



*2026 Spring National Meeting  
San Diego, California*

**JOINT MEETING OF THE PROPERTY AND CASUALTY RISK-BASED CAPITAL (E) WORKING GROUP  
AND CATASTROPHE RISK (E) SUBGROUP**

Monday, March 23, 2026  
10:30 – 11:30 a.m.

**Meeting Summary Report**

The Property and Casualty Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group and the Catastrophe Risk (E) Subgroup met March 23, 2026. During this meeting, the Working Group and Subgroup:

1. Adopted their Jan. 28, 2026, and Nov. 12, 2025, minutes (*see NAIC Proceedings – Fall 2025, Capital Adequacy (E) Task Force, Attachment Three*). During their Jan. 28 meeting, the Working Group and Subgroup took the following action:
  - A. Adopted the updated 2025 U.S. and non-U.S. catastrophe risk event lists, which it had exposed for a seven-day public comment period that ended Jan. 15.
2. Adopted proposal 2025-19-CR (Separating Earthquake and Hurricane Lines Experience Data in PR100s).
3. Adopted proposal 2025-20-CR (Wildfire Rcat Implementation).
4. Exposed proposal 2026-08-CR (PR027INT Item D Modification) for a 30-day public comment period ending April 22.
5. Heard an update on the severe convective storm impact analysis, including that a vendor model review is expected to begin in April 2026.
6. Discussed climate impact disclosures. A frequency option was added for industry to estimate their 2040 and 2050 impacts on the climate scenarios. The Center for Insurance Policy and Research (CIPR) discussed the 2024 data, which provided a wide range of results. The CIPR team has not reviewed the 2025 data. The Working Group and Subgroup were reminded of the three-year sunset provision for this information.
7. Discussed flood peril, including availability and affordability. The Subgroup will continue to monitor this peril as National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP) funding is still being considered at the federal level.
8. Heard an update from the Health Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group regarding proposal 2025-15-CA MOD (A&H Underwriting Risk Structure Change). The Working Group adopted a recommendation to refer the proposal to the Capital Adequacy (E) Task Force.



9. Discussed the property/casualty (P/C) risk-based capital (RBC) premium and loss concentration factors, including a review of the RBC action level under the current formula compared to a formula incorporating the American Academy of Actuaries' (Academy's) suggested 45% premium and 65% loss concentration factors.
10. Heard an update from the Academy on its RBC ratio and impairment risk research project. The presentation highlighted three key takeaways: 1) RBC ratios show little meaningful relationship with impairment experience; 2) predictive patterns are more stable after excluding companies with either very low or extremely high capital levels; and 3) when RBC ratios exceed 1000%, RBC levels become materially less informative of impairment risk.