In addition to having no variation to the investor as a result of an underlying interest, there is no requirement on the investor to take delivery of a different investment. The ability to convert the WI Trust certificate to a K-Deal certificate is strictly an election to the investor and is not a requirement to receive the pass-through cash flows per the terms of the initial investment.

c. The WI Program, and resulting obligation of Freddie Mac, ultimately reflects an investment where the investor receives pass-through cash flows generated from mortgage loans acquired and held in trust. This investment dynamic is within the scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities, paragraphs 2-4:

2. Loan-backed securities are defined as securitized assets not included in structured securities, as defined below, for which the payment of interest and/or principal is directly proportional to the payments received by the issuer from the underlying assets, including but not limited to pass-through securities, lease-backed securities, and equipment trust certificates.

3. Structured securities are defined as loan-backed securities which have been divided into two or more classes for which the payment of interest and/or principal of any class of securities has been allocated in a manner which is not proportional to payments received by the issuer from the underlying assets.
4. Loan-backed securities are issued by special-purpose corporations or trusts (issuer) established by a sponsoring organization. The assets securing the loan-backed obligation are acquired by the issuer and pledged to an independent trustee until the issuer’s obligation has been fully satisfied. The investor only has direct recourse to the issuer’s assets, but may have secondary recourse to third parties through insurance or guarantee for repayment of the obligation. As a result, the sponsor and its other affiliates may have no financial obligation under the instrument, although one of those entities may retain the responsibility for servicing the underlying assets. Some sponsors do guarantee the performance of the underlying assets.

d. The WI Program, and treatment as a SSAP No. 43R security, is consistent with the current guidance for TBA securities when an insurer intends to take possession of the resulting mortgage-backed security. A TBA security reflects the pre-purchase of mortgage-backed securities prior to the finalization of the security issuance. Pursuant to the annual statement instructions, TBA securities are to be reported on Schedule D-1: Long-Term Bonds unless the structure more closely resembles a derivative. This determination depends on how a company uses the TBA. (For example, if a company intended to assume the mortgage-backed security once issued, the TBA would be captured on Schedule D-1 at initial acquisition. If a reporting entity was to continually trade/roll TBA exposures, this would be more characteristics of a derivative and would be captured on Schedule DB as a derivative.)

INT 22-01T Consensus

5. The Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group reached a tentative consensus that investments in the WI Trust Program shall be captured in scope of SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities from initial acquisition.

6. If a reporting entity elects to convert WI Trust SPC securities into K-Deal SPC securities, the guidance in the Annual Statement Instructions, Schedule D, Part 3 and Part 4 shall be followed. Per that guidance, the transition from a WI Trust to a K-Deal shall not be reported as a disposal or acquisition. As the terms and cost basis of the SPC certificates would be identical, and the change would only reflect a CUSIP number change, a disposal and reacquisition shall not be recorded.

7. Excerpt from Annual Statement Instructions, Schedule D, Part 3 and 4:

8. This schedule should include a detailed listing of all securities that were purchased/acquired during the current reporting year that are still owned as of the end of the current reporting year (amounts purchased and sold during the current reporting year are reported in detail on Schedule D, Part 5 and only in subtotal in Schedule D, Part 3). This should include all transactions that adjust the cost basis of the securities. Thus, it should not be used for allocations of TBAs to specific pools subsequent to initial recording in Schedule D, Part 3 or other situations such as CUSIP number changes.

INT 22-01T Status

9. Further discussion is planned.

April 4th Spring National Meeting - Review of GAAP Exposures for Statutory Accounting:

Pursuant to a 2014 direction from the SAPWG chair, there is a desire for the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group to be more proactive in considering FASB exposures that may be significant to statutory accounting and reporting. Historically, the SAPWG has commented on limited, key FASB exposures – mostly pertaining to insurance contracts and financial instruments. To ensure consideration of all FASB exposures, staff has prepared this memorandum to highlight the current exposures, comment deadlines, and to provide a high-level summary of the exposed item’s potential impact to statutory accounting. It is anticipated that this information would assist the Working Group in determining whether a comment letter should be submitted to the FASB on the issues. Regardless of the Working Group’s election to submit comments to the FASB on proposed accounting standards, under the NAIC Policy Statement on Statutory Accounting Principles Maintenance Agenda Process, issued US GAAP guidance noted in the hierarchy within Section V of the Preamble to the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual must be considered by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group.

FASB Exposures: [http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1175805074609](http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1175805074609)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exposed FASB Guidance</th>
<th>Comment Deadline &amp; Initial Staff Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Accounting Standards Update—Liabilities—Supplier Finance Programs (Subtopic 405-50): Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations</td>
<td>March 31, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proposed Accounting Standards Update —Liabilities—Supplier Finance Programs (Subtopic 405-50): Disclosure of Supplier Finance Program Obligations — Comment Deadline: March 31, 2022**

**Information from FASB Exposure Draft:**
On December 20, the FASB issued this proposed Accounting Standards Update (ASU) to enhance the transparency of supplier finance programs (programs). These programs, also referred to as reverse factoring, payables finance, or structured payables arrangements, allow a buyer to offer its suppliers the option for access to payment in advance of an invoice due date, which is paid by a third-party finance provider or intermediary on the basis of invoices that the buyer has confirmed as valid.

Due to a lack of disclosure requirements in GAAP, stakeholders have observed that there is a lack of transparency about the programs. Typically, a buyer party (defined as all entities that use supplier finance programs in connection with the purchase of goods and services) in a program enters into an agreement with a finance provider or an intermediary to establish the program, purchases goods and services from suppliers with a promise to pay at a later date and notifies the finance provider or intermediary of the supplier invoices that it has confirmed as valid. Suppliers may then request early payment from the finance provider or intermediary for those confirmed invoices. The present obligations covered by the programs may then be presented in the same balance sheet line item as accounts payable or in another balance sheet line item depending on the facts and circumstances of the arrangement.

The amendments in this proposed update address investor and other financial statement user requests for additional information about the use of the programs by the buyer party to understand the effect of those programs on an entity’s working capital, liquidity, and cash flows.

**Staff Review and Commentary:**
Comment deadline is March 31, 2022.

NAIC staff recommend that this ASU be reviewed under the SAP Maintenance Process as detail in Appendix F—Policy Statements.