

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Meeting Agenda
December 1, 2023**

A. Consideration of Maintenance Agenda – Pending List

1. Ref #2023-24: ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, and Other Related ASUs
2. Ref #2023-25: ASU 2023-03, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs
3. Ref #2023-26: ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update
4. Ref #2023-27: ASU 2023-04, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs—Cryptocurrency
5. Ref #2023-28: Collateral Loan Reporting
6. Ref #2023-29: IMR / AVR Preferred Stock
7. Ref #2023-30: Admissibility Requirements of Investments in Downstream Holding Companies
8. Ref #2023-31: Model 630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-24 (Wil)	ASU 2016-13, Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, and Other Related ASUs	A – Form A

Summary:

In June 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (CECL)* to change impairment and credit loss accounting guidance for U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) from an “incurred loss” methodology to an “expected loss” methodology. These changes were made primarily in response to the 2008 Great Recession in which companies were anticipating significant credit losses but were unable to record these losses as the probable threshold had not yet been met. In response to this issue, FASB established the Financial Crisis Advisory Group (FCAG) to advise FASB on improvements to financial reporting in response to the Great Recession. The main recommendation from the FCAG to FASB was to investigate improvements to impairment and credit loss guidance through the development of an alternative to the “incurred loss” methodology.

Based on this recommendation FASB developed CECL which replaces the “incurred loss” methodology and provides financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date. CECL affects all entities holding financial assets that are not accounted for at fair value through net income, including loans, debt securities, trade receivables, net investments in leases, off-balance sheet credit exposures, reinsurance recoverables and any other financial assets not specifically excluded that have the contractual right to receive cash. The expected loss methodology requires expected losses to be recognized when assets are purchased. CECL also replaced the other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) concept that has historically applied to available-for-sale (“AFS”) debt securities, now requiring impairments to be recorded as an allowance for credit losses.

The main purpose of statutory accounting principles (SAP) is to address the concerns of regulators, primarily as it relates to assessing solvency, who are the primary users of statutory financial statements. To do so, SAP stresses measurement of a company’s ability to pay claims in the future and adopts reasonably conservative principles of accounting to ensure that insurance companies’ capital and surplus is reflective of funds in excess of policyholder liabilities which are available to pay claims should the assets backing reserves become insufficient. Risk-based capital then provides a basis for evaluating the sufficiency of this capital and surplus amount in the context of a

particular company's risk-taking activities, including its exposure to credit risk. Capital requirements are calibrated to ensure sufficiency of capital even during periods of economic uncertainty and distress, within the intended level of statistical safety.

The statutory framework has long incorporated concepts that incorporate a prospective view of future credit risk that historical GAAP has not. The first is the Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR). AVR requires life insurance companies to establish a reserve to account for future impairment losses on all assets (with some minor exceptions). While this is much more formulaic than the allowance required under CECL, it is intended to accomplish the same objective. The second is that *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds* requires insurance companies that do not maintain AVR to report bonds at fair value if the bond is not considered high-quality (NAIC designations 3 to 6). While this requirement does not result in credit loss reserves, it does have a similar effect by requiring non-life companies to report lower quality bonds at fair value or convert previously highest or high-quality bonds to fair value in the event of credit quality degradation. Further, the RBC formula factors in the credit risk of each individual asset in calculating the amount of capital required to be held. These mechanisms incorporate an expectation of future credit losses. Therefore, while GAAP has just begun recognizing an expectation of future credit losses with the advent of CECL, the statutory framework has recognized and incorporated future credit loss potential for decades.

Although the statutory framework has long considered future credit losses, it is worth assessing CECL to determine whether it could introduce any improvements to the existing statutory framework if adopted. Based on the review performed, Staff does not recommend adoption of CECL for the following reasons:

- CECL is a framework that incorporates significant judgement and forecasting by the company to establish credit reserves. The assumptions and data that go into these estimates are required to be company-specific, reflecting the company's reasonable and supportable forecasts of future economic conditions. It also is required to consider current economic conditions, which results in sensitivity in the reserve to changing economic conditions. The statutory framework has historically limited insurer judgment in estimating reserves. Where judgment has been allowed, there are typically mechanisms in place to closely regulate and assess those assumptions for reasonableness. Further, loss reserves and RBC are generally set to already incorporate downside risk within a desired level of statistical safety. As the framework already incorporates an expectation of adverse experience, it is not particularly volatile with changes in economic conditions. It is intended to reflect risk through the economic cycle, not at a point in time. As a result of both the volatility and judgment involved, the CECL standard does not fit the overall design of the statutory accounting and solvency monitoring framework.
- CECL does not provide a specific method that companies must use to make expected loss estimates but is instead defined by several results-oriented principles. While this does allow companies the flexibility to adopt the forecasting process that best fits their investments and company, it also means that there will be a significant diversity in the methods used to calculate expected credit losses under CECL. Such optionality is generally not considered compatible with SAP and would also place a significant burden on regulators and examiners to assess the variety of forecasting methods utilized by insurance companies.
- The majority of insurance company investments are debt securities which are generally classified as Available for Sale (AFS) for GAAP reporting. Investments classified as AFS are held at fair value with changes in fair value recorded through other comprehensive income. The portion of the CECL standard that applies to AFS securities is markedly different than what applies to debt securities held at amortized cost. Unlike GAAP, statutory accounting requires the majority of debt securities to be held at amortized cost. As a result, using a CECL standard for statutory accounting would be significantly more expansive and impactful to a statutory balance sheet than under GAAP and would result in a significantly different application of CECL between statutory accounting and GAAP, even if the identical standard were adopted.
- CECL is a complex standard that requires companies to either develop internal models or to contract an external solution to support calculating a reserve. GAAP does allow companies to elect to hold their investments under the fair value option, in which case CECL is not required. This may be an appealing option for some insurers, particularly smaller ones that wish to avoid the operational cost of CECL. The fair value option does not exist for statutory accounting. As such, adopting CECL would likely force

insurers to incur the cost of CECL that would not otherwise be necessary for their GAAP financial statements.

- Similarly, many insurance companies do not prepare GAAP financial statements. This means that they would need to learn about and adopt CECL for the first time for their statutory financial statements if CECL were to be adopted.
- As RBC has its own methodology for incorporating credit risk, any CECL allowance would need to be reversed in the RBC formula in order to avoid double counting expected losses. This would largely eliminate any benefit of CECL to regulators’ solvency monitoring efforts.

As a result of these factors, NAIC Staff does not recommend adopting CECL for statutory accounting.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to reject ASU 2016-13, and five other ASUs issued by FASB to amend CECL, within INT 06-07: Definition of Phrase “Other Than Temporary” and fifteen applicable SSAPs which are detailed within the Form A. Additionally, a previous agenda item, Ref #2016-20, was started on this topic and last exposed for comment on Aug. 4, 2018. That agenda item was reviewed by NAIC staff, and we recommend it be formally disposed of and replaced by agenda item 2023-24.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-25 (Wil)	ASU 2023-03, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs	B – Form A

Summary:

In July of 2023 FASB issue. *SU 2023-03, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 120, SEC Staff Announcement at the March 24, 2022, EITF Meeting, and Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 6.B, Accounting Series Release 280—General Revision of Regulation S-X: Income or Loss Applicable to Common Stock*, which amends SEC paragraphs from the Accounting Standards Codification based on the issuance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 120, the March 24, 2022 EITF meeting SEC staff announcement, and SAB Topic 6.B “Accounting Series Release No. 280 — General Revision of Regulation S-X: Income or Loss Applicable to Common Stock. This ASU updates various aspects of SEC guidance on stock compensation and equity-based payments.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements* to reject ASU 2023-03 as not applicable to statutory accounting. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-26 (Wil)	ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update	C – Form A

Summary:

In August of 2023 FASB issued *ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update and Simplification Initiative*, which provide several updates to disclosures which are intended to allow users to more easily compare entities subject to the SEC’s existing disclosures with those entities that were not previously subject to the SEC’s requirements.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements to reject ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update and Simplification Initiative as not applicable to statutory accounting. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-27 (Jake)	ASU 2023-04, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs— Cryptocurrency	D – Form A

Summary:

In August 2023, FASB issued ASU 2023-04, *Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 121, which amends SEC paragraphs from the Accounting Standards Codification for the issuance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 121* which provides guidance on accounting for obligations to safeguard Crypto-Assets an entity holds for its platform users.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements to reject ASU 2023-04, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs as not applicable to statutory accounting. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are generally not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-28 (Julie)	Collateral Loan Reporting	E – Form A

Summary:

This agenda item has been developed to propose an expansion of reporting for collateral loans on Schedule BA to enable regulators the ability to quickly identify the type of collateral in support of admittance of collateral loans in scope of SSAP No. 21R—*Other Admitted Assets*. This agenda item has been drafted in response to comments that the current reporting detail on Schedule BA does not provide sufficient clarity on the type of collateral used in support of admittance of collateral loans. Furthermore, with the adoption of agenda item 2022-11, the statutory accounting guidance has been clarified that the collateral must reflect a qualifying investment, meaning that it would qualify for admittance if held directly by the insurer. This amendment further clarified that collateral that represents an investment in scope of SSAP No. 48—*Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies* or SSAP No. 97—*Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled or Affiliated Entities* is required to be audited consistent with the admittance requirements of those SSAPs.

This agenda item proposes new disclosure requirements in SSAP No. 21R for collateral loans. The new disclosure requirement is proposed to be satisfied by an expansion of the reporting on Schedule BA, so that the collateral loans are separated by the type of collateral investment that secures the loan. Additionally, a new aggregated data-captured note is proposed to identify the admitted and nonadmitted collateral loans by the type of collateral that secures the loan.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommend that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose this agenda item with proposed revisions to incorporate a new disclosure to SSAP

No. 21R, for initial reporting as of year-end 2024, and to sponsor a blanks proposal for a new data-captured disclosure and to expand the reporting lines on Schedule BA to separate collateral loans by the type of collateral that secures the loan. NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group direct a corresponding blanks proposal to allow for concurrent exposure. (NAIC staff requests comments from industry on whether any of the proposed reporting lines can be combined.)

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-29 (Julie)	IMR / AVR Preferred Stock	F – Form A

Summary:

This agenda item has been developed to update guidance for the Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR) and the Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR) in the Annual Statement Instructions for perpetual preferred stock, which includes SVO-Identified Preferred Stock ETFs. The existing IMR/AVR guidance is based on measurement of preferred stock based on NAIC designation. However, statutory accounting revisions effective in 2021 revised the measurement method for perpetual preferred stock to always reflect fair value, not to exceed any currently effective call price, regardless of NAIC designation. Furthermore, with dedicated reporting lines established to separate redeemable and perpetual preferred stock, the reporting of NAIC designations was revised and no longer references an “RP” or “P.” These revisions were incorporated as perpetual preferred stock is more akin to an equity instrument, as it is not required to be redeemed by the issuing entity or at the option of the investor. At the time of this measurement method change, corresponding revisions to the IMR/AVR instructions were not reflected. As such, the existing IMR/AVR guidance directs realized gains/loss treatment for all preferred stock based on the NAIC designation during the holding period and refers to the prior designation classifications.

This agenda item proposes to clarify that realized gains and losses on perpetual preferred stock shall not be added to the IMR, regardless of NAIC designation, and shall follow the same concepts that exist for common stock in reporting realized gains/losses to the AVR. This agenda item does not propose to change the concepts for redeemable preferred stock, which is more akin to a debt instrument, but proposes to clarify the guidance so application based on the type of structure is clear. Separate reporting of perpetual preferred stock and redeemable preferred stock is already included on Schedule D-2-1: Preferred Stock.

For the revisions proposed in this agenda item, the guidance for redeemable preferred stock will not be revised and will continue to classify realized gains/losses between the IMR and AVR based on NAIC designation. This guidance indicates that if the designation was a 4-6 at any time during the holding period, the realized gain or loss would go to AVR as non-interest related gains or losses. This agenda item does not intend to confirm that the allocation approach for redeemable preferred stock is appropriate and is strictly focused on ensuring that the current annual statement instructions for IMR/AVR corresponds with the current accounting and reporting guidance for perpetual preferred stocks. As detailed in the recommendation, discussion on whether use of NAIC designation for redeemable preferred stock is appropriate is proposed to occur as part of the long-term IMR project.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommend that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification and expose proposed revisions to the annual statement instructions to remove the guidance that directs all preferred stock to be allocated between IMR/AVR based on NAIC designation. This agenda item proposes new guidance that corresponds to the accounting and reporting differences for redeemable and perpetual preferred stock, with all perpetual preferred stock being treated as an equity instrument similar to common stock. With this approach, all unrealized gains or losses on perpetual preferred stock will reverse to realized gains or losses in the AVR formula. The revisions also clarify that SVO-Identified Preferred Stock ETFs shall be treated as perpetual preferred stock (equities) as that is consistent with the guidance in *SSAP No. 32R—Preferred Stock*.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-30 (Jason)	Admissibility Requirements of Investments in Downstream Holding Companies	G – Form A

Summary:

This agenda item is the result of regulator comments received on the existing guidance in *SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities*, paragraph 24, and is intended to update the language in paragraph 24 on audits and admissibility to better align with guidance in paragraphs 26 and 27 on the look-through methodology. The current SSAP No. 97, paragraph 24 guidance states “if the downstream noninsurance holding company does not meet the requirements of paragraph 26, audited GAAP financial statements, as described in paragraph 23, are required for the downstream noninsurance holding company and its SCA and non-SCA investments in order for the investment in the downstream noninsurance holding company to be classified as an admitted asset.”

The issue with the existing paragraph 24 guidance is that as it summarizes other guidance it could be perceived as contradicting guidance provided in paragraph 27 related to the “look through” process. This process allows admitting audited investments in entities owned by an unaudited downstream noninsurance holding company SCA entity.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *SSAP No. 97—Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities* to revise paragraph 24 language to better align it with the existing guidance provided in paragraph 27, as illustrated in the agenda item.

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-31 (Robin)	Model 630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance	H – Form A

Summary:

This agenda item addresses updates to the *Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act* (Model #630) which was adopted by NAIC Executive and Plenary in August 2023. Model #630 is excerpted in *Appendix A-630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance* which is referenced in *SSAP No. 58—Mortgage Guaranty Insurance*. In addition, SSAP No. 58 includes some excerpts from Model #630 regarding contingency reserves.

The updates to Model #630 were part of a multiyear project by the Mortgage Guaranty Insurance (E) Working Group which began in November 2012. The project originally considered updating the capital requirements for mortgage guaranty insurers, but ultimately determined to focus on updating the model law. The updates to Model #630 were primarily drafted in 2022 and 2023.

This agenda item will review the new model for potential updates to SSAP No. 58 and Appendix A-630, with a focus on accounting and reporting issues. Although the model law was expanded, most of the key accounting related provisions only have minor updates. As part of this review some of the summary conclusion, general section which is descriptive of the mortgage industry is also proposed to have minor updates.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this to the active listing and expose the intent to review Model #630 for incorporation into SSAP No. 58 and Appendix A-630 as applicable. Because there are less than ten mortgage guaranty insurers, and they are concentrated in the states of North Carolina,

Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, NAIC staff requests comments on the proposed effective date of the AP&P updates. Initial feedback indicates that the earliest that the Model #630 revisions could be applicable in the affected states would be January 1, 2025, or later. NAIC staff is hesitant to recommend adoption of revisions to the AP&P Manual prior to adoption by the primary state regulators of the mortgage guaranty insurers.

B. Consideration of Items on the Active Maintenance Agenda

1. Ref #2023-03: New C-2 Mortality Risk Note

Ref #	Title	Attachment #
2023-03 (Robin)	New C-2 Mortality Risk Note	I – Form A

Summary:

This agenda item was introduced at the 2023 Spring National Meeting to address a project of the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group, which intends to update its C-2 mortality risk charges to assign the same factors to group permanent life as individual permanent life for policies with and without pricing flexibility.

The agenda item initially proposed a new financial statement note to provide the development of net amounts at risk in the categories needed for the Life C-2 mortality risk charges. The categories are designed to create a direct link to a financial statement source, and accompanying Life RBC C-2 mortality risk updates. An annual statement blanks proposal 2023-09BWG – Add a new financial statement Note 37, was simultaneously exposed as the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group, recommended year-end 2023 as the effective date.

On May 16, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group reviewed industry comments which noted concerns with having the information in the audited notes and concerns with possible reporting redundancy. The Working Group deferred this item and referred the comments received to the Life Risk Based Capital (E) Working Group.

The prior blanks proposal of 2023-09BWG was withdrawn at the November 7, Blanks (E) Working Group meeting. It was replaced by 2023-15BWG which was developed with the input from industry and Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group staff. The replacement proposal 2023-15BWG, was exposed on November 7, recommends a general interrogatory instead of an annual statement note.

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommend moving this agenda item to the disposed listing without statutory revisions. Industry worked with the American Academy of Actuaries, NAIC staff from this Working Group, the Blanks (E) Working Group and the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group to develop a replacement proposal (2023-15BWG) which does not have any SSAP revisions.

C. Any Other Matters

- a. **Review of U.S. GAAP Exposures (Jason - Attachment J)**

The attachment details the items currently exposed by the FASB. Comments are not recommended at this time – NAIC staff recommend review of the final issued ASU under the SAP Maintenance Process as detailed in *Appendix F—Policy Statements*.

- b. **Life Actuarial (A) Task Force Coordination Memo (Robin - Attachment K)**

The memo from the Life Actuarial (A) Task Force includes a detailed listing of the amendments made to the

Valuation Manual by the Life Actuarial (A) Task Force since the **August 2022 NAIC Summer National Meeting as part of the coordination process**. The amendments were adopted by the Life Insurance and Annuities (A) Committee on. No items were identified that require Working Group action. No new or revised Models

- Revisions to two actuarial guidelines
- Other listed updates to the *Valuation Manual*

c. IAIS Audit and Accounting Working Group (AAWG Update) – (Julie)

NAIC staff are monitoring many workstreams related to climate disclosures, including application papers to Insurance Core Principles (ICP) 14 (Valuation), 15 (Investments) and 16 (Enterprise Risk Management) as well as monitoring the Climate Risk Subgroup (CRSG). NAIC staff (Julie Gann) is drafting an initial segment of a discussion paper for the CRSG focusing on climate disclosure constraints, including litigation risk and safe harbors. Broad topics planned include: 1) availability of data, 2) data quality, 3) volume/scale of disclosures, 4) public disclosure, group disclosure and individual reporting level – local perils, and 5) litigation risk and the US SEC Safe Harbor. Input from regulators and industry on themes to address can be submitted to Julie Gann by Dec. 5, 2023.

Comment Deadline for Exposures is **Feb. 9, 2024**, for all exposures except **agenda item 2019-21** (SSAP No. 21R for revisions addressing non-bond debt securities and guidance for residual interests) **which has a comment deadline of Jan. 22, 2024**.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/0-12-2023SAPWGMeetingAgenda.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: ASU 2016-13 Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue: In June 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued *ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments (CECL)* to change impairment and credit loss United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) guidance from an “incurred loss” methodology to an “expected loss” methodology. These changes were made primarily in response to the 2008 Great Recession in which companies were anticipating significant credit losses but were unable to record these losses as the probable threshold had not yet been met. In response to this issue, FASB established the Financial Crisis Advisory Group (FCAG) to advise FASB on improvements to financial reporting in response to the Great Recession. The main recommendation from the FCAG to FASB was to investigate improvements to impairment and credit loss guidance through the development of an alternative to the “incurred loss” methodology. Based on this recommendation FASB developed CECL which replaces the “incurred loss” methodology and provides financial statement users with more decision-useful information about the expected credit losses on financial instruments and other commitments to extend credit held by a reporting entity at each reporting date. CECL affects all entities holding financial assets that are not accounted for at fair value through net income, including loans, debt securities, trade receivables, net investments in leases, off-balance sheet credit exposures, reinsurance recoverables and any other financial assets not specifically excluded that have the contractual right to receive cash. The impact from applying CECL is anticipated to vary by reporting entity in accordance with the credit quality of assets held and how they apply current GAAP.

One significant difference between previous GAAP and CECL is that the impairment guidance for in-scope assets were superseded and replaced with credit loss guidance under *Topic 326—Financial Instruments—Credit Losses*. Beyond consolidating new credit loss guidance into a single topic, CECL fundamentally changed the methodology for calculating and recording credit losses by replacing the incurred credit loss model with the expected credit loss model, which requires expected losses to be assessed and recorded at the onset of the acquisition of in-scope assets. This requirement is applicable for all in-scope assets unless management assesses that the asset represents a zero-risk transaction, U.S. Treasuries for example. As a result, the calculation of a credit loss allowance is now required for many assets which previously would have only recorded a credit loss allowance once it has occurred, or the probable threshold had been met. The asset categories scoped into the new CECL credit loss guidance are as follows:

- Financing Receivables
- Receivables from Sales-Type or Direct Finance Leases
- Related Party Accounts and Loans Receivable, excluding related parties under common control.
- All financial instruments held at Amortized Cost (categorized as Held to Maturity under GAAP), excluding purchased financial assets with credit deterioration (PCDs).
 - Includes but is not limited to debt securities, trade and time-share receivables, contract assets, and reinsurance recoverables.
- Off-balance-sheet credit exposures not accounted for as insurance.
 - Includes but is not limited to loan commitments, forward commitments to purchase loans, letters of credit, and financial guarantees.
- Cash Equivalents

While CECL does require the accrual of an allowance on expected credit losses, it does not require a specific evaluation method but rather adopts a principles-based approach which allows for any kind of credit loss evaluation if the end product of the evaluation meets certain defined criteria. Additionally, assets with similar risk profiles may be evaluated for expected credit losses collectively but these risk profiles must be assessed annually to determine if they remain similar. Note that Available for Sale securities are excluded from the expected credit loss methodology but are instead required to utilize a modified other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) model detailed in Topic 326-30. The following information summarizes the key information on the accounting and reporting of credit losses under Topic 326:

Overview of CECL Concepts:

Accounting guidance is divided into securities reported at amortized cost (includes investment held at Held-to-Maturity, or HTM), and debt securities reported as available for sale (AFS), which reports fair value through OCI. The following reflects high-level concepts from CECL:

Amortized Cost Securities:

1. Allowance for credit losses is a valuation accounting that is deducted from the amortized cost basis of the financial assets to present the net amount expected to be collected on the financial assets. Net income is adjusted to reflect the allowance for credit losses based on the current expected estimate. The allowance shall be reported at each reporting date. Changes from current estimates shall be compared to estimates previously reported, with adjustments reflected in net income.
2. The entity shall measure credit losses on a collective basis when similar risk characteristics exist. If a financial asset does not share risk characteristics with other assets, the entity shall evaluate the asset on an individual basis. (Should not include individual and collective assessments on the same asset.)
3. The entity shall estimate expected credit losses over the contractual terms of the financial assets, considering prepayments. However, it shall not extend the contractual term for expected extensions, renewals, and modifications unless there is a reasonable expectation of executing a troubled debt restructuring.
4. When developing an estimate, the entity shall consider available information relevant to assessing collectability of cash flows. This may include internal information, external information, or a combination of past events, current conditions and reasonable and supportable forecasts. (Internal information may be determined sufficient.)
5. Historical credit loss information for assets with similar characteristics generally provides a basis for expected losses, but entities shall not rely solely on past events to estimate expected credit losses. When using historical information, the entity shall consider the need to adjust for management expectations about current conditions and reasonable and supported forecasts that differ from the historical period.
6. Estimate of expected credit losses shall include a measure of the expected risk of credit loss even if that risk is remote. However, entities are not required to measure expected credit losses when the expectation of nonpayment of the amortized cost basis is zero.
7. Estimate of expected credit losses shall reflect how credit enhancements (other than freestanding contracts) mitigate expected credit losses. However, freestanding contracts shall not be used to offset expected losses.
8. Assets purchased with existing credit deterioration are initially reported at the purchase price plus the allowance for credit losses to determine the initial amortized cost basis. Any noncredit discount or premium shall be allocated to each individual asset. At the acquisition date, the initial allowance for credits losses

determined on a collective basis shall be allocated to individual assets to appropriately allocate any noncredit discount or premium.

9. For collateral-dependent financial assets, entities shall measure expected credit losses based on the fair value of the collateral when the entity determines that foreclosure is probable. The entity may expect credit losses of zero when the fair value (less costs to sell) of the collateral at the reporting date is equal to or exceeds the amortized cost basis of the financial asset. If the collateral is less than the amortized cost basis, an entity shall recognize an allowance for credit losses as the difference between the collateral fair value and the amortized cost of the asset.
10. In the period when financial assets are deemed uncollectible, they shall be written off with a deduction from the allowance.
11. Detailed disclosures are included to enable users to understand: 1) credit risk inherent in a portfolio and how management monitors credit quality of a portfolio; 2) management's estimate of expected credit losses; and 3) changes in the estimate of expected credit losses that have occurred during the period. These disclosures include a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses and a reconciliation of the purchase price for assets purchased with credit deterioration.
12. Noted examples are included for collateral-dependent financial assets (real estate loans), assets with collateral maintenance provisions (reverse-repurchase agreements), and HTM debt securities when potential default is greater than zero, but expected nonpayment is zero (Treasury Securities).

Available-for-Sale Debt Securities

13. Investment is impaired if the fair value of the investment is less than amortized cost basis.
14. For individual AFS debt securities, the entity shall determine whether a decline in fair value below the amortized cost basis has resulted from a credit loss or other factors. Impairments related to credit losses shall be recorded through an allowance for credit losses. However, the allowance shall be limited by the amount that the fair value is less than the amortized cost basis.
15. At each reporting date, the entity shall record an allowance for credit losses that reflects the amount of impairment related to credit losses, limited by the fair value floor. Changes in the allowance shall be recorded in the period of the change as a credit loss expense (or reversal of credit loss expense).
16. Impairment shall be assessed at the individual security level. For example, debt securities bearing the same CUSIP – even if purchased in separate lots – may be aggregated by a reporting entity on an average cost basis if that corresponds to the basis used to measure realized or unrealized gains and losses for the debt securities. Providing a general allowance for an unidentified investment in a portfolio of debt securities is not appropriate.
17. In assessing whether a credit loss exists, an entity shall compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If the present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, a credit loss exists and an allowance for credit losses shall be recorded for the credit loss, limited by the amount that the fair value is less than amortized cost basis. Credit losses on an impaired security shall continue to be measured using the present value of expected future cash flows. (Entity would discount the expected cash flows at the effective interest risk implicit in the security at the date of acquisition.)
18. Estimates of expected future cash flows shall be on the entity's best estimate based on past events, current conditions and on reasonable and supportable forecasts.

19. If the entity intends to sell, or if more-likely-than-not will be required to sell before recovery of the amortized cost basis, any allowance for credit losses shall be written off and the amortized cost basis shall be written down to the debt security's fair value at the reporting date with any incremental impairment reflected in earnings.
20. Entities shall reassess the credit losses each reporting period when there is an allowance for credit losses. Subsequent changes shall be recorded in the allowance for credit losses, with a corresponding adjustment in the credit loss expense. Entities are not permitted to reverse a previously recorded allowance for credit losses to an amount below zero.
21. Once an AFS debt security has been written down, the previous amortized cost basis less write-offs, including noncredit related impairment reported in earnings, shall become the new amortized cost basis, and shall not be adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value.
22. For AFS debt securities for which impairments were reported in earnings as a write-off because of an intent to sell or a more-likely-than-not requirement to sell, the difference between the new amortized cost basis and the cash flows expected to be collected shall be accreted as interest income. Over the life of the security, continue to estimate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected. For all other AFS debt securities, if there is a significant increase in the cash flows expected to be collected or if actual cash flows are significantly greater than cash flows previously expected, those changes shall be accounted for as a prospective adjustment to the yield. Subsequent increases in fair value after the write-down shall be included in other comprehensive income.
23. These AFS debt securities shall be presented on the balance sheet at fair value, with parenthetical presentation of the amortized cost and the allowance for credit losses. The allowance for credit losses shall be separately presented as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.
24. Detailed disclosures are included to allow users to understand: 1) credit risk inherent in AFS debt securities; 2) management's estimate of credit losses; and 3) changes in the estimate of credit losses that have taken place during the period. These disclosures include detailed information for situations in which AFS securities are in an unrealized loss position, but the entity has reached a conclusion that an allowance for credit losses is unnecessary. Other key disclosures include the methodology and significant inputs used to measure credit loss, a rollforward of the allowance for credit losses, and a reconciliation of purchased financial assets with credit deterioration.
25. Noted examples are included for AFS debt securities in an unrealized loss position for which no credit losses are reported (situations include Treasury Securities, Federal Agency MBS, and Corporate Bonds).

Additionally, CECL would make changes to how companies account for off-balance sheet credit exposures. Traditionally, most credit exposures have had no financial impact outside of disclosures until the probable threshold has been met. However, as credit exposures are within the scope of CECL entities will likely be required to assess and accrue a credit loss allowance at the inception of the credit exposure.

CECL also includes revisions to various other elements of the FASB Codification – Contingencies, Guarantees, Troubled Debt Restructuring, Revenue, Business Combinations, Consolidation, Derivatives, Fair Value Measurement, Foreign Currency Transactions, Leases, Transfer and Servicing, Insurance, Financial Guarantee Contracts, & Health Care Entities. Staff will evaluate these changes in detail, and if these revisions are applicable to SAP, as CECL is considered.

Subsequent Revisions:

Several ASUs have been issued after CECL to provide clarification and improvements to the guidance in ASC Topic 326. Note that references to CECL are inclusive of these subsequent revisions. For the discussion at the 2023 Fall National Meeting, NAIC staff will include the following ASUs:

- *ASU 2018-19, Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses*, amends CECL guidance by providing clarification on two specific issues.
 - Issue 1 amended the transition date effective for nonpublic entities from 2020 to 2021 year-end.
 - Issue 2 clarifies that receivables from operating leases are not within the scope of CECL and should be accounted for in accordance with Topic 820.
- *ASU 2019-04, Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825*, addresses several topics, which are further disaggregated by issue, intended to clarify or correct the original CECL guidance. The Topics are numbered from 1-5 with several individual issues addressed within each Topic.
 - Topic 1 provides clarifications on accrued interest, transfers between categories/classifications of loans and debt securities, and recoveries on previously written off financial assets.
 - Topic 2 corrects cross-references, clarifies that reinsurance recoverables are within the scope of CECL, and provides methodological clarifications in several areas involving the calculation of credit loss reserves (see Issues 2D through 2F).
 - Topic 3 provides clarifications and corrections on several issues involving Fair Value Hedges and Hedge Accounting and clarifies that non-profit organizations that do not separately report earnings may not adopt the amortization approach as detailed for fair value hedging.
 - Topic 4 clarifies that Health and Welfare Benefit plans are not within the scope of CECL, that the scope of certain disclosures is limited to only public entities and clarifies guidance on alternative to fair value valuations.
 - Topic 5 clarifies the presentation of line of credit converted to debt items and whether entities should consider extension or renewals when calculating contract terms.
- *ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842)*, amends the effective date for various ASUs. The transition date for CECL was moved to December 15, 2022, for all entities other than public SEC filers.
- *ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses*, addresses five issues identified with CECL.
 - Issues 1 and 2 involve clarifications and additional guidance on assets purchased with credit deterioration.
 - Issue 3 extends the disclosure relief detailed in ASU 2019-04 to additional disclosures on accrued interest receivables.
 - Issue 4 provides clarifications on CECL assessments which involve financial assets secured by collateral maintenance provisions.
 - Issue 5 corrects a cross-reference error.
- *ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments*, addresses several issues identified with CECL.
 - Issue 1 clarifies that all entities are required to provide the fair value option disclosures.
 - Issue 2 corrects certain paragraphs in Topic 820 to include the phrase nonfinancial items accounted for as derivatives under Topic 815 to be consistent with the previous amendments.
 - Issue 3 clarifies that the disclosure requirements in Topic 320 apply to the disclosure requirements in Topic 942 for depository and lending institutions.
 - Issues 4 and 5 correct and enhance various cross-references.
 - Issue 6 clarifies the correct contractual term used to measure the net investment in a lease.
 - Issue 7 clarifies that when an entity regains control of financial assets sold, an allowance for credit losses should be recorded in accordance with Topic 326.

Staff Analysis:

The main purpose of statutory accounting principles (SAP) is to address the concerns of regulators, primarily as it relates to assessing solvency, who are the primary users of statutory financial statements. To do so, SAP stresses measurement of a company's ability to pay claims in the future and adopts reasonably conservative principles of accounting to ensure that insurance companies' capital and surplus is reflective of funds in excess of policyholder liabilities which are available to pay claims should the assets backing reserves become insufficient. Risk-based capital then provides a basis for evaluating the sufficiency of this capital and surplus amount in the context of a particular company's risk-taking activities, including its exposure to credit risk. Capital requirements are calibrated to ensure sufficiency of capital even during periods of economic uncertainty and distress, within the intended level of statistical safety.

The statutory framework has long incorporated concepts that incorporate a prospective view of future credit risk that historical GAAP has not. The first is the Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR). AVR requires life insurance companies to establish a reserve to account for future impairment losses on all assets (with some minor exceptions). While this is much more formulaic than the allowance required under CECL, it is intended to accomplish the same objective. The second is that SSAP No. 26R—Bonds requires insurance companies that do not maintain AVR to report bonds at fair value if the bond is not considered high-quality (NAIC designations 3 to 6). While this requirement does not result in credit loss reserves, it does have a similar effect by requiring non-life companies to report lower quality bonds at fair value or convert previously highest or high-quality bonds to fair value in the event of credit quality degradation. Further, the RBC formula factors in the credit risk of each individual asset in calculating the amount of capital required to be held. These mechanisms incorporate an expectation of future credit losses. Therefore, while GAAP has just begun recognizing an expectation of future credit losses with the advent of CECL, the statutory framework has recognized and incorporated future credit loss potential for decades.

Although the statutory framework has long considered future credit losses, it is worth assessing CECL to determine whether it could introduce any improvements to the existing statutory framework if adopted. Based on the review performed, Staff does not recommend adoption of CECL for the following reasons:

- CECL is a framework that incorporates significant judgement and forecasting by the company to establish credit reserves. The assumptions and data that go into these estimates are required to be company-specific, reflecting the company's reasonable and supportable forecasts of future economic conditions. It also is required to consider current economic conditions, which results in sensitivity in the reserve to changing economic conditions. The statutory framework has historically limited insurer judgment in estimating reserves. Where judgment has been allowed, there are typically mechanisms in place to closely regulate and assess those assumptions for reasonableness. Further, loss reserves and RBC are generally set to already incorporate downside risk within a desired level of statistical safety. As the framework already incorporates an expectation of adverse experience, it is not particularly volatile with changes in economic conditions. It is intended to reflect risk through the economic cycle, not at a point in time. As a result of both the volatility and judgment involved, the CECL standard does not fit the overall design of the statutory accounting and solvency monitoring framework.
- CECL does not provide a specific method that companies must use to make expected loss estimates but is instead defined by several results-oriented principles. While this does allow companies the flexibility to adopt the forecasting process that best fits their investments and company, it also means that there will be a significant diversity in the methods used to calculate expected credit losses under CECL. Such optionality is generally not considered compatible with SAP and would also place a significant burden on regulators and examiners to assess the variety of forecasting methods utilized by insurance companies.
- The majority of insurance company investments are debt securities which are generally classified as Available for Sale (AFS) for GAAP reporting. Investments classified as AFS are held at fair value with changes in fair value recorded through other comprehensive income. The portion of the CECL standard that applies to AFS securities is markedly different than what applies to debt securities held at amortized cost. Unlike GAAP, statutory accounting requires the majority of debt securities to be held at amortized cost. As a result, using a CECL standard for statutory accounting would be significantly more expansive and

impactful to a statutory balance sheet than under GAAP and would result in a significantly different application of CECL between statutory accounting and GAAP, even if the identical standard were adopted.

- CECL is a complex standard that requires companies to either develop internal models or to contract an external solution to support calculating a reserve. GAAP does allow companies to elect to hold their investments under the fair value option, in which case CECL is not required. This may be an appealing option for some insurers, particularly smaller ones that wish to avoid the operational cost of CECL. The fair value option does not exist for statutory accounting. As such, adopting CECL would likely force insurers to incur the cost of CECL that would not otherwise be necessary for their GAAP financial statements.
- Similarly, many insurance companies do not prepare GAAP financial statements. This means that they would need to learn about and adopt CECL for the first time for their statutory financial statements if CECL were to be adopted.
- As RBC has its own methodology for incorporating credit risk, any CECL allowance would need to be reversed in the RBC formula in order to avoid double counting expected losses. This would largely eliminate any benefit of CECL to regulators' solvency monitoring efforts.

As a result of these factors, NAIC Staff does not recommend adopting CECL for statutory accounting.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

Existing SAP guidance has predominantly adopted (or adopted with modification) GAAP guidance pertaining to other-than-temporary impairment. However, the adopted guidance, although coming from GAAP, does not reflect GAAP concepts for similar securities. For example, the guidance in *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds* reflects concepts from GAAP applicable for receivables and loans (e.g., it is probable that the entity will be unable to collect all amounts due accordingly to the contractual terms.) The guidance in *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities* is more comparable to current GAAP concepts applicable for both HTM and AFS debt securities (e.g., assessment of whether an entity will recover the amortized cost basis based on a review of the present value of cash flows.)

The GAAP categories for debt securities have previously been rejected for statutory accounting. As such, SAP does not include the classifications of “Held-to-Maturity,” “Available-for-Sale” or “Trading” for debt securities. All debt securities are captured within SSAP No. 26R or SSAP No. 43R and reported at either amortized cost, or the lower of amortized cost or fair value, based on NAIC designation.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

INT 06-07: Definition of the Phrase “Other Than Temporary” – This INT reflects the adoption with modification of *FSP FAS 115-1/124-1: The Meaning of Other Than Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. **This FSP was subsequently included in the FASB Codification in ASC 320-10 and ASC 326-30 and this ASC guidance has been deleted (or significantly revised) with the issuance of ASU 2016-13.** (This INT has not been duplicated in this agenda item.)

Preamble – This guidance reflects some of the core principles of statutory accounting as it pertains to the Staff Analysis detailed above:

19. SAP is conservative in some respects but not unreasonably conservative over the span of economic cycles, or in recognition of the primary statutory responsibility to regulate for financial solvency. SAP attempts to determine at the financial statement date an insurer's ability to satisfy its obligations to its policyholders and creditors.

33. Conservative valuation procedures provide protection to policyholders against adverse fluctuations in financial condition or operating results. Statutory accounting should be reasonably conservative over the span of economic cycles and in recognition of the primary responsibility to regulate for financial solvency. Valuation procedures should, to the extent possible, prevent sharp fluctuations in surplus.

SSAP No. 26R, Paragraphs 12-13 – This guidance reflects adoption of *FSP FAS 115-1/124-1: The Meaning of Other Than Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*. **This FSP was subsequently included in the FASB Codification in ASC 320-10 and ASC 326-30 and this ASC guidance has been deleted (or significantly revised) with the issuance of ASU 2016-13.**

13. An other-than-temporary^(INT 06-07) impairment shall be considered to have occurred if it is probable that the reporting entity will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of a debt security in effect at the date of acquisition.¹ A decline in fair value which is other-than-temporary includes situations where a reporting entity has made a decision to sell a security prior to its maturity at an amount below its carrying value. If it is determined that a decline in the fair value of a bond is other-than-temporary, an impairment loss shall be recognized as a realized loss equal to the entire difference between the bond's carrying value and its fair value at the balance sheet date of the reporting period for which the assessment is made. The measurement of the impairment loss shall not include partial recoveries of fair value subsequent to the balance sheet date. For reporting entities required to maintain an AVR/IMR, the accounting for the entire amount of the realized capital loss shall be in accordance with SSAP No. 7. The other-than-temporary impairment loss shall be recorded entirely to either AVR or IMR (and not bifurcated between credit and non-credit components) in accordance with the annual statement instructions.

14. In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment loss for a bond, the reporting entity shall account for the other-than-temporarily impaired security as if the security had been purchased on the measurement date of the other-than-temporary impairment. The fair value of the bond on the measurement date shall become the new cost basis of the bond and the new cost basis shall not be adjusted for subsequent recoveries in fair value. The discount or reduced premium recorded for the security, based on the new cost basis, shall be amortized over the remaining life of the security in the prospective manner based on the amount and timing of future estimated cash flows. The security shall continue to be subject to impairment analysis for each subsequent reporting period. Future declines in fair value which are determined to be other-than temporary shall be recorded as realized losses.

SSAP No. 43R, Paragraphs 12-13 – This guidance reflects concepts included within *FSP FAS 115-1/124-1: The Meaning of Other Than Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, as well the adoption of *EITF 99-20, Exchange of Interest-Only and Principal-Only Securities for a Mortgage-Backed Security*, and *FSP ETIF 99-20-1, Amendments to the Impairment Guidance of ETIF Issue 99-20*. **The guidance reflected from this FSP was included in ASC 310-20, 325-40, and 326-30 and has been deleted or significantly revised with the issuance of ASU 2016-13:**

Collection of All Contractual Cashflows is Not Probable

19. The following guidance applies to loan-backed and structured securities with evidence of deterioration of credit quality since origination for which it is probable, either known at acquisition or identified during the holding period, that the investor will be unable to collect all contractually required payments receivable, except for those beneficial interests that are not of high credit quality or can contractually be prepaid or otherwise settled in such a way that the reporting entity would not recover substantially all of its recorded amount determined at acquisition (see paragraphs 22-25).

20. The reporting entity shall recognize the excess of all cash flows expected at acquisition over the investor's initial investment in the loan-backed or structured security as interest income on an effective-yield basis over the life of the loan-backed or structured security (accretable yield). Any excess of contractually required cash flows over the cash flows expected to be collected is the nonaccretable difference. Expected prepayments shall be treated consistently for determining cash flows expected to be

¹ If a bond has been modified from original acquisition, the guidance in *SSAP No. 36—Troubled Debt Restructuring* and paragraph 22 of *SSAP No. 103R—Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* shall be followed, as applicable. After modification of original terms, future assessments to determine other-than-temporary impairment shall be based on the modified contractual terms of the debt instrument.

collected and projections of contractual cash flows such that the nonaccretable difference is not affected. Similarly, the difference between actual prepayments and expected prepayments shall not affect the nonaccretable difference.

21. An investor shall continue to estimate cash flows expected to be collected over the life of the loan-backed or structured security. If, upon subsequent evaluation:

- a. The fair value of the loan-backed or structured security has declined below its amortized cost basis, an entity shall determine whether the decline is other than temporary ^(INT 06-07). For example, if, based on current information and events, there is a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected (that is, the investor is unable to collect all cash flows expected at acquisition plus any additional cash flows expected to be collected arising from changes in estimate after acquisition (in accordance with paragraph 19.b.), an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. The investor shall consider both the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be collected in making a determination about whether there has been a decrease in cash flows expected to be collected.
- b. Based on current information and events, if there is a significant increase in cash flows previously expected to be collected or if actual cash flows are significantly greater than cash flows previously expected, the investor shall recalculate the amount of accretable yield for the loan-backed or structured security as the excess of the revised cash flows expected to be collected over the sum of (1) the initial investment less (2) cash collected less (3) other-than-temporary impairments plus (4) amount of yield accreted to date. The investor shall adjust the amount of accretable yield by reclassification from nonaccretable difference. The adjustment shall be accounted for as a change in estimate in conformity with *SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors* (SSAP No. 3), with the amount of periodic accretion adjusted over the remaining life of the loan-backed or structured security (prospective method).

Unrealized Gains and Losses and Impairment Guidance

29. For reporting entities required to maintain an AVR, the accounting for unrealized gains and losses shall be in accordance with paragraph 36 of this statement. For reporting entities not required to maintain an AVR, unrealized gains and losses shall be recorded as a direct credit or charge to unassigned funds (surplus).

30. The application of this reporting requirement resulting from NAIC designation (i.e., lower of cost or fair value) is not a substitute for other-than-temporary impairment recognition (paragraphs 33-37). For securities reported at fair value where an other-than-temporary impairment has been determined to have occurred, the realized loss recognized from the other-than-temporary impairment shall first be applied towards the realization of any unrealized losses previously recorded as a result of fluctuations in the security's fair value due to the reporting requirements. After the recognition of the other-than-temporary impairment, the security shall continue to report unrealized gains and losses as a result of fluctuations in fair value.

31. If the fair value of a loan-backed or structured security is less than its amortized cost basis at the balance sheet date, an entity shall assess whether the impairment is other than temporary. Amortized cost basis includes adjustments made to the cost of an investment for accretion, amortization, collection of cash, previous other-than-temporary impairments recognized as a realized loss.

32. If an entity intends to sell the loan-backed or structured security (that is, it has decided to sell the security), an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred.

33. If an entity does not intend to sell the loan-backed or structured security, the entity shall assess whether it has the intent and ability² to retain the investment in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis. If the entity does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for the time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred.

34. If the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security, the entity would be unable to assert that it will recover its amortized cost basis even if it does not intend to sell the security and the entity has the intent and ability to hold. Therefore, in those situations, an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. (For mortgage-referenced securities, an OTTI is considered to have occurred when there has been a delinquency or other credit event in the referenced pool of mortgages such that the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security.) In assessing whether the entire amortized cost basis of the security will be recovered, an entity shall compare the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from the security with the amortized cost basis of the security. If present value of cash flows expected to be collected is less than the amortized cost basis of the security, the entire amortized cost basis of the security will not be recovered (that is, a non-interest related decline³ exists), and an other-than-temporary impairment shall be considered to have occurred. A decrease in cashflows expected to be collected on a loan-backed or structured security that results from an increase in prepayments on the underlying assets shall be considered in the estimate of the present value of cashflows expected to be collected.

35. In determining whether a non-interest related decline exists, an entity shall calculate the present value of cash flows expected to be collected based on an estimate of the expected future cash flows of the impaired loan-backed or structured security, discounted at the security's effective interest rate.

- a. For securities accounted for under paragraphs 14-18 – the effective interest rate of the loan-backed or structured security is the rate of return implicit in the security (that is, the contractual interest rate adjusted for any net deferred fees or costs, premium, or discount existing at the origination or acquisition of the security).
- b. For securities accounted for under paragraphs 19-21 – the effective interest rate is the rate implicit immediately prior to the recognition of the other-than-temporary impairment.
- c. For securities accounted for under paragraphs 22-25 – the reporting entity shall apply the guidance in paragraph 24.b.

36. When an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred because the entity intends to sell the security or has assessed that they do not have the intent and ability to retain the investments in the security for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized in earnings as a realized loss shall equal the entire difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and its fair value at the balance sheet date. (This guidance includes loan-backed and structured securities previously held at lower of cost or market. For these securities, upon recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, unrealized losses would be considered realized.)

37. When an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred because the entity does not expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security even if the entity has no intent to sell and the entity has the intent and ability to hold, the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment recognized as a realized loss shall equal the difference between the investment's amortized cost basis and the present

² This assessment shall be considered a high standard due to the accounting measurement method established for the securities within the scope of this statement (amortized cost).

³ A non-interest related decline is a decline in value due to fundamental credit problems of the issuer. Fundamental credit problems exist with the issuer when there is evidence of financial difficulty that may result in the issuer being unable to pay principal or interest when due. An interest related decline in value may be due to both increases in the risk-free interest rate and general credit spread widening.

value of cash flows expected to be collected, discounted at the loan-backed or structured security's effective interest rate in accordance with paragraph 35. (This guidance includes loan-backed and structured securities previously held at lower of cost or market. For these securities, upon recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment, unrealized losses would be considered realized for the non-interest related decline. Hence, unrealized losses could continue to be reflected for these securities due to the reporting requirements.)

Reinsurance recoverables are explicitly included in the scope of the new CECL guidance, but only for *expected losses related to the credit risk of the reinsurer/assuming company* (326-20-55-82). The current existing statutory accounting guidance does not include the concept of reserving for expected credit losses. It should be noted that while not related to creditworthiness, *SSAP No. 62R—Property and Casualty Reinsurance* does include the concept of the provision for reinsurance, which is more focused on known overdue/uncollectible reinsurance and does not take the creditworthiness of the reinsurer into the calculation. However, impairment analysis is required for reinsurance balances in both *SSAP No. 61—Life, Deposit -Type and Accident and Health Reinsurance* and *SSAP No. 62R*.

Multiple other SSAPs are impacted by the updated guidance, and NAIC Staff has prepared tables in Exhibit 1 which provide detailed summarizations of the updates made by CECL.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

The following ASUs were issued after CECL as clarifications and improvements to the guidance in ASC Topic 326 but have already been addressed for statutory accounting purposes by the Working Group:

- *ASU 2019-05 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)—Targeted Transition Relief* was assessed and rejected for statutory accounting purposes by the Working Group. For further details see Agenda Item 2019-28.
- *ASU 2022-02 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326) Troubled Debt Restructurings and Vintage Disclosures* was assessed and rejected for statutory accounting purposes by the Working Group. For further details see Agenda Item 2022-10.
- *ASU 2022-01 Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815) Fair Value Hedging—Portfolio Layer Method* was assessed and adopted with modification for statutory accounting purposes by the Working Group. For further details see Agenda Item 2022-09.

Agenda item 2016-20 was started on CECL and last exposed for comment on August 4, 2018.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
None.

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):

The credit losses project began as a joint project with the IASB, but the Boards determined that convergence was not possible in 2012 due to the differing needs of their respective stakeholder groups. The IASB issued *IFRS 9, Financial Instruments* in July 2014. The FASB and IASB both sought to respond to concerns identified pertaining to the delayed recognition of credit losses; however, the IASB's stakeholders strongly preferred an impairment model that uses a dual measurement approach, while U.S. stakeholders strongly preferred the current expected credit loss model.

The main difference between ASU 2016-13 and IFRS 9 relates to the timing of recognition of expected losses. The ASU requires that the full amount of expected credit losses be recorded for all financial assets measured at amortized cost, whereas IFRS 9 requires an allowance for credit losses equal to 12 months of expected credit losses until there is a significant increase in credit risk, at which point lifetime expected losses are recognized. Consequently, the

allowance for credit losses as measured and recorded under the ASU will be accounted for differently under GAAP than under IFRS and will have a different effect on the financial statements.

Staff Recommendation:

Based on the Staff Analysis detailed on Pages 6-7, Staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to reject *ASU 2016-13 Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments* and other related ASUs (see “Subsequent Revisions” on page 5) within the following SSAPs:

- *SSAP 2R—Cash, Cash Equivalents, Drafts and Short-Term Investments*
- *SSAP 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets*
- *SSAP 22R—Leases*
- *SSAP 26R—Bonds*
- *SSAP 32R—Preferred Stock*
- *SSAP 34—Investment Income Due and Accrued*
- *SSAP 37—Mortgage Loans*
- *SSAP 39—Reverse Mortgages*
- *SSAP 41R—Surplus Notes*
- *SSAP 43R—Loan and Asset Backed Securities*
- *SSAP 61R—Life, Deposit-Type and Accident and Health Reinsurance*
- *SSAP 62R—Property and Casualty Reinsurance*
- *SSAP 86—Derivatives*
- *SSAP 103R—Transfer/Service of Financial Assets*
- *SSAP 105R—Working Capital Finance Investments*
- *INT 06-07: INT 06-07: Definition of the Phrase “Other Than Temporary*

Staff also recommends modifying *INT 06-07: Definition of Phrase “Other Than Temporary”* to clarify that companies should adhere to the impairment guidance detailed within the SSAPs, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to the FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13.

Agenda item 2016-20 was started on CECL and last exposed for comment on August 4, 2018. Agenda item 2016-20 was reviewed by NAIC Staff, and we recommend it be formally disposed and replaced by this new agenda item.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 2R—Cash, Cash Equivalents, Drafts and Short-Term Investments

Relevant Literature

21. This statement rejects *ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments*. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets

43. This statement rejects *ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial*

Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 22R—Leases

53. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 26R—Bonds

33. This statement rejects the GAAP guidance for debt securities, which is contained in *ASU 2020-08, Codification Improvements to Subtopic 310-20, Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs*, *ASU 2018-03, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, *ASU 2017-08, Premium Amortization on Purchased Callable Debt Securities*, *ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall*, *FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities*, *FASB Statement No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases*, *FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 89-18, Divestitures of Certain Investment Securities to an Unregulated Commonly Controlled Entity under FIRREA*, and *FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 96-10, Impact of Certain Transactions on Held-to-Maturity Classifications Under FASB Statement No. 115*. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 32R—Preferred Stock

21. This statement rejects *ASU 2018-03, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, *ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall*, *FASB Statement No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* and *FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 86-32, Early Extinguishment of a Subsidiary’s Mandatorily Redeemable Preferred Stock*. This statement adopts *FASB Staff Position 115-1/124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments*, paragraph 16, with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 34—Investment Income Due and Accrued

9. This statement adopts FASB Staff Position 115-1/124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments, paragraph 16, with modification to be consistent with statutory language in the respective statutory accounting statements. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 37—Mortgage Loans

31. This statement rejects *FASB Statement No. 91, Accounting for Nonrefundable Fees and Costs Associated with Originating or Acquiring Loans and Initial Direct Costs of Leases, FASB Emerging Issues Task Force No. 88-17, Accounting for Fees and Costs Associated with Loan Syndications and Loan Participations, and AICPA Practice Bulletin 6, Amortization of Discounts on Certain Acquired Loans.* This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 39—Reverse Mortgages

Relevant Literature

17. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 41R—Surplus Notes

22. This statement rejects AICPA Practice Bulletin No. 15, Accounting by the Issuer of Surplus Notes, which requires surplus notes to be accounted for as debt and that interest be accrued over the life of the surplus note, irrespective of the approval of interest and principal payments by the insurance commissioner. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities

58. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial

Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 61R—Life, Deposit-Type and Accident and Health Reinsurance

88. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 62R—Property and Casualty Reinsurance

129. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 86—Derivatives

73. This statement rejects 2020-06, Debt—Debt with Conversion and Other Options (Subtopic 470-20) and Derivatives and Hedging—Contracts in Entity’s Own Equity (Subtopic 815-40), Accounting for Convertible Instruments and Contracts in an Entity’s Own Equity, ASU 2020-01, Investments—Equity Securities (Topic 321), Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), and Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), Clarifying the Interactions between Topic 321, Topic 323 and Topic 815, ASU 2018-03, Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, and ASU 2016-03, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other, Business Combinations, Consolidation, Derivatives and Hedging. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB’s issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 103R—Transfer/Service of Financial Assets

134. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments. Companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory

accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB's issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 105R—Working Capital Finance Investments

Relevant Literature

32. This statement rejects ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, 825, ASU 2019-10 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326), Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815), and Leases (Topic 842), ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses, and ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments.

Proposed Revisions to INT 06-07: Definition of Phrase “Other Than Temporary”

INT 06-07 Discussion

13. On xx/xx/2024, the Working Group rejected ASU 2016-13 Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments and other related ASUs. As a result, companies should continue to apply the relevant statutory accounting impairment guidance, which may reflect U.S. GAAP guidance prior to FASB's issuance of ASU 2016-13 and other related ASUs.

Staff Review Completed by:

NAIC Staff – William Oden, September 2023

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/A-23-24-ASU2016-13-CECL.docx>

Exhibit 1 – Summary of Changes from ASU 2016-13 and subsequent ASUs

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
Topic	Codification	Abbreviated Summary of Change	Related Paragraphs
Balance Sheet—Overall	210-10	Removal of disclosure guidance link.	45-15
Comprehensive Income—Overall	220-10	Supersede content and amend AFS guidance link.	45-10A 45-16A 55-15B
Statement of Cash Flows—Overall	230-10	Amends guidance to say amortized cost basis.	45-21
Interim Reporting—Overall	270-10	Amend guidance for new credit loss language and include references to transition guidance.	50-1
Receivables—Overall	310-10	Amends guidance for new CECL language, supersedes (or transfers to 326) several guidance paragraphs including OTTI, and adds new guidance on PCD interest income.	05-1 35-1 thru 49 35-53A thru C 45-1 45-4A 45-5 45-6 50-1 thru 35 55-1 thru 12 55-16 thru 22
Receivables—Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs	310-20	Amends guidance for new CECL language and supersedes OTTI guidance.	15-3 15-4 35-9 60-1 60-2
Receivables—Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with	310-30	Supersedes entire subtopic and replaces with transition guidance.	All

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Deteriorated Credit Quality			
Receivables— Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors	310-40	Amends guidance for new CECL language, supersedes OTTI guidance, and eliminates exclusion of loan pools from the scope of 310-40.	15-11 15-12 35-7 thru 12 40-3 50-1 thru 6 55-7 55-13 thru 15
Investments—Debt Securities—Overall	320-10	Amends guidance for new CECL language and supersedes all OTTI and credit loss guidance.	15-4 15-9 15-10 35-1 35-17 thru 24 35-30 35-33A thru I 35-34 thru 37 35-43 40-1 40-2 45-7 thru 9A 50-1 thru 8B 55-16 thru 19 55-21A thru 23
Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures—Overall	323-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance.	35-25 55-30 55-34 55-38 55-42 55-44 55-46

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Investments—Other—Beneficial Interests in Securitized Financial Assets	325-40	Amends guidance for new CECL language and supersedes all OTTI and credit loss guidance. Also adds specific guidance for benefit interests acquired as with PCD and adds a requirement to use the PV of future cash flows to measure expected credit losses for benefit interests.	15-3 15-4 25-2 30-1 thru 2 35-2 thru 4C 35-6 35-6A thru 10B 35-13 35-14 55-1
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses	326-10 326-20 326-30	Creates Topic 326 codification; note that some guidance was moved from existing codification for inclusion within 326 and these transfers are underlined. Note that AFS and HTM classifications are not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.	All
Contingencies—Loss Contingencies	450-20	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and includes codification links to topic 326.	15-2 50-2A 60-2 60-3
Guarantees—Overall	460-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and requires that guarantees within the scope of 326 must bifurcate the instruments and apply Topic 326 guidance to the contingent portion and Topic 460 to the non-contingent portion.	25-2 25-3 30-5 35-3 35-4 45-1 50-4 50-5 55-21

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
			55-22
Debt —Troubled Debt Restructurings by Debtors	470-60	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance.	15-3 15-12
Revenue from Contracts with Customers—Overall	606-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and includes codification links to topic 326.	45-3 45-4 50-4 55-108 55-109 55-231 55-237 55-239
Business Combinations—Identifiable Assets and Liabilities, and Any Noncontrolling Interest	805-20	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL and guidance on recording PCD assets which are within the scope of CECL or are purchased with credit deterioration. Additionally, guidance was simplified for indemnification assets arising from government-assisted acquisitions of a financial institution.	30-2 30-4 thru 4B 30-10 30-12 30-26 35-4B
Consolidation—Overall	810-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance.	30-8C
Derivatives and Hedging—Overall	815-10	Amends guidance for new CECL language and supersedes OTTI guidance.	35-5
Derivatives and Hedging—Embedded Derivatives	815-15	Amends OTI guidance to instead direct reader to Topic 326.	25-5
Derivatives and Hedging—Fair Value Hedges	815-25	Amendments conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and includes codification links to topic 326.	35-10 thru 12 55-85 thru 90

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
Topic	Codification	Abbreviated Summary of Change	Related Paragraphs
Derivatives and Hedging—Cash Flow Hedges	815-30	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance.	35-42 35-43
Fair Value Measurement—Overall	820-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance.	55-92
Financial Instruments—Overall	825-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and supersedes old credit loss guidance.	05-2 25-4 35-1 thru 3 55-8 55-10
Foreign Currency Matters—Foreign Currency Transactions	830-20	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and supersedes old AFS guidance for foreign currency debt securities.	35-6 35-7
Interest—Overall	835-10	Amendment supersedes interest income recognition on impaired or deteriorated credit quality loans.	60-2 60-3
Leases—Lessor	842-30	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and supersedes impairment guidance/terminology with credit loss guidance.	25-2 25-6 25-9 35-3 40-2
Leases—Leveraged Lease Arrangements	842-50	Amendment removes original OTTI reference and adds codification references to Topic 326.	50-2
Subsequent Events—Overall	855-10	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and remove examples now subject to Topic 326.	55-1 55-2
Transfers and Servicing—Sales of Financial Assets	860-20	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and adds reference links to Topic 326 for the sale of financial assets which are receivables, purchased financial asset with credit	30-2 35-3 35-9 50-5

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
		deterioration, or is a beneficial interest that meets the criteria in paragraph 325-40-30-1A.	55-19
Financial Services— Depository and Lending—Statement of Cash Flows	942-230	Amendment terminology in implementation illustration to match CECL guidance.	55-2 55-4
Financial Services— Depository and Lending— Receivables	942-310	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and supersedes impairment guidance.	05-1 05-4 25-1 35-1 55-1
Financial Services— Insurance—Insurance Activities	944-20	Amendment removes impairment guidance/terminology.	55-37
Financial Services— Insurance—Separate Accounts	944-80	Amendment supersedes impairment and unrealized gain/loss guidance/terminology with credit loss guidance.	25-9 55-11 55-16
Financial Services— Insurance— Receivables	944-310	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and adds requirement to assess credit losses on insurance receivables and references to Topic 326.	35-3 35-4 35-6 45-4 45-4A
Financial Services— Mortgage Banking— Receivables	948-310	Amendment conforms terminology to match CECL guidance and supersedes impairment guidance with Topic 326.	30-1 30-4 35-1 thru 3 35-5 35-5A 50-1
Health Care Entities— Income Statement	954-225	Amendment replaces impairment reference with credit loss language.	45-8
Health Care Entities— Receivables	954-310	Amendment replaces uncollectible accounts guidance with credit loss guidance.	30-1 35-1

ASU 2016-13 Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326)			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Not-for-Profit Entities— Investments—Debt and Equity Securities	958-320	Amendment replaces impairment guidance with credit loss guidance.	55-5
Not-for-Profit Entities— Investments—Other	958-325	Amendment replaces impairment guidance with credit loss guidance.	30-1 35-1
Real Estate—Time-Sharing Activities— Receivables	978-310	Amendment replaces uncollectible accounts guidance with credit loss guidance.	35-5 35-6

ASU 2018-19 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses			
Topic	Codification	Abbreviated Summary of Change	Related Paragraphs
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Overall	326-10	Extends effective date of CECL from 2020 to 2021.	65-1
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	Add clarification that operating lease receivables are in scope of Topic 842.	15-3
Various	Various	Amend the transition dates of all pending content paragraphs that link to transition guidance paragraph 326-10-65-1 from 2020 to 2021.	Various

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Available-for-Sale Debt Securities	326-20 & 326-30	The amendments related to accrued interest receivables provide an entity with the ability to measure an allowance for credit losses on accrued interest receivables separately from the allowance for credit losses on the other components of the amortized cost basis and to make certain accounting policy elections and apply a practical expedient to operationalize the amendments in Update 2016-13.	30-5 30-5A 35-8A 45-5 50-3A thru 3D 30-1A 30-1B 35-13A 45-1 50-3A thru 4
Receivables—Overall & Investments—Debt Securities—Overall & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Services—Mortgage Banking—Receivables	310-10 & 320-10 & 326-20 & 948-10	The amendments related to transfers between classifications or categories for nonmortgage loans and debt securities provide an entity with guidance on how to account for the allowance for credit losses or the valuation allowance when transferring loans and debt securities.	35-47 thru 48B 45-2 35-10 thru 10B 35-15 35-16 45-8B 55-24 55-25 35-7 30-4 35-2A 35-5A 45-2
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20 & 326-30	The amendments clarify that an entity should consider expected recoveries when measuring the allowance for credit losses by superseding the guidance in paragraphs 326-20-35-8 through 35-9	30-1 35-4 35-5 35-8

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
& Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Available- for-Sale Debt Securities		that may have precluded an entity from considering recoveries when estimating expected credit losses on financial assets measured at amortized cost basis. Additionally, the amendments clarify that expected recoveries of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off should be included in the valuation account and should not exceed the aggregate of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off by the entity.	35-9 50-13 55-52 35-12 35-13
Receivables— Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors	310-40	The amendment clarifies paragraph 310-40-55-14 by removing the incorrect cross-reference to paragraph 326-20-35-2 and, instead, properly cross-referencing paragraphs 326-20-35-4 through 35-5, which require that an entity use 41 the fair value	55-14
Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures—Overall	323-10	The amendment to paragraph 323-10-35-26 clarifies the guidance by including references to both Subtopic 326-20, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost, and Subtopic 326-30, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Available-for-Sale Debt Securities, to require the subsequent measurement of credit losses on financial assets after the guidance on equity method losses is applied.	35-24 35-26
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	The amendments clarify that reinsurance recoverables that result from insurance transactions that are within the scope of Topic 944, Financial Services—Insurance, are within the scope of Subtopic 326-20 even if those reinsurance recoverables are measured on a net present value basis in accordance with Topic 944.	05-1 15-2

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Available-for-Sale Debt Securities	326-20 & 326-30	The amendments clarify the Board’s intent for how an entity should determine the effective interest rate and estimated expected future cash flows by removing the prohibition in the guidance and requiring that the projections used for determining the effective interest rate also be used in determining the estimated expected future cash flows. The amendments also clarify that if an entity projects future interest rate environments when using a discounted cash flow method to measure expected credit losses on variable-rate financial instruments, then the entity should adjust the effective interest rate to consider the timing (and changes in the timing) of expected cash flows resulting from expected prepayments.	30-4 35-11
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Available-for-Sale Debt Securities	326-20 & 326-30	The amendments in paragraph 326-20-30-4A permit an entity to make an accounting policy election to adjust the effective interest rate used to discount expected cash flows of a financial asset. The amendments also clarify that an entity should not adjust the effective interest rate used to discount expected cash flows for subsequent changes in expected prepayments if the financial asset is restructured in a troubled debt restructuring. Paragraph 326-30-35-7A was also amended to allow an entity to make an accounting policy election to adjust the effective interest rate used to discount expected cash flows of a debt security classified as available-for-sale	30-4A 35-7A

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	The amendments clarify the guidance and align the measurement of credit losses using fair value of collateral when foreclosure is probable and when an entity elects the collateral-dependent practical expedient by adding a requirement to adjust the fair value of the collateral for estimated costs to sell in paragraph 326- 20-35-4. Additionally, the amendments clarify the guidance that when an entity adjusts the fair value of the collateral for the estimated costs to sell, the estimated costs to sell should be undiscounted if the entity intends to sell rather than operate the collateral.	35-4 35-5
Derivatives and Hedging—Hedging—General & Derivatives and Hedging—Fair Value Hedges	815-20 & 815-25	The amendments clarify that an entity may measure the change in fair value of a hedged item using an assumed term only for changes attributable to interest rate risk. They also clarify that an entity may measure the change in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to interest rate risk using an assumed term when the hedged item is designated in a hedge of both interest rate risk and foreign exchange risk. In addition, the amendments clarify that one or more separately designated partial term fair value hedging relationships of a single financial instrument can be outstanding at the same time.	25-12 35-13B 55-99
Derivatives and Hedging—Fair Value Hedges	815-25	The amendments clarify that an entity may, but is not required to, begin to amortize a fair value hedge basis adjustment before the fair value hedging relationship is discontinued. They also clarify that if an entity elects to amortize the basis adjustment during an outstanding partial-term	35-9A

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
		hedge, the basis adjustment should be fully amortized by the hedged item’s assumed maturity date in accordance with paragraph 815-25-35-13B.	
Derivative and Hedging—Overall	815-10	The amendments clarify that an entity should disclose available-for-sale debt securities at their amortized cost and that fair value hedge basis adjustments related to foreign exchange risk should be excluded from the disclosures required by paragraph 815-10-50-4EE.	4EE
Derivatives and Hedging—Cash Flow Hedges	815-30	The amendment clarifies that an entity should consider the contractually specified interest rate being hedged when applying the hypothetical derivative method.	35-26
Derivative and Hedging—Overall & Derivatives and Hedging—Hedging—General	815-10 & 815-20	The amendments clarify that a not-for-profit entity that does not separately report earnings is not permitted to elect the amortization approach for amounts excluded from the assessment of effectiveness under fair value hedge accounting. The amendments also update the cross-references in paragraph 815-10-15-1 to further clarify the scope of Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, for entities that do not report earnings separately.	15-1 15-1 25-12
Derivatives and Hedging—Hedging—General	815-20	The amendments clarify that a private company that is not a financial institution as described in paragraph 942-320-50-1 should document the analysis supporting a last-of-layer designation concurrently with hedge inception. The amendments also clarify that not-for-profit entities (except for not-for-profit entities that have issued, or are a conduit bond obligor for, securities that are traded, listed, or quoted on an	25-139 25-143

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
		exchange or an over-the-counter market) qualify for the same 60 subsequent quarterly hedge effectiveness assessment timing relief for which certain private companies qualify in accordance with paragraph 815-20-25-142.	
Derivatives and Hedging—Hedging—General	815-20	The amendments clarify that the application of the first payments-received cash flow hedging technique to changes in overall cash flows on a group of variable interest payments continues to be permitted under Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging.	55-33G
Derivatives and Hedging—Hedging—General	815-20	The amendments clarifies various provisions to the amendments in Update 2017-12.	65-3 65-5
Investments—Debt Securities—Overall & Investments—Equity Securities—Overall	320-10 & 321-10	The amendments clarify the guidance in paragraphs 320-10-15-3 and 321-10-15-3, including adding health and welfare plans to the list of entities for which Topic 320, Investments—Debt Securities, does not apply.	15-3 15-3
Investments—Debt Securities—Overall & Financial Services—Depository and Lending—Investments—Debt and Equity Securities	320-10 & 942-320	The Board intended to eliminate all fair value disclosures for financial assets measured at amortized cost basis for entities other than public business entities through the amendments in Update 2016-01. The amendments clarify the guidance in paragraph 320-10-50-5 by eliminating the requirement for entities other than public business entities to disclose aggregate fair value of held-to maturity debt securities.	50-5 50-5A 50-3 50-3A
Investments—Equity Securities—Overall	321-10	The amendments clarify that all adjustments made under the measurement alternative upon the identified remeasurement events should be accounted for in accordance with Topic 820.	35-2 50-2B

ASU 2019-04 Codification Improvements to Topics 326, 815, and 825			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Foreign Currency Matters—Overall & Financial Instruments—Overall	830-10 & 825-10	The amendments clarify that an entity is required to follow paragraph 830-10-45-18 for equity securities without readily determinable fair values accounted for under the measurement alternative in accordance with paragraph 321-10-35-2. Paragraph 830-10-45-18 requires remeasurement at historical exchange rates. The amendments to paragraph 830-10-45-18(a)(1) and (a)(2) are not intended to change items that should be remeasured at historical exchange rates.	45-18 65-5
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	The amendments require an entity to present the amortized cost basis of line-of-credit arrangements that are converted to term loans in a separate column, as illustrated in Example 15.	50-6A 50-7 55-79
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Overall	326-20 & 326-10	The amendments clarify that an entity should consider extension or renewal options (excluding those that are accounted for as a derivative in Topic 815) that are included in the original or modified contract at the reporting date and are not unconditionally cancellable by the entity.	30-6 65-1 65-2

ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	The amendment intends to clarify that expected recoveries of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off should be included in the valuation account and should not exceed the aggregate of amounts previously written off and expected to be written off by an entity. In addition, the amendments clarify that when a method other than a discounted cash flow method is used to estimate credit losses, expected recoveries should not include any amounts that result in an acceleration of the noncredit discount; however, an entity may include increases in expected cash flows after acquisition	30-13 and 30-13A 55-86 thru 90
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Overall	326-10	The Board did not intend to introduce significant operational complexities when measuring expected credit losses on preexisting troubled debt restructurings. As a result, the amendment allows entities an accounting policy election to calculate the prepayment-adjusted effective interest rate on preexisting troubled debt restructurings using the prepayment assumptions that exist as of the date that an entity adopts the amendments in Update 2016-13, instead of the prepayment-adjusted effective interest rate immediately before the restructuring date.	65-1
Investments—Debt and Equity Securities—Overall	320-10	The amendment provides a practical expedient that allows an entity to exclude accrued interest receivable balances from the disclosure requirements in paragraphs 326-	50-2A 50-5C

ASU 2019-11 Codification Improvements to Topic 326, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
		20-50-4 through 50-22 and 326-30-50-4 through 50-10. The amendments in this Update extend the disclosure relief for accrued interest receivable balances as permitted in Update 2019-04 to certain other Topics in the Codification.	
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost	326-20	The amendment clarifies that an entity should reasonably expect the borrower to continually replenish collateral securing the financial asset(s) in accordance with the contractual terms of the financial asset to apply the practical 15 expedient. An entity is not required to consider remote scenarios in making this determination.	35-6
Business Combinations—Identifiable Assets and Liabilities, and Any Noncontrolling Interest & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Overall	805-20 & 326-10	The amendment clarifies paragraph 805-20-50-1 by removing the cross reference to Subtopic 310-30, Receivables—Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality, which was superseded by Update 2016-13. The amendment instead correctly cross-references the guidance for purchased financial assets with credit deterioration in Subtopic 326-20.	50-1 65-4

ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Financial Instruments—Overall	825-10	Amendment clarifies that because financial assets and financial liabilities on which the fair value option have been elected are measured at fair value and not at amortized cost basis, all entities are subject to the fair value option disclosures in paragraphs 825-10-50-24 through 50-32.	50-23A 65-7
Fair Value Measurement—Overall	820-10	The amendments clarify the applicability of the portfolio exception in Subtopic 820-10, Fair Value Measurement—Overall, to nonfinancial items accounted for as derivatives under Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging.	35-2A 35-18L
Financial Services— Depository and Lending— Investments—Debt and Equity Securities & Financial Instruments—Overall	942-320 & 825-10	The amendments on certain disclosures for depository and lending institutions clarify that the disclosure requirements in paragraphs 320-10-50-3 and 320-10-50-5 through 50-5C apply to the disclosure requirements in paragraphs 942-320-50-3 through 50-3A.	50-1 50-3 50-3A 65-5
Debt—Modifications and Extinguishments	470-50	The amendments clarify that paragraphs 470-50-40-17 through 40-18, which describe the accounting for fees between the debtor and creditor and third-party costs, respectively, directly related to exchanges or modifications of debt instruments, reference paragraph 470-50-40-21 for the accounting for modifications to or exchanges of line-of-credit or revolving-debt arrangements.	40-17 40-18 40-21

ASU 2020-03 Codification Improvements to Financial Instruments			
<u>Topic</u>	<u>Codification</u>	<u>Abbreviated Summary of Change</u>	<u>Related Paragraphs</u>
Fair Value Measurement—Overall	820-10	The amendment clarifies that the disclosure requirements in paragraph 820-10-50-2 do not apply to entities using the net asset value per share (or its equivalent) practical expedient.	50-2
Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Measured at Amortized Cost & Financial Instruments—Credit Losses—Overall	326-20 & 326-10	The amendments align the contractual term to measure expected credit losses for a net investment in a lease under Topic 326 to be consistent with the lease term determined under Topic 842.	30-6A 55-8 65-4
Transfers and Servicing—Sales of Financial Assets	860-20	The amendments relate to the interaction of the guidance in Topic 326 and Subtopic 860-20, Transfers and Servicing—Sales of Financial Assets. The amendments to Subtopic 860-20 clarify that when an entity regains control of financial assets sold, an allowance for credit losses should be recorded in accordance with Topic 326.	25-13

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: *ASU 2023-03—Amendments to SEC Paragraphs*

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

In July of 2023 FASB issued *ASU 2023-03, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 120, SEC Staff Announcement at the March 24, 2022, EITF Meeting, and Staff Accounting Bulletin Topic 6.B, Accounting Series Release 280—General Revision of Regulation S-X: Income or Loss Applicable to Common Stock*, which amends SEC paragraphs from the Accounting Standards Codification based on the issuance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 120, the March 24, 2022 EITF meeting SEC staff announcement, and SAB Topic 6.B “Accounting Series Release No. 280 — General Revision of Regulation S-X: Income or Loss Applicable to Common Stock. This ASU updates various aspects of SEC guidance on stock compensation and equity-based payments.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

Historically, SEC guidance from ASUs have been rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting in Appendix D. Regardless, all ASUs are reviewed for statutory accounting purposes to determine if the guidance should be considered for statutory accounting.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

None.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):

None

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements to reject ASU 2023-03, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs as not applicable to statutory accounting*. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Staff Review Completed by: William Oden – October 2023

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/B-23-25-ASU2023-03-SECUpdates.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: *ASU 2023-06—Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update and Simplification Initiative*

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

In August of 2023 FASB issued *ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update and Simplification Initiative*, which provide a number of updates to disclosures which are intended to allow users to more easily compare entities subject to the SEC’s existing disclosures with those entities that were not previously subject to the SEC’s requirements.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

Historically, SEC guidance from ASUs have been rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting in Appendix D. Regardless, all ASUs are reviewed for statutory accounting purposes to determine if the guidance should be considered for statutory accounting.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

None.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):

None

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements to reject ASU 2023-06, Codification Amendments in Response to the SEC’s Disclosure Update and Simplification Initiative as not applicable to statutory accounting*. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Staff Review Completed by: William Oden – October 2023

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/C-23-26-ASU2023-06-SECUpdates.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: *ASU 2023-04—Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 121*

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

In August of 2023 FASB issued *ASU 2023-04, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 121*, which amends SEC paragraphs from the Accounting Standards Codification for the issuance of SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 121 which provides guidance on accounting for obligations to safeguard Crypto-Assets an entity holds for its platform users.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

Historically, SEC guidance from ASUs have been rejected as not applicable for statutory accounting in Appendix D. Regardless, all ASUs are reviewed for statutory accounting purposes to determine if the guidance should be considered for statutory accounting.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

In May 2021, the Working Group issued INT 21-01: Accounting for Cryptocurrencies, which provided guidance for the statutory accounting treatment of cryptocurrencies. INT 21-01 establishes that directly held cryptocurrencies have not been identified in the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual (AP&P Manual) as an admitted asset, and do not meet the definition of any admitted asset that is defined in the AP&P Manual. Accordingly, by default they are a nonadmitted asset per *SSAP No. 4—Assets and Nonadmitted Assets*, paragraph 3, as they are not specifically identified in the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual as an admitted asset.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS):
None

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *Appendix D—Nonapplicable GAAP Pronouncements* to reject *ASU 2023-04, Amendments to SEC Paragraphs* as not applicable to statutory accounting. This item is proposed to be rejected as not applicable as the ASU is specific to amendment of SEC paragraphs, which are generally not applicable for statutory accounting purposes.

Staff Review Completed by: Jake Stultz – October 2023

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/D-23-27-ASU2023-04-SECUpdates-Crypto.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: Collateral Loan Reporting

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue: This agenda item has been developed to propose an expansion of reporting for collateral loans on Schedule BA to enable regulators the ability to quickly identify the type of collateral in support of admittance of collateral loans in scope of *SSAP No. 21R—Other Admitted Assets*. This agenda item has been drafted in response to comments that the current reporting detail on Schedule BA does not provide sufficient clarity on the type of collateral used in support of admittance of collateral loans. Furthermore, with the adoption of agenda item 2022-11, the statutory accounting guidance has been clarified that the collateral must reflect a qualifying investment, meaning that it would qualify for admittance if held directly by the insurer. This amendment further clarified that collateral that represents an investment in scope of *SSAP No. 48—Joint Ventures, Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies* or *SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled or Affiliated Entities* is required to be audited consistent with the admittance requirements of those SSAPs.

As detailed within, this agenda item proposes new disclosure requirements in SSAP No. 21R for collateral loans. The new disclosure requirement is proposed to be satisfied by an expansion of the reporting on Schedule BA, so that the collateral loans are separated by the type of collateral investment that secures the loan. Additionally, a new aggregated data-captured note is proposed to identify the admitted and nonadmitted collateral loans by the type of collateral that secures the loan.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

- **SSAP No. 21R—Other Admitted Assets - (Tracking shows the edits adopted on Oct. 23, 2023.)**

4. Collateral loans are unconditional obligations¹ for the payment of money secured by the pledge of an qualifying investment² and meet the definition of assets as defined in SSAP No. 4, and are admitted assets to the extent they conform to the requirements of this statement. The outstanding principal balance on the loan and any related accrued interest shall be recorded as an admitted asset subject to the following limitations:

a. Loan Impairment—Determination as to the impairment of a collateral loan shall be based on current information and events. When it is considered probable that any portion of amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan will not be collected the loan is considered impaired. The impairment shall be measured based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to obtain and sell the collateral. The difference between the net value of the collateral and the recorded asset shall be written off in accordance with *SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets*;

b. Nonadmitted Asset—In accordance with *SSAP No. 20—Nonadmitted Assets*, collateral loans secured by assets that do not qualify as investments which would otherwise be admitted shall be nonadmitted. Further, any amount of the loan outstanding which is in excess of the permitted relationship of fair value of the pledged investment to the collateral loan shall be treated as a nonadmitted asset. To support the admissibility of collateral loans, reporting entities shall maintain documentation sufficient to support the reasonableness of the fair value measurement of the underlying collateral, which shall be

made available to the applicable domiciliary regulator and independent audit firm upon request.

Footnote 1: For purposes of determining a collateral loan in scope of this statement, a collateral loan does not include investments captured in scope of other statements. For example, SSAP No. 26R—Bonds includes securities (as defined in that statement) representing a creditor relationship whereby there is a fixed schedule for one or more future payments. Investments captured in SSAP No. 26R that are also secured with collateral shall continue to be captured within scope of SSAP No. 26R.

Footnote 2: A qualifying investment defined as those assets listed in Section 3 of Appendix A-001—Investments of Reporting Entities which would, if held by the insurer, qualify for admittance. For example, if the collateral would not qualify for admittance under SSAP No. 4 due to encumbrances or other third-party interests, then it does not meet the definition of "qualifying" and the collateral loan, or any portion thereof which is not adequately collateralized, is not permitted to be admitted. In the cases where the collateral is an equity/unit investment in a joint venture, partnership, limited liability company, and or SCA is pledged as collateral in a collateral loan, audited financial statements on a consistent annual basis are always required in accordance with SSAP No. 48 and or SSAP No. 97.

Effective Date and Transition

22. ___ This statement is effective for years beginning January 1, 2001. A change resulting from the adoption of this statement shall be accounted for as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors. The guidance for structured settlements when the reporting entity acquires the legal right to receive payments is effective December 31, 2018. The clarification regarding audits of qualifying collateral pledged for collateral loans in the footnote 2 to paragraph 4, requires applicable audits to be obtained for the 2023 reporting period in the subsequent year. In periods after year-end 2023, the audits of equity collateral pledged for collateral loans are required to be obtained for the reporting year in which it was pledged and annually thereafter. The annual audit lag shall be consistent from period to period.

- **A/S Blank and Instructions** (*This reflects what is proposed to be adopted in 2023-12BWG.*)

Collateral Loans

Unaffiliated.....	3199999
Affiliated.....	3299999

Collateral Loans

Include: Refer to SSAP No. 21R—Other Admitted Assets for a definition of collateral loans. Loans that are backed by any form of collateral, regardless of if the collateral is sufficient to fully cover the loan, shall be captured in this category. Guidance in SSAP No. 21R shall be followed to determine nonadmittance.

In the description column, the name of the actual borrower and state if the borrower is a parent, subsidiary, affiliate, officer or director. Also include the type of collateral held.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

- Agenda Item 2022-11: Collateral for Loans clarified guidance on the criteria for collateral in order for a collateral loan to qualify as an admitted asset.
- Blanks Agenda Item 2023-12BWG incorporates revisions as part of the bond project to capture debt securities that do not qualify as bonds on Schedule BA. The revisions within this blanks item incorporate minor revisions to the instructions for collateral loans.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:

None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): N/A

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommend that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose this agenda item with proposed revisions to incorporate a new disclosure to SSAP No. 21R, for initial reporting as of year-end 2024, and to sponsor a blanks proposal for a new data-captured disclosure and to expand the reporting lines on Schedule BA to separate collateral loans by the type of collateral that secures the loan. NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group direct a corresponding blanks proposal to allow for concurrent exposure.

Proposed Revisions to SSAP No. 21R: *(Only new edits are tracked. Prior adopted revisions are shown clean.)*

4. Collateral loans are unconditional obligations¹ for the payment of money secured by the pledge of a qualifying investment² and meet the definition of assets as defined in SSAP No. 4, and are admitted assets to the extent they conform to the requirements of this statement. The outstanding principal balance on the loan and any related accrued interest shall be recorded as an admitted asset subject to the following limitations:

- a. Loan Impairment—Determination as to the impairment of a collateral loan shall be based on current information and events. When it is considered probable that any portion of amounts due under the contractual terms of the loan will not be collected the loan is considered impaired. The impairment shall be measured based on the fair value of the collateral less estimated costs to obtain and sell the collateral. The difference between the net value of the collateral and the recorded asset shall be written off in accordance with *SSAP No. 5R—Liabilities, Contingencies and Impairments of Assets*;
- b. Nonadmitted Asset—In accordance with *SSAP No. 20—Nonadmitted Assets*, collateral loans secured by assets that do not qualify as investments which would otherwise be admitted shall be nonadmitted. Further, any amount of the loan outstanding which is in excess of the permitted relationship of fair value of the pledged investment to the collateral loan shall be treated as a nonadmitted asset. To support the admissibility of collateral loans, reporting entities shall maintain documentation sufficient to support the reasonableness of the fair value measurement of the underlying collateral, which shall be made available to the applicable domiciliary regulator and independent audit firm upon request.

5. Collateral loans shall be reported based on the type of qualifying investment that secures the loan. An aggregate note disclosure shall identify the total amount of collateral loans, and the collateral loans admitted and nonadmitted by qualifying investment type.

Footnote 1: For purposes of determining a collateral loan in scope of this statement, a collateral loan does not include investments captured in scope of other statements. For example, *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds* includes securities (as defined in that statement) representing a creditor relationship whereby there is a fixed schedule for one or more future payments. Investments captured in *SSAP No. 26R* that are also secured with collateral shall continue to be captured within scope of *SSAP No. 26R*.

Footnote 2: A qualifying investment defined as those assets listed in Section 3 of *Appendix A-001—Investments of Reporting Entities* which would, if held by the insurer, qualify for admittance. For example, if the collateral would not qualify for admittance under *SSAP No. 4* due to encumbrances or other third-party interests, then it does not meet the definition of "qualifying" and the collateral loan, or any portion thereof which is not adequately collateralized, is not permitted to be admitted. In the cases where the collateral is an equity/unit investment in a joint venture, partnership, limited liability company, and or SCA is

pledged as collateral in a collateral loan, audited financial statements on a consistent annual basis are always required in accordance with SSAP No. 48 and or SSAP No. 97.

Proposed Schedule BA Reporting Changes:

Collateral Loans – Reported by Qualifying Investment Collateral that Secures the Loan

Cash, Cash Equivalent & Short-Term Investments (SSAP No. 2R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Bonds (SSAP No. 26R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Asset-Backed Securities (SSAP No. 43R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Preferred Stocks (SSAP No. 32R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Common Stocks (SSAP No. 30R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Mortgage Loans (SSAP No. 37R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Real Estate (SSAP No. 40R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Joint Venture, Partnerships or Limited Liability Companies (SSAP No. 48R)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Subsidiary, Controlled or Affiliated Investment (SSAP No. 97)

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Other Qualifying Investment Category

Unaffiliated.....
Affiliated.....

Collateral Does Not Qualify as an Investment

Unaffiliated.....

Affiliated.....

Collateral Loans

Include: Refer to SSAP No. 21R—Other Admitted Assets for a definition of collateral loans. Loans that are backed by any form of collateral, regardless of if the collateral is sufficient to fully cover the loan, shall be captured in this category. Guidance in SSAP No. 21R shall be followed to determine nonadmittance.

In the description column, the name of the actual borrower and state if the borrower is a parent, subsidiary, affiliate, officer or director. Also include the type of collateral held.

Classify the collateral loan in accordance with the type of collateral held, such that if the loan was to default and the collateral was to be claimed by the reporting entity, where it would be captured (investment type by SSAP) as a directly-held investment. If more than one form of collateral secures the loan, classification should occur based on the primary collateral source. The other qualifying investment category shall only be used to capture collateral loans secured by collateral in the form of contract loans, derivatives, other invested assets not separately reported, receivables for securities, securities lending and any investments that would qualify as a write-in for invested assets.

Proposed Data-Captured Disclosure:

Aggregate Collateral Loans by Qualifying Investment Collateral:

<u>Collateral Type</u>	<u>Aggregate Collateral Loan</u>	<u>Admitted</u>	<u>Nonadmitted</u>
<u>Cash, Cash Equivalents & ST Investments</u>			
<u>Bonds</u>			
<u>Asset-Backed Securities</u>			
<u>Preferred Stocks</u>			
<u>Common Stocks</u>			
<u>Real Estate</u>			
<u>Mortgage Loans</u>			
<u>Joint Ventures, Partnerships, LLC</u>			
<u>Subsidiary, Affiliated and Controlled Entities</u>			
<u>Other Qualifying Investments</u>			
<u>Collateral Does not Qualify as an Investment</u>			
<u>Total</u>			

Pursuant to SSAP No. 21R, nonadmittance of a collateral loan is required when the fair value of the collateral is not sufficient to cover the collateral loan or if the collateral securing the loan is not a qualifying investment. This includes situations in which collateral in form of joint ventures, partnership, LLCs or SCAs is not supported by an audit as required by SSAP No. 48 or SSAP No. 97.

The other qualifying investment category shall only be used to capture collateral loans secured by collateral in the form of contract loans, derivatives, other invested assets not separately reported, receivables for securities, securities

lending and any investments that would qualify as a write-in for invested assets. All collateral loans secured by collateral that does not qualify as an investment is required to be nonadmitted under SSAP No. 21R.

Staff Review Completed by: Julie Gann - NAIC Staff, September 2023

[https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/E - 23-28 - Collateral Loan Reporting.docx](https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/E-23-28-CollateralLoanReporting.docx)

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: IMR / AVR Preferred Stock

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue: This agenda item has been developed to update guidance for the Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR) and the Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR) in the Annual Statement (A/S) Instructions for perpetual preferred stock, which includes SVO-Identified Preferred Stock ETFs. The existing IMR/AVR guidance is based on measurement of preferred stock based on NAIC designation. However, statutory accounting revisions effective in 2021 revised the measurement method for perpetual preferred stock to always reflect fair value, not to exceed any currently effective call price, regardless of NAIC designation. Furthermore, with dedicated reporting lines established to separate redeemable and perpetual preferred stock, the reporting of NAIC designations was revised and no longer references an “RP” or “P.” These revisions were incorporated as perpetual preferred stock is more akin to an equity instrument, as it is not required to be redeemed by the issuing entity or at the option of the investor. At the time of this measurement method change, corresponding revisions to the IMR/AVR instructions were not reflected. As such, the existing IMR/AVR guidance directs realized gains/loss treatment for all preferred stock based on the NAIC designation during the holding period and refers to the prior designation classifications.

This agenda item proposes to clarify that realized gains and losses on perpetual preferred stock shall not be added to the IMR, regardless of NAIC designation, and shall follow the same concepts that exist for common stock in reporting realized gains/losses to the AVR. This agenda item does not propose to change the concepts for redeemable preferred stock, which is more akin to a debt instrument, but proposes to clarify the guidance so application based on the type of structure is clear. Separate reporting of perpetual preferred stock and redeemable preferred stock is already included on Schedule D-2-1: Preferred Stock.

For the revisions proposed in this agenda item, the guidance for redeemable preferred stock will not be revised and will continue to classify realized gains/losses between the IMR and AVR based on NAIC designation. This guidance indicates that if the designation was a 4-6 at any time during the holding period, the realized gain or loss would go to AVR as non-interest related gains or losses. This agenda item does not intend to confirm that the allocation approach for redeemable preferred stock is appropriate and is strictly focused on ensuring that the current annual statement instructions for IMR/AVR corresponds with the current accounting and reporting guidance for perpetual preferred stocks. As detailed in the recommendation, discussion on whether use of NAIC designation for redeemable preferred stock is appropriate is proposed to occur as part of the long-term IMR project.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

- **SSAP No. 7—Asset Valuation Reserve and Interest Maintenance Reserve**

SCOPE OF STATEMENT

1. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles for an asset valuation reserve (AVR) and an interest maintenance reserve (IMR) for life and accident and health insurance companies, excluding separate accounts. Separate account AVR/IMR reporting is addressed in *SSAP No. 56—Separate Accounts*.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

2. Life and accident and health insurance companies shall recognize liabilities for an AVR and an IMR. The AVR is intended to establish a reserve to offset potential credit-related investment losses on all

invested asset categories excluding cash, policy loans, premium notes, collateral notes and income receivable. The IMR defers recognition of the realized capital gains and losses resulting from changes in the general level of interest rates. These gains and losses shall be amortized into investment income over the expected remaining life of the investments sold. The IMR also applies to certain liability gains/losses related to changes in interest rates. These gains and losses shall be amortized into investment income over the expected remaining life of the liability released.

3. The IMR and AVR shall be calculated and reported as determined per guidance in the SSAP for the specific type of investment (e.g., SSAP No. 43R for loan-backed and structured securities), or if not specifically stated in the respective SSAP, in accordance with the NAIC *Annual Statement Instructions* for Life and Accident and Health Insurance Companies.

Effective Date and Transition

4. This statement is effective for years beginning January 1, 2001. A change resulting from the adoption of this statement shall be accounted for as a change in accounting principle in accordance with SSAP No. 3—*Accounting Changes and Corrections of Errors*.

- **A/S Instructions – Life, Accident and Health / Fraternal Companies**

(This reflects 2023 guidance. Agenda item 2023-15 proposes revisions to address specific allocations to the IMR that may not reflect interest-related losses.)

Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR)

Line 2 – Current Year's Realized Pre-tax Capital Gains (Losses) of \$_____ Transferred into the Reserve Net of Taxes of \$_____

Include interest-rate-related realized capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax thereon. All realized capital gains (losses) transferred to the IMR are net of capital gains taxes thereon. Exclude non-interest-related (default) realized capital gains and (losses), realized capital gains (losses) on equity investments, and unrealized capital gains (losses).

All realized capital gains (losses), due to interest rate changes on fixed income investments, net of related capital gains tax, should be captured in the IMR and amortized into income (Column 2, Lines 1 through 31) according to Table 1 or the seriatim method. Realized capital gains (losses) must be classified as either interest (IMR) or non-interest (AVR) related, not a combination except as specified in SSAP No. 43R—*Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*. Purchase lots with the same CUSIP are treated as individual assets for IMR and Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR) purposes.

Exclude those capital gains and (losses) that, in accordance with contract terms have been used to directly increase or (decrease) contract benefit payments or reserves during the reporting period. The purpose of this exclusion is to avoid the duplicate utilization of such gains and (losses).

Capital gains tax should be determined using the method developed by the company to allocate taxes used for statutory financial reporting purposes. By capturing the realized capital gains (losses) net of tax, the capital gains tax associated with those capital gains (losses) due to an interest rate change is charged or credited to the IMR and amortized in proportion to the before-tax amortization.

Include realized capital gains (losses) on:

Debt securities (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) and preferred stocks whose National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC)/Securities Valuation Office (SVO) designation at the end of the holding period is **NOT** different from its NAIC designation at the beginning of the holding period by more than one NAIC designation. Exclude any such gains (losses) exempt from the IMR.

Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs) as listed on the SVO Identified Bond ETF List (hereafter subject to bond IMR guidelines) and the SVO Identified Preferred Stock ETF List (hereafter subject to preferred stock IMR guidelines). Include any capital gains (losses) realized by the Company, whether from sale of the ETF or capital gains distributions by the ETF. If the ETF is removed from either SVO ETF list, the ETF is reported and treated as common stock, with any capital gains/(losses) excluded from the IMR.

SVO Identified Funds designated for systematic value

Called bonds, tendered bonds, and sinking fund payments.

Mortgage loans where:

- Interest is **NOT** more than 90 days past due, or
- The loan is **NOT** in process of foreclosure, or
- The loan is **NOT** in course of voluntary conveyance, or
- The terms of the loan have **NOT** been restructured during the prior two years.

Additional Provisions for Including/Excluding Gains (Losses) from IMR:

Mortgage loan prepayment penalties are not included in IMR. Treat them as regular investment income.

Interest-related gains (losses) realized on directly held capital and surplus notes reported on Schedule BA should be transferred to the IMR in the same manner as similar gains and (losses) on fixed income assets held on Schedule D. A capital gain (loss) on such a note is classified as an interest rate gain if the note is eligible for amortized-value accounting at both the time of acquisition and the time of disposition.

Determination of IMR gain (loss) on multiple lots of the same securities should follow the underlying accounting treatment in determining the gain (loss). Thus, the designation, on a purchase lot basis, should be compared to the designation at the end of the holding period to determine IMR or AVR gain or (loss).

Realized capital gains (losses) on any debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) that has had an NAIC/SVO designation of 6 at any time during the holding period should be excluded from the IMR and included as a non-interest-related gain (loss) in the AVR.

Realized capital gains (losses) on any preferred stock that had an NAIC/SVO designation of RP4, RP5 or RP6 or P4, P5 or P6 at any time during the holding period should be reported as non-interest-related gains (losses) in the AVR.

The holding period for debt securities (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) and preferred stocks is defined as the period from the date of purchase to the date of sale. For the end of period classification, the most recent available designation should be used. For bonds acquired before Jan. 1, 1991, the holding period is presumed to have begun on Dec. 31, 1990. For preferred stocks acquired before Jan. 1, 1993, the holding period is presumed to have begun on Dec. 31, 1992. For SVO Identified ETFs, the holding period is defined as one calendar year to expected maturity. For SVO Identified Funds designated for systematic value, the holding period is the weighted-average life of the underlying bonds.

In accordance with *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded entirely to either AVR or IMR and not bifurcated between interest and non-interest components.

Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR)

Line 2 – Realized Capital Gains (Losses) Net of Taxes – General Account

Report all realized non-interest-related (default) and equity capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, applicable to the assets in each component and sub-component. All realized capital gains (losses) transferred to the AVR are net of capital gains taxes thereon. Exclude all interest rate-related capital gains (losses) from the AVR.

Capital gains tax should be determined using the method developed by the company to allocate taxes used for statutory financial reporting purposes.

Report all realized capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on each debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) whose NAIC/SVO designation at the end of the holding period is different from its NAIC/SVO designation at the beginning of the holding period by more than one NAIC/SVO designation. The holding period is defined as the period from the date of purchase to the date of sale. For end of period classification, the most recent available designation should be used. For bonds acquired before Jan. 1, 1991, the holding period is presumed to have begun on Dec. 31, 1990.

Determination of AVR gain (loss) on multiple lots of the same fixed income securities should follow the underlying accounting treatment in determining gain (loss). Thus, the designation, on a purchase lot basis, should be compared to the designation at the end of the holding period to determine IMR or AVR gain or (loss).

In accordance with *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded entirely to either AVR or IMR and not bifurcated between interest and non-interest components.

In accordance with *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*, for loan-backed and structured securities only:

- Other-Than-Temporary Impairment – Non-interest-related other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the AVR. If the reporting entity wrote the security down to fair value due to the intent to sell or does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, the non-interest-related portion of the other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the AVR; the interest related other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the IMR. The analysis for bifurcating impairment losses between AVR and IMR shall be completed as of the date when the other-than-temporary impairment is determined.
- Security Sold at a Loss Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest- and non-interest-related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale. As such, an entity shall report the loss in separate AVR and IMR components as appropriate.
- Security Sold at a Loss with Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the current realized loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest- and non-interest-related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale. An entity shall not adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.
- Security Sold at a Gain with Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale. The bifurcation between AVR and IMR that

occurs as of the date of sale may be different from the AVR and IMR allocation that occurred at the time of previous other-than-temporary impairments. An entity shall not adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.

- Security Sold at a Gain Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.

In addition, all gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on mortgage loans where:

- Interest is more than 90 days past due, or
- The loan is in the process of foreclosure, or
- The loan is in course of voluntary conveyance, or
- The terms of the loan have been restructured during the prior two years

Would be classified as non-interest-related gains (losses).

The gain (loss), net of capital gains tax, on any debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) that has had an NAIC/SVO designation of “6” at any time during the holding period should be reported as a credit related gain (loss).

All capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, from preferred stock that had an NAIC/SVO designation of RP4, RP5 or RP6 or P4, P5 or P6 at any time during the holding period should be reported as on-interest-related gains (losses) in the AVR.

However, for a convertible bond or preferred stock purchased while its conversion value exceeds its par value, any gain (loss) realized from its sale before conversion must be included in the Equity Component of the AVR. Conversion Value is defined to mean the number of shares available currently or at next conversion date multiplied by the stock’s current market price.

Report all realized equity capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, in the appropriate sub-components.

The following guidance pertains to instruments in Scope of *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives*:

- For derivative instruments used in hedging transactions, the determination of whether the capital gains (losses) are allocable to the IMR or the AVR is based on how the underlying asset is treated. Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on portfolio or general hedging instruments should be included with the hedged asset. Gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on hedges used, as specific hedges should be included only if the specific hedged asset is sold or disposed of.
- For income generation derivative transactions, the determination of whether the capital gains (losses) are allocable to the IMR or the AVR is based on how the underlying interest (for a put) or covering asset (for a call, cap or floor) is treated. Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax should be included in the same sub-component where the realized gains (losses) of the underlying interest (for a put) or covering asset (for a call, cap or floor) is reported. Refer to *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives* for accounting guidance.

Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, resulting from the sale of U.S. government securities and the direct or guaranteed securities of agencies which are backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. government are exempt from the AVR. This category is described in the Investment Schedules General Instructions.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups):

- Agenda item 2019-04: SSAP No. 32 – Investment Classification Project, resulted with revisions to update the preferred stock accounting and reporting guidance. These revisions resulted with all perpetual preferred stock being reported at fair value, not to exceed any currently effective call price, with unrealized gains and losses accounted for in accordance with SSAP No. 7—*Asset Valuation Reserve and Interest Maintenance Reserve*.
- Agenda Item 2023-14: SSAP No. 7—*Asset Valuation Reserve and Interest Maintenance Reserve* establishes a broad project to capture accounting guidance for AVR and IMR in SSAP No. 7.
- Agenda Item 2023-15: IMR/AVR Specific Allocations considers revisions to the A/S instructions to address guidance that prescribes specific allocation to the IMR. These revisions were exposed in August 2023.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): N/A

Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommend that the Working Group include this item on their maintenance agenda as a SAP clarification and expose this agenda item with proposed revisions to the A/S instructions to remove the guidance that directs all preferred stock to be allocated between IMR/AVR based on NAIC designation. This agenda item proposes new guidance that corresponds to the accounting and reporting differences for redeemable and perpetual preferred stock, with all perpetual preferred stock being treated as an equity instrument similar to common stock. With this approach, all unrealized gains or losses on perpetual preferred stock will reverse to realized gains or losses in the AVR formula. The revisions also clarify that SVO-Identified Preferred Stock ETFs shall be treated as perpetual preferred stock (equities) as that is consistent with the guidance in SSAP No. 32R—*Preferred Stock*.

(Note: This item is proposed as a SAP Clarification as the revisions to SSAP No. 32R to revise the measurement method for perpetual preferred stock was a new SAP Concept. The revisions proposed in this agenda item simply update the IMR/AVR A/S instructions to correspond to those adopted changes.)

As discussed in the introduction, this agenda item does not propose to alter the current process of using NAIC designations for redeemable preferred stock in determining whether realized gains or losses should be allocated to IMR or AVR. However, it should not be perceived that this agenda item confirms retention of this existing approach. Discussion on the approach to allocate gains/losses incurred from redeemable preferred stock is recommended for review as part of the long-term project on IMR/AVR. Any comments received on this dynamic will be addressed as part of that project. As detailed in the A/S instructions, for preferred stock, the determination for AVR is based on whether the preferred stock was an NAIC 4-6 at any time during the holding period and not based on a change in NAIC designation.

Staff Note: Shaded guidance reflects sections that have proposed revisions that were exposed in agenda item 2023-15: IMR/AVR Specific Allocations. These changes are accepted only for ease of readability and to prevent confusion on the proposed revisions related to this agenda item. Whether the revisions from agenda item 2023-15 are adopted will depend on the discussion and action by the Working Group. With the exception of the added 'redeemable' in the first shaded paragraph, all of the edits proposed in this agenda item are in separate paragraphs from agenda item 2023-15.

Interest Maintenance Reserve (IMR)

Line 2 – Current Year's Realized Pre-tax Capital Gains (Losses) of \$ _____ Transferred into the Reserve Net of Taxes of \$ _____

Include interest-rate-related realized capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax thereon. All realized capital gains (losses) transferred to the IMR are net of capital gains taxes thereon. Exclude non-interest-related (default) realized capital gains and (losses), realized capital gains (losses) on equity investments, and unrealized capital gains (losses).

All realized capital gains (losses), due to interest rate changes on fixed income investments, net of related capital gains tax, should be captured in the IMR and amortized into income (Column 2, Lines 1 through 31) according to Table 1 or the seriatim method. Realized capital gains (losses) must be classified as either interest (IMR) or non-interest (AVR) related, not a combination except as specified in *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*. Purchase lots with the same CUSIP are treated as individual assets for IMR and Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR) purposes.

Exclude those capital gains and (losses) that, in accordance with contract terms have been used to directly increase or (decrease) contract benefit payments or reserves during the reporting period. The purpose of this exclusion is to avoid the duplicate utilization of such gains and (losses).

Capital gains tax should be determined using the method developed by the company to allocate taxes used for statutory financial reporting purposes. By capturing the realized capital gains (losses) net of tax, the capital gains tax associated with those capital gains (losses) due to an interest rate change is charged or credited to the IMR and amortized in proportion to the before-tax amortization.

Include realized capital gains (losses) on:

Debt securities (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) and redeemable preferred stocks where the realized capital gains (losses) more predominantly reflect interest-related changes. By default, debt instruments whose National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC)/Securities Valuation Office (SVO) designation at the end of the holding period, or within a reasonable amount of time after the reporting entity has sold/disposed of the instrument, are different from its NAIC designation at the beginning of the holding period by more than one NAIC designation or NAIC designation category shall not be considered to reflect interest-related changes. Gains (losses) from those debt instruments shall NOT be reported in the IMR and shall be reported in the AVR

Exchange Traded Funds (ETFs) as listed on the SVO Identified Bond ETF List (thereafter subject to bond IMR guidelines) and the SVO Identified Preferred Stock ETF List (thereafter subject to preferred stock IMR guidelines). Include any capital gains (losses) realized by the Company, whether from sale of the ETF or capital gains distributions by the ETF. If the ETF is removed from either SVO ETF list, the ETF is reported and treated as common stock, with any capital gains/(losses) excluded from the IMR. (Investments on the SVO-Identified Preferred Stock List are captured as perpetual preferred stock and treated as equity investments, with gains and losses excluded from IMR.)

SVO Identified Funds designated for systematic value

Called bonds, tendered bonds, and sinking fund payments.

Mortgage loans where the realized gains (losses) more predominantly reflect interest-related changes. By default, mortgage loans that meet any of the following criteria shall not

be considered to reflect interest-related losses. Realized gains (losses) from mortgage loans with these characteristics shall be reported in the AVR:

- Any mortgage loan sold/disposed with an established valuation allowance under SSAP No. 37, or
- Interest is more than 90 days past due, or
- The loan is in process of foreclosure, or
- The loan is in course of voluntary conveyance, or
- The terms of the loan have been restructured during the prior two years.

Additional Provisions for Including/Excluding Gains (Losses) from IMR:

Mortgage loan prepayment penalties are not included in IMR. Treat them as regular investment income.

Interest-related gains (losses) realized on directly held capital and surplus notes reported on Schedule BA should be transferred to the IMR in the same manner as similar gains and (losses) on fixed income assets held on Schedule D. A capital gain (loss) on such a note is classified as an interest rate gain if the note is eligible for amortized-value accounting at both the time of acquisition and the time of disposition.

Determination of IMR gain (loss) on multiple lots of the same securities should follow the underlying accounting treatment in determining the gain (loss). Thus, the designation, on a purchase lot basis, should be compared to the designation at the end of the holding period to determine IMR or AVR gain or (loss).

Realized capital gains (losses) on any debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) that has had an NAIC/SVO designation of 6 at any time during the holding period should be excluded from the IMR and included as a non-interest-related gain (loss) in the AVR.

Realized capital gains (losses) on any redeemable preferred stock that had an NAIC/SVO designation of ~~4-6 RP4, RP5 or RP6 or P4, P5 or P6~~ at any time during the holding period should be reported as non-interest-related gains (losses) in the AVR.

The holding period for debt securities (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) and redeemable preferred stocks is defined as the period from the date of purchase to the date of sale. For the end of period classification, the most recent available designation should be used. For bonds acquired before Jan. 1, 1991, the holding period is presumed to have begun on Dec. 31, 1990. For redeemable preferred stocks acquired before Jan. 1, 1993, the holding period is presumed to have begun on Dec. 31, 1992. For SVO Identified Bond ETFs, the holding period is defined as one calendar year to expected maturity. For SVO Identified ~~Bond ETFs Funds~~ designated for systematic value, the holding period is the weighted-average life of the underlying bonds.

In accordance with *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded entirely to either AVR or IMR and not bifurcated between interest and non-interest components.

Asset Valuation Reserve (AVR)

Line 2 – Realized Capital Gains (Losses) Net of Taxes – General Account

Report all realized non-interest-related (default) and equity capital gains (losses) (which includes, but is not limited to, common stock, perpetual preferred stock and SVO-Identified Preferred Stock ETFs), net of capital gains tax, applicable to the assets in each component and sub-component. All realized capital gains (losses) transferred to the AVR are net of capital gains taxes thereon. Exclude all interest rate-related capital gains (losses) from the AVR.

Capital gains tax should be determined using the method developed by the company to allocate taxes used for statutory financial reporting purposes.

Report all realized capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on each debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) where the realized capital gains (losses) more predominantly reflect non-interest-related changes. By default, debt instruments whose NAIC/SVO designation at the end of the holding period, or within a reasonable amount of time after the reporting entity has sold/dispensed of the instrument, is different from its NAIC/SVO designation at the beginning of the holding period by more than one NAIC designation or NAIC designation category shall be considered to reflect non-interest-related changes. Gains (losses) from those debt instruments shall be reported in the AVR.

Determination of AVR gain (loss) on multiple lots of the same fixed income securities should follow the underlying accounting treatment in determining gain (loss). Thus, the designation, on a purchase lot basis, should be compared to the designation at the end of the holding period to determine IMR or AVR gain or (loss).

In accordance with *SSAP No. 26R—Bonds*, securities with other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded entirely to either AVR or IMR and not bifurcated between interest and non-interest components.

In accordance with *SSAP No. 43R—Loan-Backed and Structured Securities*, for loan-backed and structured securities only:

- **Other-Than-Temporary Impairment – Non-interest-related other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the AVR.** If the reporting entity wrote the security down to fair value due to the intent to sell or does not have the intent and ability to retain the investment for a period of time sufficient to recover the amortized cost basis, the non-interest-related portion of the other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the AVR; the interest related other-than-temporary impairment losses shall be recorded through the IMR. The analysis for bifurcating impairment losses between AVR and IMR shall be completed as of the date when the other-than-temporary impairment is determined.
- **Security Sold at a Loss Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest- and non-interest-related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.** As such, an entity shall report the loss in separate AVR and IMR components as appropriate.
- **Security Sold at a Loss with Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the current realized loss into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest- and non-interest-related declines in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.** An entity shall not adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.
- **Security Sold at a Gain with Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.** The bifurcation between AVR and IMR that occurs as of the date of sale may be different from the AVR and IMR allocation that occurred at the time of previous other-than-temporary impairments. An entity shall not

adjust previous allocations to AVR and IMR that resulted from previous recognition of other-than-temporary impairments.

- Security Sold at a Gain Without Prior OTTI – An entity shall bifurcate the gain into AVR and IMR portions depending on interest and non-interest factors in accordance with the analysis performed as of the date of sale.

In addition, all gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on mortgage loans where the realized gains (losses) more predominantly reflect non-interest-related changes. By default, mortgage loans that meet any of the following criteria shall be considered to reflect non-interest-related changes and realized gains (losses) from mortgage loans with these characteristics shall be reported in the AVR:

- Any mortgage loan sold/disposed with an established valuation allowance under SSAP No. 37, or
- Interest is more than 90 days past due, or
- The loan is in the process of foreclosure, or
- The loan is in course of voluntary conveyance, or
- The terms of the loan have been restructured during the prior two years

The gain (loss), net of capital gains tax, on any debt security (excluding loan-backed and structured securities) that has had an NAIC/SVO designation of “6” at any time during the holding period should be reported as a credit related gain (loss).

All capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, from redeemable preferred stock that had an NAIC/SVO designation of 4-6 RP4, RP5 or RP6 or P4, P5 or P6 at any time during the holding period should be reported as non-interest-related gains (losses) in the AVR.

However, for a convertible bond or redeemable preferred stock purchased while its conversion value exceeds its par value, any gain (loss) realized from its sale before conversion must be included in the Equity Component of the AVR. Conversion Value is defined to mean the number of shares available currently or at next conversion date multiplied by the stock’s current market price.

Report all realized equity capital gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, in the appropriate sub-components.

The following guidance pertains to instruments in Scope of *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives*:

- For derivative instruments used in hedging transactions, the determination of whether the capital gains (losses) are allocable to the IMR or the AVR is based on how the underlying asset is treated. Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on portfolio or general hedging instruments should be included with the hedged asset. Gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, on hedges used, as specific hedges should be included only if the specific hedged asset is sold or disposed of.
- For income generation derivative transactions, the determination of whether the capital gains (losses) are allocable to the IMR or the AVR is based on how the underlying interest (for a put) or covering asset (for a call, cap or floor) is treated. Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax should be included in the same sub-component where the realized gains (losses) of the underlying interest (for a put) or covering asset (for a call, cap or floor) is reported. Refer to *SSAP No. 86—Derivatives* for accounting guidance.

Realized gains (losses), net of capital gains tax, resulting from the sale of U.S. government securities and the direct or guaranteed securities of agencies which are backed by the full faith

and credit of the U.S. government are exempt from the AVR. This category is described in the Investment Schedules General Instructions.

Staff Review Completed by: Julie Gann - NAIC Staff, September 2023

[https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/F - 23-29 - IMR Preferred Stock.docx](https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/F-23-29-IMRPreferredStock.docx)

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: Admissibility Requirements of Investments in Downstream Holding Companies

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue: This agenda item is the result of regulator comments received on the existing guidance in *SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities*, paragraph 24, and is intended to update the language in paragraph 24 on audits and admissibility to better align with guidance in paragraphs 26 and 27 on the look-through methodology. The current SSAP No. 97, paragraph 24 guidance states “if the downstream noninsurance holding company does not meet the requirements of paragraph 26, **audited GAAP financial statements, as described in paragraph 23, are required for the downstream noninsurance holding company and its SCA and non-SCA investments** in order for the investment in the downstream noninsurance holding company to be classified as an admitted asset.”

The issue with the existing paragraph 24 guidance is that as it summarizes other guidance it could be perceived as contradicting guidance provided in paragraph 27 related to the “look through” process. This process allows admitting audited investments in entities owned by an **unaudited downstream noninsurance holding company** SCA entity.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

***SSAP No. 97—Investments in Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities* (bolding added for emphasis)**

Admissibility Requirements of Investments in Downstream Holding Companies

23. To meet the admissibility requirements of this statement, unless the limited exception to the audited financial statements requirement discussed in paragraphs 26 and 27 applies, an annual audit of the financial statements of SCA entities, including the downstream holding company valued under paragraphs 8.b.i through 8.b.iv. must be obtained. The requirement for audited financial statements may be met by utilizing any one of the following methods:

- a. Audited US GAAP financial statements of the downstream SCA holding company. (Consolidated or combined financial statements are allowed encompassing one or more downstream holding companies, including such holding companies that directly own U.S. insurance entities, provided that the statutory financial statements of such U.S. insurance entities are audited. Annual consolidated or combined audits are allowed for insurance entities if completed in accordance with the Model Regulation Requiring Annual Audited Reports as adopted by the SCA’s domiciliary state.) The audited financial statements of the downstream holding company shall include as other financial information, consolidating or combining balance sheet schedule(s) showing the equity of all relevant SCA entities and non-SCA SSAP No. 48 entities, and any required intercompany eliminations. The consolidating or combining balance sheet schedule shall separately present those entities owned directly by the downstream holding company. The consolidating or combining balance sheet shall then be adjusted for GAAP to SAP differences for paragraph 8.b.i., 8.b.ii. and 8.b.iv. entities owned directly or indirectly by the downstream holding company. The adjusted amount would then be the reported value of the investment in the downstream holding company at the higher-level reporting entity; or

- b. Audited foreign GAAP-basis financial statements of the downstream SCA holding company. (Consolidated or combined financial statements are allowed encompassing one or more downstream holding companies, including such holding companies that directly own U.S. insurance entities, provided that the statutory financial statements of such U.S. insurance entities are audited. Annual consolidated or combined audits are allowed for insurance entities if completed in accordance with the Model Regulation Requiring Annual Audited Reports as adopted by the SCA's domiciliary state.) The audited foreign GAAP basis financial statements shall include an audited footnote disclosure within the financial statements that reconciles each consolidated entity's net income and equity on a foreign basis of accounting to a U.S. GAAP basis. The audited financial statements of the downstream holding company shall include as other financial information, consolidating or combining balance sheet schedule(s) showing the equity of all relevant SCA entities non-SCA SSAP No. 48 entities, and any required intercompany eliminations. The consolidating or combining balance sheet schedule shall separately present those entities owned directly by the downstream holding company. The consolidating or combining balance sheet shall then be adjusted for GAAP to SAP differences of the insurance entities and paragraph 8.b.ii. and paragraph 8.b.iv. entities owned directly or indirectly by the downstream holding company. The adjusted amount would then be the reported value of the investment in the downstream holding company at the higher-level reporting entity; or
- c. Individual audits of the downstream holding company and the downstream holding company's investments in individual SCA entities.

24. If the downstream noninsurance holding company does not meet the requirements of paragraph 26, audited GAAP financial statements, as described in paragraph 23, are required for the downstream noninsurance holding company and its SCA and non-SCA investments in order for the investment in the downstream noninsurance holding company to be classified as an admitted asset.

25. A purchased downstream holding company is valued in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs 22-25 and the provisions of SSAP No. 68.

Limited Exceptions to the Audit Requirements for Downstream Noninsurance Holding Companies

26. This statement requires that investments in SCA entities be recorded using one of the valuation methods described in paragraph 8 in order to be admitted assets. Each of the paragraph 8.b. valuation methods require the financial statements of SCA entities, including downstream noninsurance holding companies, to be audited in order for the investments in SCA entities to be admitted assets. Likewise, SSAP No. 48 requires the financial statements of joint ventures, partnerships, and/or limited liability companies in which the downstream noninsurance holding company has a minor ownership interest or otherwise lacks control, i.e., ownership interest is less than 10% to be audited (U.S. GAAP) in order to be admitted assets. **There is a limited exception to the requirement to have audited financial statements of a downstream noninsurance holding company, provided that the entities owned by the downstream noninsurance holding company (paragraph 8.b.iii. entity) have audited financial statements as described in paragraphs 26 and 27.**

27. The process of admitting audited investments in entities owned by an unaudited downstream noninsurance holding company SCA entity will be known as a "look through." In order to admit the investments in audited SCAs or the audited non-SCA SSAP No. 48 entities owned by an unaudited downstream noninsurance holding company, a reporting entity may apply the look through approach, provided all of the following conditions are met:

- a. The downstream noninsurance holding company is an 8.b.iii entity, and

- b. The downstream noninsurance holding company does not own any other assets which are material to the downstream holding company other than the audited SCA entities and/or audited non-SCA SSAP No. 48 entities, and
- d. The downstream noninsurance holding company is not subject to liabilities, commitments, contingencies, guarantees or obligations which are material to the downstream noninsurance holding company.

If an investment in a downstream noninsurance holding company meets the requirements set forth above, the reporting entity can admit the individual audited SCA entities and/or audited non-SCA SSAP No. 48 entities; however, unaudited immaterial assets of the downstream noninsurance holding company are to be carried at the lesser of the paragraph 8 valuation or nonadmitted (e.g. some equity method investments are required to be carried at a negative value due to either statutory adjustments or to parental obligations to keep funding the subsidiary). If a holding company structure has more than one downstream noninsurance holding company, each downstream non-insurance holding company may be looked through, provided each downstream non-insurance holding company meets all of the conditions in paragraph 27.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): N/A

Staff Recommendation: NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to *SSAP No. 97—Subsidiary, Controlled and Affiliated Entities* to revise paragraph 24 language to better align it with the existing guidance provided in paragraphs 26 and 27.

Proposed edits to SSAP No. 97:

- 24. If the downstream noninsurance holding company does not meet the requirements of paragraph 26, audited GAAP financial statements, as described in paragraph 23, are required ~~for the downstream noninsurance holding company and its SCA and non-SCA investments~~ in order for the investment in the downstream noninsurance holding company or individual SCAs to be classified as an admitted asset.

Staff Review Completed by: Jason Farr– NAIC Staff, November 2023

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/G-23-30-SSAP97AdmissibilityRequirements.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: Updates from Model 630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

This agenda item addresses updates to the *Mortgage Guaranty Insurance Model Act* (Model #630) which was adopted by NAIC Executive and Plenary in August 2023. Model #630 is excerpted in *Appendix A-630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance* which is referenced in *SSAP No. 58—Mortgage Guaranty Insurance*. In addition, SSAP No. 58 includes some excerpts from Model #630 regarding contingency reserves.

The updates to Model #630 were part of a multiyear project by the Mortgage Guaranty Insurance (E) Working Group which began in November 2012. The project originally considered updating the capital requirements for mortgage guaranty insurers, but ultimately determined to focus on updating the model law. The updates to Model #630 were primarily drafted in 2022 and 2023.

This agenda item will review the new model for potential updates to SSAP No. 58 and Appendix A-630, with a focus on accounting and reporting issues. Although the model law was expanded, most of the key accounting related provisions only have minor updates. As part of this review some of the summary conclusion, general section which is descriptive of the mortgage industry is also proposed to have minor updates.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

Appendix A-630 Mortgage Guaranty Insurance
SSAP No. 58—Mortgage Guaranty Insurance (bolding added for emphasis)

1. This statement establishes statutory accounting principles for mortgage guaranty insurance and addresses areas where mortgage guaranty insurance accounting differs from other lines of insurance. To the extent a topic is not covered **by this statement and Appendix A-630**, mortgage guaranty insurance accounting shall comply with statutory accounting guidance for other lines of property and casualty insurance.
2. Mortgage guaranty insurance protects a lender against loss of all or a portion of the principal amount of a mortgage loan upon default of the mortgagor. Mortgage guaranty insurance differs from other types of property and casualty insurance in that coverage is long-term, and in most cases premiums are level and paid monthly. Most states require issuers of mortgage guaranty contracts to be monoline insurers and impose limitations on the aggregate amount of risk insured based on geographic territories. Additionally, states may require mortgage guaranty insurers to reinsure with only selected reinsurers.
6. Mortgage guaranty insurance companies generally offer the following premium payment plans: (a) monthly premiums, (b) a single premium which provides coverage for periods ranging from three to 15 years, (c) nonlevel annual premiums, and (d) level annual premiums. All policies are renewable at the discretion of the lender. The mortgage guaranty insurer does not have an option to cancel or nonrenew the policy, except for fraud or nonpayment of the premium.

15. Pool insurance policies are not cancelable by the insurer except for nonpayment of premium. These policies may be written on mortgage pools having terms of up to 30 years. However, the average policy life is 8 to 12 years.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group:
The current number of mortgage guaranty insurers is less than ten and these are concentrated in three U.S. states North Carolina, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin.

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Not applicable.

Staff Review Completed by: Robin Marcotte – NAIC Staff, September 2023

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this to the active listing and expose the intent to review Model #630 for incorporation into SSAP No. 58 and Appendix A-630 as applicable. Because there are less than ten mortgage guaranty insurers, and they are concentrated in the states of North Carolina, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, NAIC staff requests comments on the proposed effective date of the AP&P updates. Initial feedback indicates that the earliest that the Model #630 revisions could be applicable in the affected states would be January 1, 2025, or later. NAIC staff is hesitant to recommend adoption of revisions to the AP&P Manual prior to adoption by the primary state regulators of the mortgage guaranty insurers.

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/H-23-31Model630MortgageG.docx>

**Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Maintenance Agenda Submission Form
Form A**

Issue: New C-2 Mortality Risk Note

Check (applicable entity):

	P/C	Life	Health
Modification of Existing SSAP	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
New Issue or SSAP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Interpretation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Description of Issue:

The Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group is working on a project to modify its C-2 mortality risk charges. The Working Group, in cooperation with the C-2 Mortality Work Group of the American Academy of Actuaries, developed structural updates to the life risk-based capital (RBC) treatment of group permanent life and miscellaneous other instruction updates. The proposal assigns the same factors to group permanent life as individual permanent life for policies with and without pricing flexibility.

A new financial statement note will provide the development of net amounts at risk in the categories needed for the Life C-2 mortality risk charges. These categories are designed to create a direct link to a financial statement source, and accompanying Life RBC C-2 mortality risk updates.

As the notes to the financial statements are maintained by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group, this agenda item is to add the requirement for the new proposed note into the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual*. An annual statement blanks proposal is being simultaneously exposed as the Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group, has requested year-end 2023 as the effective date for the note.

Existing Authoritative Literature:

- *SSAP No. 51R—Life Contracts*, contains the notes for life insurance products.
- *SSAP No. 59—Credit Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts* contains the notes for credit life insurance products.
- *SSAP No. 61R—Life, Deposit-Type and Accident and Health Reinsurance* contains the notes for life and health reinsurance.

Activity to Date (issues previously addressed by the Working Group, Emerging Accounting Issues (E) Working Group, SEC, FASB, other State Departments of Insurance or other NAIC groups): None.

Information or issues (included in *Description of Issue*) not previously contemplated by the Working Group: None

Convergence with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): Not applicable.

Staff Review Completed by: Robin Marcotte – NAIC Staff

Staff Recommendation:

NAIC staff recommends that the Working Group move this item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and expose revisions to SSAP No. 51R, SSAP No. 59 and SSAP No. 61R as illustrated below, with a proposed effective date of year end 2023. The Blanks (E) Working Group proposal 2023-09BWG, which illustrates the data to be captured in tables, is being simultaneously exposed to allow for a year end 2023 effective date.

Proposed revisions to SSAP No. 51R—Life Contracts (Drafting notes are for ease of review and will be removed from the final publication):

54. Disclose for life insurance net amount at risk for the following: 1) gross of reinsurance, plus 2) assumed reinsurance, 3) less ceded reinsurance, will provide 4) net of reinsurance amounts by product characteristics, separately for individual and industrial life as described below. Note that these amounts are intended for data capture using the tables and detailed line references in the annual statement instructions.

- a. Individual and industrial life -Within individual and industrial life, the categories are by contract type depending on the degree of pricing flexibility. Pricing Flexibility is defined as the ability to materially adjust rates on in force contracts through changing premiums and/or non-guaranteed elements as of the valuation date and within the next 5 policy years and reflecting typical business practices. The individual and industrial life product categories are as follows:
 - i. Total individual and industrial life. Paragraph 54.a.i total individual and industrial life amounts are then disaggregated to disclose the following information in more detail. Note that the totals of paragraph 54.a.i (a)-(c) should be equal to the sum of total individual and industrial life total in paragraph 54.a.i.
 - (a.) Individual and industrial life policies with pricing flexibility;
 - (b.) Individual and industrial term life policies without pricing flexibility;
 - (c.) Individual and industrial permanent life policies without pricing flexibility;
- b. For the individual and industrial life products in paragraph 54.a., provide the following details:
 - i. Life in Force - Exhibit of Life Insurance Amount of Insurance for Industrial and Ordinary Life - life insurance in-force end of the year and reinsurance ceded end of the year. (Drafting note: Lines 21 and 22);
 - ii. Exhibit 5 Life Reserves - Exhibit 5 for Industrial and Ordinary Life - gross total plus reinsurance ceded. (Drafting note: Lines 0199997 and 0199998)
 - iii. Separate Account Life Reserves – Separate Accounts, Exhibit 3 - Aggregate Reserve of Life, Annuity and Accident and Health Contracts, Column 3 - Ordinary, life insurance total line. (Drafting note: Line 0199999)
 - iv. Modified Coinsurance Life Reserves – The portion of modified coinsurance life reserves which relates to policy reserves that, if written on a direct basis would be included on Exhibit 5. For Assumed (column 2) the portion of the modified coinsurance life reserves would be from Schedule S, Part 1, Section 1, Reinsurance Assumed (Life Insurance, Annuities and Deposit Funds and Other Liabilities), Column 12 - Modified Coinsurance Reserve. For the ceded, the portion of the modified coinsurance life reserve would be from Schedule S, Part 3, Section 1, Reinsurance Ceded (Life Insurance, Annuities and Deposit Funds and Other liabilities), and column 14 Modified Coinsurance Reserve.
 - v. The total of the above life reserves in paragraph 54.b.ii., plus paragraph 54.b.iii. plus paragraph 54.b.iv.;
 - vi. Life net amount at risk paragraph 54.b.i. minus paragraph 54.b.v.

Proposed revisions to SSAP No. 59—Credit Life and Accident and Health Insurance Contracts (Drafting notes are for ease of review and will be removed from the final publication):

20. Disclose for credit life insurance net amount at risk for the following: 1) gross of reinsurance, plus 2) assumed reinsurance, 3) less ceded reinsurance, will provide 4) net of reinsurance amounts by credit life product characteristics, separately as described below. Note that these amounts are intended for data capture using the tables and detailed line references in the annual statement instructions. Amounts for Servicemen's Group Life Insurance (SGLI) or Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance (FEGLI) are excluded from the disclosures in this paragraph.

a. Group and Individual Credit Life (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) - Within group and individual credit life, the categories are by the remaining length of the premium rate term by group contract and on the degree of pricing flexibility. Pricing flexibility is defined as the ability to materially adjust rates on in force contracts through changing premiums and/or non-guaranteed elements as of the valuation date and within the next 5 policy years and reflecting typical business practices.

i. Total group and individual credit life (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) - Paragraph 20.a.i total group and individual credit life (excluding FEGLI and SGLI) amounts are then disaggregated to disclose the following information in more detail. Note that the totals of paragraph 20.a.i (a)-(d) should be equal to the sum of total individual and industrial life total in paragraph 20.a.i.

(a.) Group and individual credit term life (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) with remaining rate terms 36 months and under.

(b.) Group and individual credit term life (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) with remaining rate terms over 36 months.

(c.) Group and individual credit permanent life policies (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) with pricing flexibility.

(d.) Group and individual credit permanent life policies (excluding FEGLI/SGLI) without pricing flexibility.

b. For the group and individual credit life products in paragraph 20.a., provide the following details (excluding amounts for FEGLI/SGLI):

i. Life in force - Exhibit of Life Insurance Amount of Insurance for group and individual credit life, life insurance inforce end of the year and reinsurance ceded end of the year. (Drafting note: Lines 21 and 22)

ii. Exhibit 5 Life Reserves - Exhibit 5 for group and individual credit life - gross total plus reinsurance ceded. (Drafting note: Lines 0199997 and 0199998)

iii. Separate Account Life Reserves – Separate Accounts, Exhibit 3 - Aggregate Reserve of Life-, Annuity and Accident and Health Contracts, Column 4 Group, life insurance totals line. (Drafting note: Line 0199999)

iv. Modified Coinsurance Life Reserves – The portion of modified coinsurance life reserves which relates to policy reserves that, if written on a direct basis would be included on Exhibit 5. For Assumed (column 2 below) the portion of the modified coinsurance life reserves would be from Schedule S, Part 1, Section 1, Reinsurance Assumed (Life Insurance, Annuities and Deposit Funds and Other Liabilities), Column 12 - Modified Coinsurance Reserve. For the Ceded, the portion of the modified coinsurance life reserve would be from Schedule S, Part 3, Section 1, Reinsurance Ceded (Life Insurance, Annuities and Deposit Funds and Other liabilities), Column 14 Modified Coinsurance Reserve.

v. The total of the above life reserves in paragraph 20.b. ii., plus paragraph 20. b.iii., plus paragraph 20.b.iv.

vi. Life net amount at risk - paragraph 20.b.i. minus paragraph 20.b.v.

Proposed revisions to SSAP No. 61R—Life, Deposit-Type and Accident and Health Reinsurance:

85. The disclosures regarding the life net amount at risk for individual and industrial life and group and individual credit life which include information regarding modified coinsurance reserves in SSAP No. 51R, paragraph 54 and SSAP No. 59, paragraph 20 are required in the categories and detail specified in those statements. Note that these amounts are intended for data capture using the tables and detailed line references in the annual statement instructions.

Status:

On March 22, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group moved this agenda item to the active listing, categorized as a SAP clarification, and exposed revisions to SSAP No. 51R, SSAP No. 59 and SSAP No. 61R, providing new disclosures, which provides net amount at risk detail needed to support updates to the life risk-based capital (RBC) C-2 mortality risk charges.

On May 16, 2023, the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group deferred this item and referred the comments received to the Life Risk Based Capital (E) Working Group.

The prior blanks proposal of 2023-09BWG was withdrawn at the November 7, Blanks (E) Working Group meeting. It was replaced by 2023-15BWG which was developed with the input from industry and Life Risk-Based Capital (E) Working Group staff. The replacement proposal 2023-15BWG, was exposed on November 7, recommends a general interrogatory instead of an annual statement note. **For the December 1, 2023, meeting NAIC staff recommend moving this agenda item to the disposed listing without statutory revisions.**

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/1-23-03-C-2Mortalityrisknote.docx>

Summer National Meeting - Review of GAAP Exposures for Statutory Accounting:

Pursuant to a 2014 direction from the SAPWG chair, there is a desire for the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group to be more proactive in considering FASB exposures that may be significant to statutory accounting and reporting. Historically, the SAPWG has commented on limited, key FASB exposures – mostly pertaining to insurance contracts and financial instruments. To ensure consideration of all FASB exposures, staff prepared this memorandum to highlight the current exposures, comment deadlines, and to provide a high-level summary of the exposed item’s potential impact to statutory accounting. It is anticipated that this information would assist the Working Group in determining whether a comment letter should be submitted to the FASB on the issues. Regardless of the Working Group’s election to submit comments to the FASB on proposed accounting standards, under the NAIC Policy Statement on Statutory Accounting Principles Maintenance Agenda Process, issued US GAAP guidance noted in the hierarchy within Section V of the Preamble to the *Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual* must be considered by the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group.

FASB Exposures: [Exposure Documents and Public Comment Documents \(fasb.org\)](https://www.fasb.org/exposures)

Exposed FASB Guidance	Comment Deadline & Initial Staff Comments
Proposed Accounting Standards Update— <i>Income Statement—Reporting Comprehensive Income—Expense Disaggregation Disclosures (Subtopic 220-40): Disaggregation of Income Statement Expenses</i>	October 30, 2023

Proposed Accounting Standards Update—Income Statement—Reporting Comprehensive Income—Expense Disaggregation Disclosures (Subtopic 220-40): Disaggregation of Income Statement Expenses

FASB issued this proposed update to improve the disclosures about a public business entity’s expenses and address requests from investors, lenders, creditors, and other allocators of capital (collectively, “investors”) for more detailed information about the types of expenses (including employee compensation, depreciation, and amortization) in commonly presented expense captions (such as cost of sales, selling, general, and administrative expenses (SG&A), and research and development). Feedback from investors on the 2021 FASB Invitation to Comment, *Agenda Consultation*, indicated that disclosure of disaggregated financial reporting information (in the income statement, the statement of cash flows, or the notes to financial statements) should be a top priority for the Board. Investors have observed that more detailed information about expenses is critically important in understanding an entity’s performance, assessing an entity’s prospects for future cash flows, and comparing an entity’s performance both over time and with that of other entities. Investors specifically indicated that more granular information about cost of sales and SG&A would assist them in better understanding an entity’s cost structure and forecasting future cash flows. Some investors also noted that employee compensation costs should be disclosed in greater detail.

The Board expects that nearly all public business entities, particularly those that present expense captions, such as cost of sales and SG&A on the face of their income statements, would disclose more information under the amendments in this proposed Update about the components of those expense captions than is disclosed in financial statements today. That incremental information should allow investors to better understand the components of an entity’s expenses, make their own judgments about the entity’s performance, and more accurately forecast expenses, which in turn should enable investors to better assess an entity’s prospects for future cash flows. It also should provide contextual information for an entity’s preparation and an investor’s consideration of management’s discussion and analysis of financial position and results of operations (MD&A).

The amendments in this proposed Update would require detailed disclosure, in the notes to financial statements, of specified categories underlying certain expense captions. The proposed amendments would require that an entity on an annual and interim basis:

1. Disclose the amounts of (a) inventory and manufacturing expense, (b) employee compensation, (c) depreciation, (d) intangible asset amortization, and (e) depreciation, depletion, and amortization recognized as part of oil and gas-producing activities (DD&A) included in each relevant expense caption. A relevant expense caption would be an expense caption presented on the face of the income statement within continuing operations that contains any of the expense categories listed in (a)–(e).
2. Disclose a further disaggregation of inventory and manufacturing expense (from 1 above) into the following categories of costs incurred: (a) purchases of inventory, (b) employee compensation, (c) depreciation, (d) intangible asset amortization, and (e) DD&A. Costs incurred would include those that are either capitalized to inventory or, if not capitalized to inventory, directly expensed (expensed as incurred) during the current period. On an annual basis, an entity would disclose its definition of other manufacturing expenses.
3. Include certain amounts that are already required to be disclosed under existing GAAP in the same disclosure as the other disaggregation requirements.
4. Disclose a qualitative description of the amounts remaining in relevant expense captions or in inventory and manufacturing expenses that are not separately disaggregated quantitatively.
5. Disclose the total amount of selling expenses and, on an annual basis, an entity's definition of selling expenses.

Staff Review and Commentary:

Comment deadline was October 30, 2023

NAIC staff recommend that ASU be reviewed under the SAP Maintenance Process as detailed in *Appendix F—Policy Statements*.

[https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National Meetings/A. National Meeting Materials/2023/12-1-23 Fall National Meeting/Meeting/J - Review of GAAP Exposures.docx](https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/National%20Meetings/A.%20National%20Meeting%20Materials/2023/12-1-23%20Fall%20National%20Meeting/Meeting/J%20-%20Review%20of%20GAAP%20Exposures.docx)

November 13, 2023

To: Dale Bruggeman (MO), Chair, Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group
Kevin Clark, (IA), Vice Chair, Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group

From: Rachel Hemphill (TX), Chair, Life Actuarial (A) Task Force,
Craig Chupp (VA), Vice Chair, Life Actuarial (A) Task Force

RE: Life Actuarial (A) Task Force Coordination with the Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group 2023

The Statutory Accounting Principles (E) Working Group charges requires the Working Group to coordinate with the Life Actuarial (A) Task Force on changes to the AP&P Manual related to the Valuation Manual (VM)-A, Requirements, and VM-C, Actuarial Guidelines, as well as other VM requirements. This process will include the receipt of periodic reports on changes to the VM on items that require coordination. To facilitate the coordination, the Task Force will provide to the Working Group a memorandum of VM amendments, actuarial guidelines and valuation related NAIC model revisions prior to each NAIC National Meeting. This memorandum provides the Working Group updates to the publications since the 2022 NAIC Summer Meeting.

Valuation Manual – Attachment A to this memo includes a detailed listing of the amendments made to the VM since the 2022 NAIC Summer Meeting. The amendments were adopted by the Life Insurance and Annuities (A) Committee on August 15, 2023. The amendments were adopted by the Executive (EX) Committee and Plenary at the 2023 NAIC Summer Meeting.

Actuarial Guidelines – Since the 2022 NAIC Summer Meeting the Task Force has created or revised the actuarial guidelines created listed below:

Actuarial Guideline LIV (AG 54) – Nonforfeiture Requirements for Index-Linked Variable Annuity Products

- Life Insurance and Annuities (A) Committee adoption – Feb. 24, 2023
- Executive (EX) Committee and Plenary adoption – Mar. 25, 2023
- **AG 54 applies to all contracts issued on or after Jul. 1, 2024, and is included in the Mar. revisions to the APPM and will be included in the 2024 APPM.**

Revisions to Actuarial Guideline XLIX-A (AG 49-A) – Application of the Life Illustrations Model Regulation to Policies with Index-Based Interest

- Life Insurance and Annuities (A) Committee adoption – Feb. 24, 2023
- Executive (EX) Committee and Plenary adoption – Mar. 25, 2023
- **The revisions to AG 49-A apply to all policies sold on or after May 1, 2023, and are included in the Mar. revisions to the APPM and will be included in the 2024 APPM.**

NAIC Models – The Task Force has not created or revised any models since the 2022 NAIC Summer Meeting

LATF VM Amendment	Valuation Manual Reference	Valuation Manual Amendment Proposal Descriptions	LATF Adoption Date
2022-06	VM-31 Section 3.D.5	This amendment adds in a VM-31 requirement to disclose the inflation assumption for Life PBR.	10/6/22
2022-07	VM-20 Section 3.C.1.g, VM-20 Section 6.B.5.d.	This amendment clarifies the intent and calculation of the mortality adjustments to the CSO table when anticipated mortality exceeds the prescribed CSO table. The current wording of Section 3.C.1.g has led to confusion by many and a lack of consistent interpretations.	1/26/23
2022-08	VM-21 Section 3.E, VM-31 Section 2.A, VM-G Section 1 and Section 4.A.3.	Clarify requirements on groups of contracts that use the Alternative Method/AG33 in VM-21 and are not subject to a principles-based valuation. Such contracts should not be subject to VM-G but still require a sub-report under VM-31.	1/26/23
2022-09	VM-21 and VM-31	This amendment includes a series of reporting requirement enhancements related to VM-21 and fixes some errors in the VM language.	3/2/23
2022-10	VM-20 Section 2.A.2, Section 3.B.5, and Section 3.B.6	The purpose of this amendment is to add language to address the possibility of policies in the ULSG Reserving Category having a non-material secondary guarantee, and thus becoming excluded from both DR and SR calculations if they pass both the DET and the SET.	2/23/23
2023-02	VM-21 4.D.1.a	This amendment adds disclosure requirements in VM-31 and clarifies language in the Annual Statement Instructions related to reporting in the VM-20 Reserves Supplement.	2/23/23
2023-03	VM-20 Section 7.E.2 and Guidance Note below, VM-21 Section 4.D.4.c, VM-20 Section 7.K.3, VM-31 Section 3.D.6.f, VM-20 Section 9.A.4	This amendment does the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a consideration on the assumed cost of borrowing in VM-20 and VM-21, • Clarification of VM-20 hedge modeling, and • Add additional considerations for risk factors other than interest and equities that are stochastically modeled. 	3/21/23
2023-01	VM-21 4.D.1.a	The purpose of this amendment is to make the explanation of the starting asset amount consistent in VM-21 section 4.D.1.a.	3/21/23
2023-04	VM-31 Section 3.D.3.l.iv	Clarifies requirements where regulators were seeing an issue with PBR Actuarial Reports and inadequate support showing compliance with the requirement that “the company experience mortality rates shall not be lower than the mortality rates the company expects to emerge”.	4/20/23
2021-08	VM-51 Section 2.D.	Revisions to VM-51 to allow for the data experience reporting observation calendar year to be one year prior to the reporting calendar year.	5/11/23
2023-05	VM-01, VM-21 Section 4.A.4, VM-21 Section 9, VM-21 Section 9.C.2, VM-31 Section 3.F.8.d	Since the reforms of VM-21 and C3P2, ILVA products have experienced major market growth. Several carriers, with the agreement of regulators and auditors, have interpreted the current VM-21 guidance as permitting the effects of index credit hedging to be reflected in product cash flows instead of within the “best efforts” and “adjusted” scenarios. This amendment clarifies those requirements.	6/1/23
2023-07	VM-21 Section 6.A.1	The standard projection amount drafting group found that there is very little use of the Company-Specific Market Path (CSMP) method for the VM-21 standard projection amount. Therefore, the amendment removed the CSMP method from VM-21 starting in 2025, which gives time to transition for the few companies that currently employ the CSMP method.	6/1/23

<https://naiconline.sharepoint.com/teams/FRSStatutoryAccounting/NationalMeetings/A.NationalMeetingMaterials/2023/12-1-23FallNationalMeeting/Meeting/K-LATF-SAPCoordinationMemo2023.docx>