REQUEST FOR NAIC MODEL LAW DEVELOPMENT

This form is intended to gather information to support the development of a new model law or amendment to an existing model law. Prior to development of a new or amended model law, approval of the respective Parent Committee and the NAIC’s Executive Committee is required. The NAIC’s Executive Committee will consider whether the request fits the criteria for model law development. Please complete all questions and provide as much detail as necessary to help in this determination.

Please check whether this is:   □ New Model Law   or   ✔ Amendment to Existing Model

1. Name of group to be responsible for drafting the model:

   Receivership Law (E) Working Group

2. NAIC staff support contact information:

   Jane Koenigsman
   jkoenigsman@naic.org
   816-783-8145

3. Please provide a brief description of the proposed new model or the amendment(s) to the existing model. If you are proposing a new model, please also provide a proposed title. If an existing model law, please provide the title, attach a current version to this form and reference the section(s) proposed to be amended.

   • Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act (#440)
   • Insurance Holding Company System Regulation with Reporting Forms and Instructions (#450)

   In 2018 the Financial Stability (EX) Task Force made a referral to the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force as part of the Macro Prudential Initiative (MPI). At the 2019 Summer National Meeting, the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force adopted a report including recommendations to address receivership powers that are implicit in state laws, rather than explicit. One such area is the power to ensure the continuity of essential services and functions within a holding company group once an insurer is placed into receivership.

   The Financial Stability Board’s (FSB) Key Attributes (KAs) of Effective Resolution Regimes for Financial Institutions KA 3.2 states that a resolution authority should have the power to ensure the continuity of essential services and functions by requiring companies in the group to continue providing services. Under Common Framework for the supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (ComFrame) (CF 12.7a), a resolution authority may take steps to provide continuity of essential services by requiring other entities within the IAIG (including non-regulated entities) to continue services. The Task Force identified the following authority and remedies available within the US regime related to these international standards:

   • The Insurance Holding Company System Model Act (#440) requires approval of affiliated transactions, allowing a regulator to identify agreements that could create obstacles in a receivership. The Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation (#450), Section 19, provides that cost sharing and management agreements specify if the insurer is placed in receivership that an affiliate has no automatic right to terminate the agreement.
   • The Receiver can take action against a provider that refuses to continue services under a contract, or seek an order requiring it to turn over records. If an affiliate providing services is inextricably intertwined with the insurer, the Receiver could also seek to place the affiliate into receivership.

   However, it was noted that some of these authorities and remedies may not address the immediate need to continue services in some receiverships. Despite these available remedies, receivers continue to be challenged by this issue in receivership, often resulting in significant additional legal and administrative expenses to the receivership estate.

   One potential solution is to revise the definition of “insurer” under state insurance holding company laws to encompass affiliated entities whose sole purpose is to provide services to the insurer.
The NAIC adopted 2020 charges for the Receivership Law (E) Working Group to: “Review and provide recommendations for remedies to ensure continuity of essential services and functions to an insurer in receivership by affiliated entities, including non-regulated entities. Consult with the Group Solvency Issues (E) Working Group as the topic relates to affiliated intercompany agreements.”

Scope of the Proposed Revisions to Models 440 and 450
The scope of the request is limited to addressing the issue of continuation of essential services through affiliated intercompany agreements that arise during the receivership of an insurance company. The Receivership Law (E) Working Group under the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force would complete the review and recommend proposed revisions. Revisions may be necessary to the following sections of Models 440 and 450 including, but not limited to:

- Model 440 Section 1. Definitions
- Model 440 Section 5. Standards and Management of an Insurer Within an Insurance Holding Company System
- Model 440 Section 12. Receivership
- Model 450 Consistency with any revisions to Model 440

4. Does the model law meet the Model Law Criteria? ☒ Yes or ☐ No (Check one)

(If answering no to any of these questions, please reevaluate charge and proceed accordingly to address issues).

a. Does the subject of the model law necessitate a national standard and require uniformity amongst all states? ☒ Yes or ☐ No (Check one)

If yes, please explain why:

While this change is being made in connection with the NAICs Macro Prudential Initiative, most important is that such changes are needed to address the challenges receivers continue to encounter in the area of continuation services which often result in significant additional legal and administrative expenses to the receivership estate and all members of the Task Force supported this request.

b. Does Committee believe NAIC members should devote significant regulator and Association resources to educate, communicate and support this model law? ☒ Yes or ☐ No (Check one)

5. What is the likelihood that your Committee will be able to draft and adopt the model law within one year from the date of Executive Committee approval?

☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 (Check one)

High Likelihood

Low Likelihood

Explanation, if necessary:
6. What is the likelihood that a minimum two-thirds majority of NAIC members would ultimately vote to adopt the proposed model law?

☑ 1    ☐ 2    ☐ 3    ☐ 4    ☐ 5 (Check one)

High Likelihood    Low Likelihood

Explanation, if necessary: See previous discussion.

7. What is the likelihood that state legislatures will adopt the model law in a uniform manner within three years of adoption by the NAIC?

☑ 1    ☐ 2    ☐ 3    ☐ 4    ☐ 5 (Check one)

High Likelihood    Low Likelihood

Explanation, if necessary:

At this juncture, the changes in concepts being considered are simple and because they have the potential to reduce expenses incurred by receivership estates, we believe such changes will be widely supported by all parties.

8. Is this model law referenced in the NAIC Accreditation Standards? If so, does the standard require the model law to be adopted in a substantially similar manner?

The Insurance Holding Company System Model Act (#440) is an Accreditation Standard but the task force has not yet considered whether this should become part of the required elements of that specific standard. However, given the potential the changes have in reducing the cost of regulation under receiverships, a national standard is likely appropriate.

9. Is this model law in response to or impacted by federal laws or regulations? If yes, please explain.

No.
Section 19. Transactions Subject to Prior Notice - Notice Filing

A. An insurer required to give notice of a proposed transaction pursuant to Section 5 of the Act shall furnish the required information on Form D, hereby made a part of these regulations.

B. Agreements for cost sharing services and management services shall at a minimum and as applicable:

(1) Identify the person providing services and the nature of such services;

(2) Set forth the methods to allocate costs;

(3) Require timely settlement, not less frequently than on a quarterly basis, and compliance with the requirements in the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual;
(4) Prohibit advancement of funds by the insurer to the affiliate except to pay for services defined in the agreement;  

(5) State that the insurer will maintain oversight for functions provided to the insurer by the affiliate and that the insurer will monitor services annually for quality assurance;  

(6) Define books and records and data of the insurer to include all books and records and data developed or maintained under or related to the agreement that are otherwise the property of the insurer, in whatever form maintained, including, but not limited to, claims and claim files, policyholder lists, application files, litigation files, premium records, rate books, underwriting manuals, personnel records, financial records or similar records within the possession, custody or control of the affiliate;  

(7) Specify that all books and records and data of the insurer are and remain the property of the insurer, and:
   
   (a) Are subject to control of the insurer,;  
   (b) Are identifiable; and  
   (c) Are segregated from all other persons’ records and data, or are readily capable of segregation at no additional cost to the insurer;  

Drafting Note: The “at no additional cost to the insurer” language is not intended to prohibit recovery of the fair and reasonable cost associated with transferring records and data to the insurer. Since records and data of the insurer are the property of the insurer, the insurer should not pay a cost to segregate commingled records and data from other data of the affiliate.  

(8) State that all funds and invested assets of the insurer are the exclusive property of the insurer, held for the benefit of the insurer and are subject to the control of the insurer;  

(9) Include standards for termination of the agreement with and without cause;  

(10) Include provisions for indemnification of the insurer in the event of gross negligence or willful misconduct on the part of the affiliate providing the services and for any actions by the affiliate that violate provisions of the agreement required in Subsections 19B(11), 19B(12), 19B(13), 19B(14) and 19B(15) of this regulation;  

(11) Specify that, if the insurer is placed in supervision, seizure, conservatorship or receivership pursuant to supervision and receivership acts]receivership or seized by the commissioner under the State Receivership Act:  

   (a) All of the rights of the insurer under the agreement extend to the receiver or commissioner to the extent permitted by [law of the state]; and,  
   (b) All records and data of the insurer shall be identifiable, and segregated from all other persons’ records and data or readily capable of segregation at no additional cost to the receiver or the commissioner;  

Drafting Note: The “at no additional cost to the receiver or the commissioner” language is not intended to prohibit recovery of the fair and reasonable cost associated with transferring records and data to the receiver or the commissioner. Since records and data of the insurer are the property of the insurer, the receiver or commissioner should not pay a cost to segregate commingled records and data from other data of the affiliate.  

   (c) A complete set of all books and records and data of the insurer will immediately be made available to the receiver or the commissioner, shall be made available in a usable format, and shall be turned over to the receiver or commissioner immediately upon the receiver or the commissioner’s request, and the cost to transfer data to the receiver or the commissioner shall be fair and reasonable; and,
Drafting Note: The fair and reasonable cost to transfer data to the receiver or commissioner refers to the cost associated with physically or electronically transferring records and data files to the receiver or commissioner. This cost does not include costs to separate comingled data and records that should have been segregated or readily capable of segregation.

(d) The affiliated person(s) will make available all employees essential to the operations of the insurer and the services associated therewith for the immediate continued performance of the essential services ordered or directed by the receiver or commissioner;

(12) Specify that the affiliate has no automatic right to terminate the agreement if the insurer is placed into supervision, seizure, conservatorship or receivership pursuant to [supervision and receivership acts], and

(13) Specify that the affiliate will provide the essential services for a minimum period of time [specified in the agreement] after termination of the agreement, if the insurer is placed into supervision, seizure, conservatorship or receivership pursuant to [supervision and receivership acts], as ordered or directed by the receiver or commissioner. Performance of the essential services will continue to be provided without regard to pre-receivership unpaid fees, so long as the affiliate continues to receive timely payment for post-receivership services rendered, and unless released by the receiver, commissioner or supervising court;

(134) Specify that the affiliate will continue to maintain any systems, programs, or other infrastructure, notwithstanding supervision, seizure, conservatorship or receivership pursuant to [supervision and receivership acts], a seizure by the commissioner under the State Receivership Act, and will make them available to the receiver or commissioner as ordered or directed by the receiver or commissioner, for so long as the affiliate continues to receive timely payment for post-receivership services rendered, and unless released by the receiver, commissioner or supervising court; and

(15) Specify that, in furtherance of the cooperation between the receiver and the affected guaranty association(s) and subject to the receiver’s authority over the insurer, if the insurer is placed into supervision, seizure, conservatorship or receivership pursuant to [supervision and receivership acts], and portions of the insurer’s policies or contracts are eligible for coverage by one or more guaranty associations, the affiliate’s commitments under Subsections 19B(11), 19B(12), 19B(13) and 19B(14) of this regulation will extend to such guaranty association(s).
1. Description of the Project, Issues Addressed, etc.

In 2020, the NAIC Plenary adopted a new charge for the Receivership Law (E) Working Group. The charge is still active and reads as follows:

“Review and provide recommendations for remedies to ensure the continuity of essential services and functions to an insurer in receivership by affiliated entities, including nonregulated entities. Among other solutions, this will encompass a review of the Insurance Holding Company System Regulatory Act (#440) and the Insurance Holding Company System Model Regulation with Reporting Forms and Instructions (#450) to provide proposed revisions to address the continuation of essential services through affiliated intercompany agreements in a receivership.”

Prior to, and prompting the need for, the adoption of this charge, the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force performed a macroprudential analysis of the U.S. system of insurance regulation with respect to receivership laws compared to international standards under the Financial Stability Board (FSB) and under the Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (ComFrame). At the 2019 Summer National Meeting, the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force adopted a report including recommendations to address receivership powers that are implicit in state laws, rather than explicit. One such area is the power to ensure the continuity of essential services and functions within a holding company group once an insurer is placed into receivership.

The Task Force identified the following authority and remedies available within the U.S. regime related to these international standards:

- Model #440 requires approval of affiliated transactions, allowing a state insurance regulator to identify agreements that could create obstacles in a receivership. Model #450, Section 19, provides that cost sharing and management agreements specify if the insurer is placed in receivership that an affiliate has no automatic right to terminate the agreement.
- The receiver can take action against a provider that refuses to continue services under a contract or seek an order requiring it to turn over records. If an affiliate providing services is inextricably intertwined with the insurer, the receiver could also seek to place the affiliate into receivership.

However, it was noted that some of these authorities and remedies may not address the immediate need to continue services in some receiverships. Despite these available remedies, receivers continue to be challenged by this issue in receivership, often resulting in significant additional legal and administrative expenses to the receivership estate.

In 2020, the Receivership Law (E) Working Group was given the charge to provide recommendations for remedies to ensure the continuity of essential services and functions to an insurer in receivership by affiliated entities, including nonregulated entities and specifically for agreements with affiliated entities whose sole business purpose is to provide services to the insurance company.

2. Name of Group Responsible for Drafting the Model and States Participating.

The Receivership Law (E) Working Group of the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force drafted the initial revisions to Model #440 and Model #450. The 2020 and 2021 members of the Subgroup were: Illinois (Co-Chair); Pennsylvania (Co-Chair); Arkansas; California; Colorado; Connecticut; Florida; Iowa; Louisiana (2021); Maine; Massachusetts; Michigan; Missouri; Nebraska; Texas; and Washington.

A drafting group was formed to draft the revisions. Members included: Florida; Illinois; Maine; Michigan; Oklahoma; Pennsylvania; and Texas.
3. Project Authorized by What Charge and Date First Given to the Group.

As described in paragraph 1 above, the initial charge prompting a review of Model #440 and Model #450 was given to the Receivership Law (E) Working Group for 2020. The Request for NAIC Model Law Development to open Model #440 and Model #450 for revision was adopted by the Executive (EX) Committee at the 2020 Summer National Meeting.

4. A General Description of the Drafting Process (e.g., drafted by a subgroup, interested parties, the full group, etc.). Include any parties outside the members that participated.

In August 2020, the Receivership Law (E) Working Group began its work to address its charge by conducting a survey of state insurance regulators and interested parties to gather feedback on possible provisions to be addressed and goals of those revisions to Model #440 and Model #450. Survey responses were received from state insurance regulators and interested parties identifying specific sections of the models and topics to be considered.

5. A General Description of the Due Process (e.g., exposure periods, public hearings, or any other means by which widespread input from industry, consumers and legislators was solicited).

On Dec. 17, 2020, the Receivership Law (E) Working Group met in open session to expose proposed amendments to Section 5A and Section 11 of Model #440 and Section 19 of #450 for a 42-day public comment period ending Jan. 29, 2021. Comments were received from Florida; the American Council of Life Insurers (ACLI); America’s Health Insurance Plans (AHIP) and the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association (BCBSA); Arbor Strategies LLC; Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP and the National Organization of Life and Health Insurance Guaranty Associations (NOLHGA); and the National Conference of Insurance Guaranty Funds (NCIGF).

On Feb. 4, 2021, the Receivership Law (E) Working Group met in open session to discuss comments received. Subsequent edits were drafted by the drafting group as discussed during the meeting. The Working Group exposed proposed revised amendments to Section 5A and Section 11 of Model #440 and Section 19 of #450 for a 14-day public comment period ending Feb. 4, 2021. Comments were received from AHIP and the BCBSA; the American Property Casualty Insurance Association (APCIA); Arbor Strategies LLC; and NOLHGA and the NCIGF.

On March 4, 2021, the Receivership Law (E) Working Group met in open session to discuss comments received. Subsequent edits were drafted as discussed during the meeting by the drafting group in coordination with the interested parties that had provided comments. The Working Group co-chairs released proposed revised amendments to Section 5A(1)(g) of Model #440 for a 30-day public comment period ending April 9, 2021. One comment letter was received from the ACLI. The ACLI’s proposed edit was accepted.

All exposures were distributed by email to members, interested state insurance regulators and interested parties of both the Receivership Law (E) Working Group and the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force and posted to the NAIC website.

All issues raised by members, interested state insurance regulators and interested parties were explained or addressed in the revisions to the original amendments.

The amendments were adopted by the Receivership Law (E) Working Group on May 4, 2021.

The amendments were adopted by the Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force on May 20, 2021.

The amendments were adopted by the Financial Condition (E) Committee on July 8, 2021.

6. A Discussion of the Significant Issues (items of some controversy raised during the due process and the group’s response).

There were no unresolved issues of real significance raised during the exposure periods. However, the following issue was considered and addressed by the Receivership Law (E) Working Group. Interested parties requested and provided draft revisions to the amendments in Section 5.A.(1)(g) regarding the requirement for a bond or deposit that limits the provision to insurers found to be in a condition of hazardous financial condition or a condition that would be grounds for supervision, conservation or a delinquency proceeding. Interested parties also provided revisions to the subsection and the accompanying drafting note that would further define and clarify the circumstances and the agreements to which the subsection could be applied. The Working Group was agreeable to these changes and accepted interested parties’ revisions.
7. **List the key provisions of the model (sections considered most essential to state adoption).**

The amendments to Model #440 are within Section 5, Standards and Management of an Insurer Within an Insurance Holding Company System, and within Model #450 Section 19, Transactions Subject to Prior Notice.

- **Section 5A(1) of Model #440**
  - Books and records of the insurer are updated to specifically include data of the insurer, being the property of the insurer. The data and records should be identifiable and capable of segregation. Essentially the data and records should be available to the receiver in the event of insolvency, including the systems necessary to access them.
  - If the commissioner deems the insurer to be in a statutorily defined hazardous financial condition, the commissioner may require a bond or deposit, limited in amount, after consideration of whether there are concerns about the affiliated party’s ability to fulfill the contract in the event of a liquidation.
  - Premiums are the property of the insurer, with any right of offset subject to receivership law.

- **Section 5A(6) of Model #440**
  - The affiliated entity is subject to jurisdiction of receivership court, and in certain circumstances the commissioner may require the affiliate to agree to this in writing.

- **Section 19 of Model #450**
  - Books and records of the insurer are updated to specifically include data of the insurer, being the property of the insurer. The data and records should be identifiable and capable of segregation. Essentially the data and records should be available to the receiver in the event of insolvency, including the systems necessary to access them. The data is specifically defined in Model #450.
  - Model #450 includes a provision relating to indemnification of the insurer in the event of gross negligence or willful misconduct by the affiliate.
  - In the event of receivership (now including supervision and conservatorship):
    - The rights of the insurer extend to the receiver or guaranty fund.
    - The affiliate will make available essential personnel.
    - The affiliate will continue the services for a minimum period of time as specified in the agreement with timely payment for post-receivership work.
    - The affiliate will maintain necessary systems, programs or infrastructure and make them available to the receiver or commissioner for as long as the affiliate receives timely post-receivership payment unless released by the receiver, commissioner or receivership court.

8. **Any Other Important Information (e.g., amending an accreditation standard).**

The Receivership and Insolvency (E) Task Force has not had formal discussions with respect to whether the current Insurance Holding Company Systems accreditation standard under the NAIC Financial Regulation Standards and Accreditation Program should be amended to include the current revisions to Model #440 and Model #450. The Task Force will consider this and make appropriate referrals prior to the 2022 Spring National Meeting.